Masthead Logo

Smith ScholarWorks

Geosciences: Faculty Publications

Geosciences

8-2015

Upper Plate Reverse Fault Reactivation and the Unclamping of the Megathrust During the 2014 Northern Chile Earthquake Sequence

Gabriel González Universidad Católica del Norte

Pablo Salazar Universidad Católica del Norte

John P. Loveless Smith College, jloveles@smith.edu

Richard W. Allmendinger Cornell University

Felipe Aron Stanford University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.smith.edu/geo_facpubs

Part of the Geology Commons

Recommended Citation

González, Gabriel; Salazar, Pablo; Loveless, John P.; Allmendinger, Richard W.; Aron, Felipe; and Shrivastava, Mahesh, "Upper Plate Reverse Fault Reactivation and the Unclamping of the Megathrust During the 2014 Northern Chile Earthquake Sequence" (2015). Geosciences: Faculty Publications, Smith College, Northampton, MA. https://scholarworks.smith.edu/geo_facpubs/22

This Article has been accepted for inclusion in Geosciences: Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Smith ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@smith.edu

Authors

Gabriel González, Pablo Salazar, John P. Loveless, Richard W. Allmendinger, Felipe Aron, and Mahesh Shrivastava

1	Upper plate reverse fault reactivation and the unclamping of the
2	megathrust during the 2014 Northern Chile earthquake sequence
3	Gabriel González ¹ , Pablo Salazar ¹ , John P. Loveless ² , Richard W. Allmendinger ³ , Felipe
4	Aron ^{4,5} , and Mahesh Shrivastava ¹
5	¹ National Research Center for Integrated Natural Disaster Management, Universidad Católica
6	del Norte, Avenida Angamos 0610, Antofagasta, Chile
7	² Department of Geosciences, Smith College, Northampton, Massachusetts 01063, USA
8	³ Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853,
9	USA
10	⁴ Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA
11	⁵ Departamento de Ingeniería Estructural y Geotécnica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de
12	Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile
13	ABSTRACT
14	After 137 years without a great earthquake, the central portion of the southern Perú-
15	northern Chile subduction zone experienced the M_W 8.1 Pisagua event on April 1, 2014. This
16	megathrust earthquake was preceded most notably by more than two weeks of foreshock activity
17	migrating \sim 3.5 km/day toward the mainshock hypocenter. This foreshock sequence was
18	triggered by a M_W 6.7 earthquake on a reverse fault in the upper plate that strikes at a high angle
19	to the trench, similar to well-documented reverse faults onshore. These margin-oblique reverse
20	faults accommodate north-south shortening resulting from subduction across a plate boundary
21	that is curved in map view. Reverse slip on the crustal fault unclamped the subduction interface,

22 precipitating the subsequent megathrust foreshock activity that culminated in the great Pisagua

earthquake. The combination of crustal reverse faults and a curved subduction margin also
 occurs in Cascadia and northeastern Japan, indicating two additional localities where great
 megathrust earthquakes may be triggered by upper plate fault activity.
 INTRODUCTION

Two recent great subduction earthquakes (2010 M_w 8.8 Maule and 2011 M_w 9.1 27 28 Tohoku-Oki) and associated upper plate aftershocks have underscored the importance of crustal 29 faulting triggered by megathrust events (Aron et al., 2013; Toda and Tsutsumi, 2013). However, 30 the possibility that a foreshock on an upper plate fault might contribute to triggering a subduction 31 great earthquake has never been clearly documented. On April 1, 2014, the M_W 8.1 Pisagua 32 earthquake broke the central section of the Iquique Gap, a segment of the Nazca-South America 33 subduction zone that last ruptured in an estimated $M_W 8.7-8.9$ event in 1877 (e.g., Comte and 34 Pardo, 1991). The 2014 earthquake was preceded by 13 months of seismic activity (Schurr et al., 35 2014), which intensified following the March 16 M_w 6.7 earthquake offshore the town of 36 Pisagua. Over the next 16 days, foreshocks progressively migrated toward the position of the M_w 37 8.1 mainshock.

38 The M_W 6.7 earthquake, the largest foreshock (Hayes et al., 2014; Schurr et al., 2014), is 39 significant for two reasons: its location in the upper plate and the orientation of the nodal planes, 40 which deviate significantly from the megathrust strike, as given in the USGS Slab1.0 model 41 (Hayes et al., 2012; Ruiz et al., 2014). Yet, to date, the role that the M_w 6.7 played in the 42 intensification of foreshock activity has not been discussed in detail in the analyses of the PES 43 (e.g., Yagi et al., 2014, Lay et al., 2014, Kato and Nakawaga, 2014, Ruiz et al., 2014, Hayes et 44 al., 2014; Schurr et al., 2014). Based on event relocations, analysis of available focal 45 mechanisms, and static stress change calculations, we illustrate how this major upper plate

46 reverse faulting earthquake was the principal, if not the initial, trigger of subsequent foreshock 47 activity on the megathrust that led to the M_W 8.1 mainshock. We use geologic and seismic data 48 to illustrate that margin-oblique, upper plate reverse faulting is widespread in the northern 49 Chilean forearc, likely related to the bending of the orocline (Allmendinger et al., 2005), and in 50 this case led to a great earthquake on the megathrust.

51 MARGIN PARALLEL SHORTENING

52 Within a 250 km-long segment (19.2°–21.6°S), numerous kilometer-scale, margin-53 oblique active reverse faults cut the forearc overlying the concave-seaward portion of the 54 Andean subduction zone (Fig. 1; Allmendinger et al., 2005). At at least four sites along the 55 coastline, reverse faults offset Ouaternary marine terraces (Fig. DR1) and cumulative vertical 56 offset of Mio-Pliocene surfaces by single reverse faults reaches 500 m. Strikes of these reverse 57 faults vary between 065° and 135° (Fig. 1j), and slickenlines are oriented mainly subparallel to 58 the dip direction, indicating the prevalence of reverse motion. Using fault plane and slickenline 59 orientations, we estimate a mean shortening axis (P-axis) for these faults as subhorizontal, 60 trending 173°, and a subvertical extension axis (T-axis) (Fig. 1i). Kinematic data for single 61 structures show moderate scatter of P and T axes, controlled mainly by variation in fault strike. 62 Between March 2010 and March 2012, a local seismological network of 21 short period 63 stations was installed in the Coastal Cordillera and Precordillera around 21°S with the aim of 64 characterizing upper plate seismicity in the forearc (Bloch et al., 2014). We recorded 31 65 $0.6 \le M_W \le 2.7$ crustal earthquakes with kinematics similar to those of the faults preserved in the 66 geologic record (Fig. 1g): reverse faulting on margin-oblique planes. This microseismic activity 67 spans shallow depths (6.9 km) to the plate interface at \sim 50 km depth, indicating that margin-68 oblique reverse faults are active throughout the entire crust.

Publisher: GSA Journal: GEOL: Geology DOI:10.1130/G36703.1 69 THE PISAGUA EARTHQUAKE FORESHOCK SEQUENCE

70 The April 1 M_w 8.1 Pisagua mainshock and its foreshocks are collectively termed the 71 Pisagua earthquake sequence (PES). Schurr et al. (2014) showed a slowly decreasing seismicity 72 rate before the largest foreshock. The time series of cumulative seismic moment release reveals 73 that three earthquake clusters punctuated the background seismicity since at least ten months 74 before the mainshock. The pattern of decreasing of seismicity rate was interrupted immediately 75 after the M_w 6.7 event, which represents the peak of foreshock activity (Fig. 2a). 76 We relocated $M_w > 4$ foreshocks that occurred between March 16 and March 31 using the 77 codes NonLinLoc (Lomax et al., 2000) and HypoDD (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000). The 78 relocation reveals clustering of seismicity at two depths: 1) \leq 20 km (including the M_w 6.7 79 earthquake), inside the upper plate, and 2) on the interplate contact (Fig. 2b). Just a few hours 80 after the M_w 6.7 event, the majority of seismicity migrated to the plate interface, but sporadic upper plate events also took place until at least April 15. Our relocated distribution of foreshock 81 82 latitudes shows that after the M_w 6.7 event, seismicity on the plate interface migrated northward 83 parallel to slab strike at \sim 3.3 ± 0.33 km/day and down dip at \sim 1.3 ± 0.2 km/day toward the 84 position of the April 1 M_w 8.1 event (Fig. DR2). The total migration distance of foreshocks is 85 \sim 49 km along a line of trend and plunge 004°, 08°. 86

FOCAL MECHANISM ANALYSIS AND REGIONAL STRUCTURES

87 Focal mechanisms for the M_w 6.7 earthquake were reported by the USGS, Global CMT, 88 and GEOFON-GFZ agencies (Table DR1). We derived our own focal mechanism based on 89 moment tensor inversion from waveform analysis. All available focal mechanisms feature two 90 nodal planes oriented obliquely to the local 341° strike of the megathrust. The average 91 orientation of the low angle, north-dipping nodal plane is 285°, 25°N and the high-angle, south-

92	dipping nodal plane is oriented 128°, 68°W, with a P-axis of trend and plunge 213°, 27° (Fig. 1a).
93	The 64 summed moment tensors available for the vast majority of foreshocks after the M_W 6.7
94	yield a composite focal mechanism with the low-angle plane oriented 342°, 16°E, which is
95	within 5° of the local strike and dip of the subduction zone from Slab 1.0.
96	Scaling laws of Wells and Coppersmith (1994) suggest a source fault 36 km along strike
97	and 14 km down dip for the M_W 6.7 upper plate event. The small dimensions of the reactivated
98	fault preclude a direct connection to any mapped onshore structures. However, the orientations
99	of the two nodal planes of the M_w 6.7 event are consistent with the strikes of reverse faults
100	onshore (Fig. 1i, 1j), as well as nodal planes of past crustal seismicity (Fig. 1e-g). Therefore we
101	suggest that the M_w 6.7 earthquake may have reactivated a continuation of a structure similar to
102	those documented onshore.
103	STATIC STRESS CHANGE ANALYSIS
104	We use static stress change calculations to explore the relationship between the upper
105	plate M_W 6.7 earthquake and seismicity on the subduction megathrust. First, we calculated (using
106	algorithms of Meade, 2007) the cumulative Coulomb stress change (CSC) imparted on the upper
107	plate fault by the July 2013 and January 2014 earthquake clusters identified by Schurr et al.
108	(2014) and found that they induced a very small CSC (< 0.003 bars, or 300 Pa).
109	Subsequently, we focused on the possibility that the M_W 6.7 event triggered seismicity on
110	the plate boundary that ultimately migrated toward the M_W 8.1 earthquake. We calculated the
111	distribution of static CSC induced on the subduction interface by the M_W 6.7 earthquake and
112	compared that to our relocations of subsequent megathrust foreshocks. We modeled each nodal
113	plane of the M_W 6.7 event as a rectangular source fault using empirical scaling laws (Wells and
114	Coppersmith, 1994) and using our derived location and the USGS Mww focal mechanism (Table

115	DR1). The regions of positive CSC up to \sim 5 bars (0.5 MPa), defined with shear stress change in
116	the local up-dip direction, generated by the north-dipping nodal plane contain 51% of the
117	NonLinLoc-located aftershocks in the first 24 h after the M_W 6.7 event, while positive CSC
118	zones calculated using the south-dipping plane contain 36% of these events (Figs. 3, DR8).
119	However, regions of positive normal stress (unclamping) on the megathrust contain up to 84%
120	(for the north-dipping plane; 74% for the south-dipping plane) of these events, suggesting that
121	reverse slip on either plane unclamped the megathrust (Figs. 3a and DR6) and facilitated the
122	subsequent megathrust foreshocks given the prevalence of up-dip shear stress accumulated
123	during the preceding interseismic period. The January 2014 cluster of foreshocks that occurred
124	before the M_W 6.7 earthquake (Schurr et al., 2014) also induced CSC on the megathrust of
125	magnitude comparable to that of the upper plate event, localized around the small cluster near
126	20.2°S (Fig. 3). Positive CSC induced by all megathrust events between March 16 and March 31
127	migrated northward and downdip along the megathrust, primarily reflecting increased up-dip
128	shear stress induced by the foreshock sequence (Figs. 3c, DR7). The hypocenter of the M_w 8.1
129	Pisagua earthquake was in a region of positive CSC, just downdip from a cluster of foreshocks.
130	DISCUSSION

Geologic and seismic data show that the area around the PES is characterized by marginparallel upper plate shortening, which is expressed on short timescales in seismic records and on neotectonic timescales in the geology. This deformation regime is consistent with the obliquity of the nodal planes of the upper plate M_w 6.7 foreshock relative to the strike of the megathrust, with remarkable similarity between the kinematics inferred for this earthquake and those of the onshore seismicity and geologic fault plane analysis (Fig. 1). In particular, the P-axis of the M_w 6.7 event is similar to the P-axes estimated from geological structures and upper plate

earthquakes previously detected in the area (Fig. 1i). Therefore we conclude that the M_w 6.7
event represents the reactivation of one of the trench-oblique upper plate reverse faults common
in this part of the forearc.

141 Recent work has suggested that during the PES, the megathrust was gradually unlocked 142 by a propagation of long-term precursory events (Schurr et al., 2014). Our stress change analysis 143 shows that the March 16 M_W 6.7 foreshock unclamped the megathrust, triggering the subsequent 144 migrating sequence of foreshocks. Similar analysis indicates that the foreshocks before the 145 middle of March did not transfer significant stress from the megathrust to the upper plate fault. 146 Additionally, the stress perturbation on the megathrust due to earthquake clusters prior to mid-147 March was localized compared to that promoted by the $M_W 6.7$ earthquake and subsequent 148 events (Fig. 3). Therefore, we conclude that the occurrence of the M_W 6.7 earthquake primarily 149 represents accommodation of trench-parallel shortening, and that the final conditioning of the 150 megathrust in generating the great $M_W 8.1$ event was strongly influenced by the upper plate 151 deformation regime. A key requirement of this process is the synchronicity of the earthquake 152 cycles on the upper plate fault and the megathrust. If the megathrust had not yet reached a mature 153 state in its interseismic period, then the stress conditions on it may not have been suitable to 154 produce a major rupture under the loading increment imposed by the upper plate faulting event. 155 In the Iquique Gap, 137 years had elapsed since the last great earthquake, representing a 156 complete interseismic period (e.g., Comte and Pardo, 1991). 157 The concave-seaward northern Chile-southern Perú margin is similar in shape to the 158 northern Cascadia and northeast Japan Trench subduction zones, and in each, upper plate reverse

159 faults that strike nearly orthogonal to the plate boundary are present (e.g., Johnson et al., 2004;

160 Kusunoki and Kimura, 1998). Concave-seaward segments of subduction zones can experience

161	interseismic shortening perpendicular to the direction of convergence, as velocity in that
162	direction decreases toward the apex of margin curvature (Bevis et al., 2001), and this shortening
163	may be enhanced by spatially heterogeneous interplate coupling (Rosenau et al., 2009).
164	McCaffrey et al. (2013) show contractional interseismic strain in the Cascadia forearc from the
165	Olympic Peninsula to the Puget Lowlands, with shortening in the direction of plate convergence
166	(ENE) but also in the perpendicular direction. In Hokkiado, Japan, Loveless and Meade (2010)
167	estimate reverse slip on the margin-perpendicular Hidaka Fold-and-Thrust Belt based on analysis
168	of interseismic GPS velocities. Thus in each of these cases, margin-oblique reverse faults are
169	loaded by subduction zone interseismic processes, yielding the possibility of megathrust activity
170	triggered by seismicity on upper plate structures. This mechanism, which we have documented
171	here for the PES case, encourages further study of crustal fault recurrence intervals, particularly
172	in Cascadia where 315 years have passed since the great $M_{\rm w}$ 9.0 earthquake of 1700 and the
173	seismic risk posed by both megathrust and upper plate earthquakes is large.

174 CONCLUSIONS

175 We suggest that the April 1, 2014 M_w 8.1 Pisagua subduction earthquake was mostly 176 triggered by the March 16 M_w 6.7 foreshock on an upper plate reverse fault southwest of the 177 mainshock epicenter. We show that static unclamping of the megathrust produced by the upper 178 plate earthquake is likely the dominant mechanism that led to the subsequent foreshock-179 mainshock sequence. Following this main precursory event, progressive stressing of the 180 subduction interface by the northeastward migration of additional foreshocks on the megathrust 181 culminated in the partial rupture of the Iquique Gap. The recent experience in northern Chile 182 indicates that, when assessing seismic hazard, earthquakes on upper plate faults should be taken 183 into consideration, not only because of the locally high intensity shaking that they can produce,

- 184 but also as possible triggering mechanisms for great earthquakes on the subduction zone
- 185 interface.
- 186 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
- 187 We thank James Spotila, Onno Oncken, Brian Atwater, Chris Goldfinger, and two
- anonymous readers for helpful reviews. This research was supported by CONICYT/
- 189 FONDAP grant 15110017. Allmendinger is grateful for support from NSF Grants EAR-
- 190 1443410, EAR-1019252, and EAR-05107852. Aron acknowledges support from CONICYT
- 191 Beca Chile and NSF grant EAR-1118678.

192 REFERENCES CITED

- 193 Allmendinger, R., González, G., Yu, J., Hoke, G., and Isacks, B., 2005, Trench parallel
- 194 shortening in the Northern Chilean Forearc: Tectonic and climatic implications: Geological
- 195 Society of America Bulletin, v. 117, no. 1–2, p. 89–104, doi:10.1130/B25505.1.
- Aron, F., Allmendinger, R. W., Cembrano, J., González, G., and Yáñez, G., 2013, Permanent
- 197 fore-arc extension and seismic segmentation: Insights from the 2010 Maule earthquake,
- 198 Chile: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 118, no. 2, p. 724-739.
- 199 doi:10.1029/2012JB009339.
- 200 Bevis, M., Kendrick, E., Smalley, R., Brooks, B., Allmendinger, R.W., and Isacks, B.L., 2001,
- 201 On the strength of interplate coupling and the rate of backarc convergence in the central
- 202 Andes: An analysis of the interseismic velocity field: Geochemistry Geophysics
- 203 Geosystems, v. G3, no. 2, doi:10.1029/2001GC000198.
- 204 Bloch, W., Kummerow, J., Salazar, P., Wigger, P., and Shapiro, S., 2014, High-resolution image
- 205 of the North Chilean subduction zone: Seismicity, reflectivity and fluids: Geophysical
- 206 Journal International, v. 197, no. 3, p. 1744–1749, doi:10.1093/gji/ggu084.

207	Comte, D., and Pardo, M., 1991, Reappraisal of great historical earthquakes in the northern Chile
208	and southern Peru seismic gaps: Natural Hazards, v. 4, p. 23-44, doi:10.1007/BF00126557.
209	Hayes, G.P., Wald, D.J., and Johnson, R.L., 2012, Slab1.0: A three- dimensional model of global
210	subduction zone geometries: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 117, no. B1, B01302,
211	doi:10.1029/2011JB008524.
212	Hayes, G.P., Herman, M.W., Barnhart, W.D., Furlong, K.P., and Riquelme, S., 2014, Benz, H.
213	M., Bergman, E., Barrientos, S., Earle, P.S. and Samsonov, S., 2014, Continuing megathrust
214	earthquake potential in Chile after the 2014 Iquique earthquake: Nature, v. 515, p. 295–298,
215	doi:10.1038/nature13677.
216	Johnson, S.Y., Blakely, R.J., Stephenson, W.J., Dadisman, S.V., and Fisher, M.A., 2004, Active
217	shortening of the Cascadia forearc and implications for seismic hazards of the Puget
218	Lowland: Tectonics, v. 23, TC1011, doi:10.1029/2003TC001507.
219	Kato, A., and Nakagawa, S., 2014, Multiple slow-slip events during a foreshock sequence of the
220	2014, Chile Mw 8.1 earthquake: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 41, p. 5420-5427,
221	doi:10.1002/2014GL061138.
222	Kusunoki, K., and Kimura, G., 1998, Collision and extrusion at the Kuril-Japan arc junction:
223	Tectonics, v. 17, no. 6, p. 843-858, doi:10.1029/98TC02699.
224	Lay, T., Yue, H., Brodsky, E., and An, Ch., 2014, The 1 April Iquique, Chile, Mw 8.1
225	earthquake rupture sequence: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 41, p. 3818-3825,
226	doi:10.1002/2014GL060238.
227	Lomax, A.J., Virieux, P., Volant, P., Berge, C., 2000, Probabilistic earthquake location in 3D and
228	layered models: Introduction of a Metropolis-Gibbs method and comparison with linear
229	locations, <i>in</i> Thurber, C.H., Rabinowitz, N., eds., Advances in Seismic Event Location:

- 230 Amsterdam, Springer, p. 101–134.
- 231 Loveless, J.P., and Meade, B.J., 2010, Geodetic imaging of plate motions, slip rates, and
- partitioning of deformation in Japan: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 115, B02410,
- doi:10.1029/2008JB006248.
- 234 McCaffrey, R., King, R.W., Payne, S.J., and Lancaster, M., 2013, Active tectonics of
- 235 northwestern U.S. inferred from GPS-derived surface velocities: Journal of Geophysical
- 236 Research, v. 118, p. 709–723, doi:10.1029/2012JB009473.
- 237 Meade, B.J., 2007, Algorithms for the calculation of exact displacements, strains, and stresses for
- triangular dislocation elements in a uniform elastic half space: Computers & Geosciences,
- 239 v. 33, p. 1064–1075, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2006.12.003.
- 240 Rosenau, M., Lohrmann, J., and Oncken, O., 2009, Shocks in a box: An analogue model of

subduction earthquake cycles with application to seismotectonic forearc evolution: Journal

of Geophysical Research, v. 114, B01409, doi:10.1029/2008JB005665

243 Ruiz, S., Métois, M., Fuenzalida, A., Ruiz, J., Leyton, F., Grandin, R., Vigny, C., Madariaga, R.,

and Campos, J., 2014, Intense foreshocks and a slow slip event preceded the 2014 Iquique

- 245 Mw 8.1 earthquake: Science, v. 24, no. 6201, p. 1165–1169, doi:10.1126/science.1256074.
- 246 Schurr, B., Asch, G., Hainzl, S., Bedford, J., Hoechner, A., Palo, M., Wang, R., Moreno, M.,

247 Bartsch, M., Zhang, Y., Oncken, O., Tilmann, F., and Dahm, T., 2014, Victor, P.,

- Barrientos, S. Vilotte, J.-P., 2014, Gradual unlocking of plate boundary controlled initiation
- of the 2014 Iquique earthquake: Nature, v. 512, p. 299–302, doi:10.1038/nature13681.
- 250 Toda, S., and Tsutsumi, H., 2013, Simultaneous reactivation of two, subparallel, inland normal
- faults during the Mw 6.6 11 April 2011 Iwaki earthquake triggered by the Mw 9.0 Tohoku-

- oki, Japan, earthquake: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 103, no. 2B,
- 253 p. 1584–1602, doi:10.1785/0120120281.
- 254 Waldhauser, F., and Ellsworth, W.L., 2000, A double-difference earthquake location algorithm:
- 255 Method and application to the northern Hayward fault, California: Bulletin of the
- 256 Seismological Society of America, v. 90, p. 1353–1368, doi:10.1785/0120000006.
- 257 Wells, D.L., and Coppersmith, K.J., 1994, New empirical relationships among magnitude,
- rupture length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement: Bulletin of the
- 259 Seismological Society of America, v. 84, p. 974–1002.
- 260 Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., Enescu, B., Hirano, S., Yamagami, Y., and Endo, S., and Komoro, T.,
- 261 2014, Rupture Process of the 2014 Iquique Chile Earthquake in Relation with the Foreshock
- 262 Activity: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 41, p. 4101–4106, doi:10.1002/2014GL060274.

263 FIGURE CAPTIONS

264 Figure 1. Location of the study area (inset) and key seismic and structural features. Bathymetry 265 is shown in shades of blue and purple with the Perú-Chile trench in purple. Hill-shaded 266 topography reveals Neogene east-west fault scarps highlighted with magenta lines. Dashed white 267 lines show depth to the subducted plate, in kilometers, from Slab 1.0 (Hayes et al., 2012). Yellow 268 dots show our locations of Pisagua earthquake sequence events as described in the text. Selected 269 fault plane solutions show the kinematic style of earthquakes: (a) $M_W 6.7$ foreshock on March 270 16, 2014; (b) M_w 8.1 mainshock on April 1, 2014; (c, d) two upper plate events from the 271 aftershock sequence on April 7 and 15 that show east-west nodal planes and north-south 272 shortening; (e-h) crustal earthquakes with date of occurrence; (g) forearc events recorded during 273 the local microseismic study between 2010 and 2012. (i) Summary of fault kinematics from 274 measured Neogene faults. Blue dots show P-axes and red T-axes. For comparison, yellow stars

275	show the moment tensor axes of the M_W 6.7 foreshock (a). Fault plane solutions are constructed
276	by summing and averaging all available moment tensor solutions for each event or data set. (j)
277	Magenta bins (10°) showing the angular distribution of length-weighted strikes of mapped
278	margin-oblique reverse faults. The strikes of the high-angle, south-dipping (128°, 68°W) and
279	low-angle, north dipping (285°, 25°N) nodal planes of the M_W 6.7 upper plate foreshock are
280	depicted by the blue and red lines, respectively.
281	Figure 2. a) Time series of the Pisagua earthquake sequence (PES) events from January 1, 2013
282	to April 2014 showing the number events per day. The seismicity rate slowly decreased before
283	the March 16, 2014 M_W 6.7 earthquake but increased after that event. b) Earthquake depth
284	plotted versus longitude, showing PES seismicity projected on a cross section orthogonal to the
285	plate boundary. Two groups can be distinguished: upper plate events in red and interplate
286	earthquakes in blue. Error bars show the uncertainty in location given by the NonLinLoc code,
287	and black and green stars show hypocenters of the M_W 6.7 foreshock and M_W 8.1 mainshock,
288	respectively.
289	Figure 3. Stress change ($\Delta\sigma$) induced on the plate boundary from the foreshocks to the M _W 8.1
290	Pisagua earthquake at two different times: a) normal (unclamping positive) and b) Coulomb
291	(unclamping and/or updip shear is positive) stress change due to the March 16 $M_{W}6.7$ upper
292	plate earthquake (the effect of the January 2013 seismic cluster identified by Schurr et al. (2014)
293	is shown near –70.8°W, –20.2°S), assuming the shallow north-dipping nodal plane; and c)
294	Coulomb stress change immediately prior to the April 1 mainshock. For the M_W 6.7 event, a
295	rectangular source fault geometry, outlined in yellow with green indicating the shallow edge,
296	was defined using empirical scaling relationships (Wells and Coppersmith, 1994), with our
297	relocated hypocenter at the centroid. Slip was imposed in the rake direction of the USGS Mww

- focal mechanism. We resolved stress on the megathrust and used an effective friction coefficient
- 299 of 0.4. Circles show our NonLinLoc-relocated earthquakes following the M_W 6.7 event (events
- 300 occurring in the first 24 h only are shown in (a) and (b)), and squares show epicenters of pre-
- 301 March seismicity (Schurr et al., 2014). The epicenter of the mainshock is shown as a yellow star
- 302 in (c). Iq, Iquique; Pg, Pisagua.
- ¹GSA Data Repository item 2015xxx, xxxxxxx, is available online at
- 304 www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2015.htm, or on request from editing@geosociety.org or Documents
- 305 Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.





