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Conjugation of proteins to drug-loaded polymeric structures is an attractive strategy for facilitating target-specific drug delivery for a variety of clinical needs. Polymers currently available for conjugation to proteins generally have limited chemical versatility for subsequent drug loading. Many polymers that do have chemical functionality useful for drug loading are often insoluble in water, making it difficult to synthesize functional protein-polymer conjugates for targeted drug delivery. In this work, we demonstrate that reactive, azlactone-functionalized polymers can be grafted to proteins, conjugated to a small molecule fluorophore, and subsequently internalized into cells in a receptor-specific manner. Poly(2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA) synthesized using reversible addition-fragmentation transfer (RAFT) was modified post-polymerization with
substoichiometric equivalents of triethylene glycol monomethyl ether (mTEG) to yield reactive water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized copolymers. These reactive polymers were then conjugated to proteins holo-transterrin and ovotransferrin. Protein gel analysis verified successful conjugation of proteins to polymer, and protein-polymer conjugates were subsequently purified from unreacted proteins and polymers using size exclusion chromatography. Internalization experiments using a breast cancer cell line that overexpresses the transferrin receptor on its surface showed that the holo-transterrin-polymer conjugate was successfully internalized by cells in a mechanism consistent with receptor-mediated endocytosis. Our approach to protein-polymer conjugate synthesis offers a simple, tailor able strategy for preparing bioconjugates of interest for a broad range of biomedical applications.

INTRODUCTION

Treatment of numerous diseases could benefit from improved options for targeted delivery of drugs to disease-specific locations. Two important challenges in medicine for which targeted delivery could significantly improve patient outcomes are delivery of therapeutics to the central nervous system and delivery of chemotherapeutics selectively to tumor cells. The blood-brain barrier (BBB) frequently prevents therapeutics from sufficiently accessing brain tissue, creating a major bottleneck for developing treatments for diseases like Alzheimer’s disease and brain tumors. Often, drug development efforts for neurological diseases must focus on small molecule candidates constrained by a set of physicochemical properties that can facilitate their passage across the BBB. Receptor-mediated transcytosis (RMT) is a promising approach being developed to use native transport pathways to shuttle larger therapeutic complexes across the BBB. Initial reports of the ongoing clinical trials for the first RMT-based therapeutic to be used in humans have been positive; encouraging continued development of therapeutics using RMT pathways for drug delivery.

Specific targeting of chemotherapeutic agents to tumor cells could significantly reduce toxic side effects that are currently caused by the systemic distribution of administered cytotoxic drugs in the body. In recent years, substantial progress has been made toward the general goal of targeted therapy using both passive and active targeting approaches. For example, antibody-drug conjugates have been developed that rely on the specific targeting of tumor biomarkers using
antibodies to deliver a toxic payload to tumor cells. There are, however, challenges with finding appropriate chemistries for conjugating the drug to the antibody, with continued need for improved linkers between antibodies and their drug payload that do not inhibit antibody targeting and that can release drug when the conjugate has reached the desired location. Inorganic and polymeric nanocarriers have also been explored for both passive and active targeting. Although several nanocarriers that passively target tumor cells have been approved for clinical use, no actively targeted nanocarriers have advanced past clinical trials to date. There remains a need for better drug carriers that actively target pathological cells.

Active targeting of drug carriers to particular cell types is generally achieved by conjugating a drug carrier to a ligand that binds specific cell-surface receptors. Drug carriers include polymers and nanoparticles, and ligands can be proteins, peptides, or certain small molecules. Proteins are particularly useful as targeting ligands because they exhibit precise binding interactions with molecular partners. Protein engineering permits the manipulation of these binding interactions such that a given targeting protein can be engineered to meet identified design parameters, such as a desired affinity or binding epitope on the receptor. Consequently, proteins, including antibodies and other protein classes, have found wide success on their own as therapeutics for a variety of diseases. To be useful as a targeting ligand for drug delivery applications, proteins that interact with a chosen disease marker need to be chemically coupled to the drug to be delivered. Versatile and straightforward chemistries to conjugate drugs to proteins are still needed. Polymers that link targeting proteins to drug molecules are a promising avenue for developing a modular strategy for synthesizing targeted drug delivery molecules, where any targeting protein of interest could be readily coupled to a drug molecule linked by a polymer that couples to protein and to drug. Here, we report the development of protein-polymer conjugates for targeted drug delivery applications.

Protein-polymer conjugates are being used in a variety of applications in medicine and industry. The first generation of protein-polymer conjugates were comprised of polyethylene glycol (PEG) attached to therapeutic proteins to extend the circulation time and reduce the immunogenicity of the therapeutics. Over the past several decades, more than a dozen PEGylated molecules have been approved for use in humans. While PEG continues to be the leading polymer for preparing clinically-relevant protein-polymer conjugates, PEG does have limitations,
such as non-degradability and potential immunogenicity,\textsuperscript{9} that necessitate the development of protein-polymer conjugates with an expanded selection of finely tuned functionalities.

Numerous advances in the development of protein-polymer conjugates with expanded chemistries useful for biomedical applications have been reported in recent years.\textsuperscript{17–19,24,25} Strategies for controlled polymerization\textsuperscript{19,24–26} and site-specific conjugation\textsuperscript{24,25–34} of polymers to proteins have facilitated the synthesis of more well-defined protein-polymer conjugates. Site-specificity and control of polymer synthesis are jointly achieved with approaches that grow polymers from proteins functionalized with an initiator at a unique location in the protein sequence.\textsuperscript{24,31,33} While growing polymers from appropriately-functionalized proteins, termed ‘grafting-from,’ affords more easily purified conjugates,\textsuperscript{19,25,26} the grafting-from approach does limit to some extent the chemistries that can be incorporated into the polymer structure. In addition, grafting-from requires a new polymer to be synthesized each time the bioconjugate is prepared, which may lead to small variations in the polymer structure, even when controlled methods are used. In a ‘grafting-to’ approach, preformed polymers bearing end-group or side-chain reactive functionality are conjugated to proteins.\textsuperscript{25,35,36} A number of different chemistries have been used to facilitate grafting of polymers to proteins, including polymers bearing amine-reactive functionality such as NHS-esters or anhydrides,\textsuperscript{25,36,37} maleimide or dibromomaleimide functionality for reaction with cysteine residues,\textsuperscript{25,36–40} and biorthogonal “click” reactions.\textsuperscript{25,34,36,41} Grafting-to permits incorporation of both water-soluble and water-insoluble functionalities into the polymer structure. For example, hydrophobic drugs are an important class of water-insoluble molecules that can be incorporated into polymer structures when using the grafting-to approach. Grafting-to also allows conjugation of a defined polymer structure to a variety of different proteins.

In the work reported here, we explored the use of side-chain reactive polymers for the preparation of protein-polymer conjugates via a grafting-to approach. Side-chain reactive polymers and their subsequent post-polymerization modification\textsuperscript{42–45} offer opportunities for combinatorial synthesis of a broad range of polymer structures such that the influence of polymer structure on bioconjugate properties can be easily explored\textsuperscript{9}. Furthermore, these reactive groups could be used to tether drug molecules to the scaffold before protein conjugation. In particular, hydrophobic drugs can be more readily coupled to a polymer in organic solvent compared to directly coupling a hydrophobic drug to a protein in aqueous solution. From a drug delivery perspective, a polymer with a tunable number of sites for drug attachment is desirable because it
permits intentional selection of the number of drug molecules per protein-polymer conjugate. Such flexibility in drug loading enables targeting an appropriate concentration in the body within a particular drug’s therapeutic window. It is then possible to achieve a sufficiently high concentration of the drug at the disease site to have a desired therapeutic effect while remaining below concentrations in the body that cause unacceptable toxicities. The ability to conjugate a variety of active drug molecules directly to protein residues is more difficult than approaches that use a delivery scaffold.

We used the reactive polymer poly(2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA, Figure 1) to prepare a series of protein-polymer conjugates. PVDMA is attractive for the preparation of bioconjugates for several reasons. It can be synthesized from the vinyl monomer using a variety of polymerization methods. In this current work, we synthesized PVDMA using reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization, which has been demonstrated previously to yield well-defined azlactone-functionalized polymers (Figure 1A). Importantly for this work, the five-membered lactone of PVDMA rapidly undergoes ring-opening reactions with nucleophiles, such as amines and alcohols, including those found in native proteins. Thus, a broad range of polymeric structures and bioconjugates can be readily synthesized starting from the same template polymer. While azlactone-functionalized polymers have been used to immobilize proteins on a variety of solid supports or thin films, only a few examples of soluble protein-polymer conjugates have been reported. For example, Fontaine and coworkers demonstrated the feasibility of using the azlactone functional group for conjugation of polymers to lysozyme while Weeks et al. reported the conjugation of recombinant elastin-like polypeptides to PVDMA. However, because PVDMA is not inherently water-soluble, these previous reports used organic solvents to conjugate the protein to the polymer.

In this work, we demonstrate the feasibility of synthesizing water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized polymers and conjugating these reactive polymers to disease-relevant proteins. Stover and coworkers reported the synthesis of water-soluble azlactone-functionalized polymers through copolymerization of the azlactone monomer VDMA with a series of water-soluble comonomers. Others have demonstrated that PVDMA can be rendered water soluble by exhaustive functionalization with appropriate side chain functionality. In this report, we functionalized PVDMA with substoichiometric amounts of triethylene glycol monomethyl ether (abbreviated mTEG) to prepare reactive, water-soluble polymers (PVDMA-mTEG, Figure 1A).
This polymer readily conjugates to the proteins holo-transferrin (hTF) and ovotransferrin (OTF) in aqueous solution (Figure 1B). hTF represents a useful model protein for the development of targeted drug delivery scaffolds because the protein binds to and is internalized by cell-surface transferrin receptors (TFR) present on endothelial cells that comprise the blood brain barrier and expressed at high levels on many tumor cells. hTF has also been used recently in the synthesis of protein-polymer conjugates and shown to facilitate receptor-specific targeting of conjugates to cells expressing the transferrin receptor. Using confocal microscopy assays, we show that hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates are internalized specifically into a tumor cell line that expresses TFR. This work exemplifies a modular approach for synthesizing protein-polymer conjugates and offers a new system that can be easily tailored for targeted drug delivery to a variety of disease-specific cell types.

Figure 1. Synthesis of protein-polymer conjugates via a modular grafting-to approach using water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized polymers. (A) PVDMA was synthesized by RAFT polymerization and functionalized with a substoichiometric equivalent of mTEG (0.3 molar eq. relative to repeat unit) to make the polymer soluble in water (PVDMA-mTEG). (B) PVDMA-mTEG can be subsequently grafted to a protein, including holo-transferrin shown here (PDB 3V83).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Synthesis and Characterization of mTEG-functionalized PVDMA. PVDMA was synthesized using RAFT polymerization (Figure 1A, step 1) to yield a well-defined homopolymer with $M_n = 13.1$ kg/mol (Table 1). Water-soluble azlactone-functionalized polymers for protein conjugation were synthesized by treating the homopolymer with 0.3 equivalents of mTEG relative to the azlactone repeat unit (Figure 1A, step 2). DBU was used as a base catalyst and all reactions were stirred at 40 °C overnight. Figure 2A shows FT-IR spectra of PVDMA homopolymer and PVDMA treated with mTEG. The IR spectrum of PVDMA prior to functionalization (Figure 2A, black dashed curve) reveals peaks characteristic of the carbonyl (1820 cm$^{-1}$) and imine (1670 cm$^{-1}$) bonds of the azlactone ring. Treatment of PVDMA with 0.3 equivalents of mTEG (red curve) leads to a decrease in the carbonyl and imine peaks and the appearance of peaks at 1735 cm$^{-1}$ (ester), 1650 cm$^{-1}$ (amide I), and 1540 cm$^{-1}$ (amide II) that result from ring-opening of the lactone with an alcohol nucleophile. Quantitative analysis of mTEG functionalization using NMR spectroscopy revealed that mTEG was incorporated into the polymer in nearly quantitative yield (Table 1). GPC analysis of PVDMA functionalized with mTEG revealed an increase in molecular weight consistent with functionalization of the polymer (Table 1). GPC analysis also confirmed that no polymer crosslinking occurred during treatment with mTEG, based on observing no increase in dispersity comparing polymer before and after mTEG functionalization. The absence of crosslinking is expected since mTEG only has one nucleophile that is reactive with the azlactone group. Finally, while PVDMA can be functionalized with larger amounts of mTEG, polymers modified with 0.3 equivalents proved to be soluble in water. Thus, this polymer, referred to hereafter simply as PVDMA-mTEG, was used for all experiments described here to provide the greatest number of remaining reactive groups in the polymer for additional modifications and protein conjugation.

Table 1. Characterization of polymers by NMR spectroscopy and GPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Name</th>
<th>mTEG eq.$^a$</th>
<th>Actual mTEG$^b$</th>
<th>$M_n$ (kg/mol)$^c$</th>
<th>$D^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVDMA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVDMA-mTEG</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Molar equivalents of mTEG relative to the azlactone repeat unit in the reaction.  
$^b$ Molar equivalents of mTEG incorporated into the polymer was determined using $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. 4-Iodoanisole was added as an internal standard and mTEG functionalization was determined by comparing the integration of the ester peak at 4.22 ppm to the integration of the peak at 6.67 ppm arising from 4-idoanisole. Number average molecular weight and dispersity determined by GPC in THF measured against polystyrene standards.
One potential challenge associated with using the azlactone moiety for protein conjugation in aqueous solution is that these groups are susceptible to hydrolysis. However, hydrolysis reactions are typically slower than reactions of azlactones with amines. Furthermore, azlactone groups have been shown to persist for several hours in water when copolymerized with certain water soluble monomers. To qualitatively characterize the rate of hydrolysis of PVDMA-mTEG, we acquired IR spectra of a polymer dissolved in water (Figure 2B) over time. The series of spectra shown in Figure 2B reveal that the lactone carbonyl peak (1820 cm\(^{-1}\)) persists for at least 12 hours. The polymer fully hydrolyzes in 24 hours as evidenced by the complete disappearance of the lactone carbonyl peak at 1820 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2B). Based on these data, we hypothesized that, following functionalization with mTEG, sufficient azlactones would remain on the polymer to permit reaction with amines on a protein (i.e., the N-terminus or lysine residues), but that all residual azlactone groups would fully hydrolyze during or after protein conjugation. This latter hydrolysis reaction is desirable in order to avoid unwanted reactions of the polymer with proteins on cells in subsequent cell internalization experiments.
Figure 2. Water-soluble, azlactone-functionalized copolymers can be synthesized by post-polymerization modification of PVDMA. (A) FT-IR spectra of PVDMA (black dashed curve) and PVDMA modified with 0.3 molar equivalents (Eq.) of mTEG relative to the repeat unit (red curve). The peaks at 1820 cm$^{-1}$ (carbonyl) and 1670 cm$^{-1}$ (imine) are characteristic of the azlactone ring. Ring opening of the lactone with an alcohol nucleophile results in the disappearance of the azlactone peaks and the appearance of ester (1720 cm$^{-1}$), amide I (1650 cm$^{-1}$), and amide II (1540 cm$^{-1}$) peaks. (B) FT-IR spectra as a function of time of PVDMA-mTEG incubated in water. FT-IR spectra revealed the disappearance of the azlactone carbonyl (1820 cm$^{-1}$) peak and an increase in the peaks at 1735 cm$^{-1}$ (ester+carboxylic acid carbonyl), 1650 cm$^{-1}$ (amide I), and 1540 cm$^{-1}$ (amide II). The strong peak at 1710 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to acetone, which was used to cast the polymer film on the ATR crystal. The legend refers to time in hours following dissolution of PVDMA-mTEG in water.

Protein Holo-transferrin Conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG. For our initial experiments, holo-transferrin (hTF) was selected to determine the feasibility of conjugating proteins to PVDMA-mTEG. hTF is an 80 kDa glycoprotein containing 58 lysine residues (UniProt P02787) and is the native protein ligand for the transferrin receptor (TFR). Upon binding its receptor, hTF gets internalized into cells through receptor-mediated endocytosis. The hTF-TFR interaction is of interest for a variety of clinical applications. For example, receptor-mediated transcytosis...
facilitated by TFR has been studied for drug delivery across the blood-brain barrier to the central nervous system. TFR is also overexpressed in many cancers, which makes it an interesting receptor system to be used as a model for targeted drug delivery to tumor cells. Because PVDMA reacts readily with the primary amines in the N-termini and lysine residues in proteins, hTF provides ample reactive sites for conjugation.

Conjugates were prepared by incubating PVDMA-mTEG with hTF in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 15\% v/v DMSO at 4 °C. Low concentrations of DMSO are commonly used to facilitate conjugation of reactive small molecules and polymers to proteins. We examined a range of molar ratios of polymer:protein for hTF conjugation reactions. Successful conjugation of polymer to protein was assessed using SDS-PAGE (Figure 3). Lane 1 contains pure hTF protein with no polymer. Lane 2 contains PVDMA-mTEG polymer with no protein, which is not detected by the protein gel stain. Lanes 3 through 6 include conjugation reactions in which the amount of protein was kept constant while the amount of PVDMA-mTEG was increased. Lane 3 reveals the presence of a faint band at higher molecular weight than the hTF protein band. The apparent molecular weight of this band is consistent with the molecular weight of one protein and one polymer molecule, suggesting the formation of conjugates at a 1:1 molar ratio of protein:polymer. With higher amounts of polymer in the conjugation reaction (Figure 3, lane 4-6), we observe a band at a molecular weight consistent with a protein:polymer molar ratio of 1:2. Increasing the molar amount of polymer relative to protein resulted in a darkening of this higher molecular weight band. We do not observe any protein bands at a molecular weight that suggests two or more proteins in a conjugate molecule with at least one polymer. While all reactions show residual unreacted protein, as demonstrated by the presence of the original protein band in lanes 3-6, the intensities of these bands are increasingly reduced compared to the intensity of the protein only sample shown in lane 1. The same amount of total protein was loaded into lanes 1 and 3-6, and, therefore, reduction in the original protein band intensity further suggests successful protein-polymer conjugation. Taken together, these data demonstrate that hTF conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG through reactive, azlactone functionality in aqueous solution.
**Figure 3.** Protein hTF conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG. Holo-transferrin (hTF) conjugates to PVDMA-mTEG in aqueous solution. The appearance of higher molecular weight bands and decrease in intensity of primary protein band indicate protein conjugation to polymer. Protein amounts in each lane were held constant. Lane 1 contains protein only, lane 2 contains PVDMA-mTEG only. Lanes 3-6 contain unpurified protein-polymer conjugation reactions at an increasing amount of polymer relative to protein, keeping amount of protein constant. Molar ratios of protein to polymer molecules in reactions are: lane 3 = 1:5; lane 4 = 1:10; lane 5 = 1:20; lane 6 = 1:50. Samples are not reduced. Apparent molecular weights of the two protein-polymer conjugate bands are most consistent with protein:polymer conjugate ratios of 1:1 and 1:2.

**Protein-Polymer Conjugates can be Purified by Size Exclusion Chromatography.** Prior to use in receptor targeting experiments with a human cell line, protein-polymer conjugates were purified from unreacted protein and unreacted polymer. Samples were first concentrated and purified from low molecular weight species by using a centrifugal filtration device with a 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) before being loaded onto a size exclusion chromatography (SEC) column. Samples were analyzed by detecting absorbance at 220 nm. Pure hTF protein exhibits a single narrow peak on SEC (Figure 4A, red solid curve). PVDMA-mTEG exhibits a broad high molecular weight peak and a narrow low molecular weight peak (Figure S1A). Unpurified protein-polymer conjugates eluted at shorter retention times (i.e., higher molecular weight) relative to hTF.
only and included low molecular weight species similar to polymer only samples (Figure 4A, black dashed curve). We were able to collect the high molecular weight protein-polymer conjugate peak, which no longer contained unreacted protein when analyzed by SEC (Figure 4A, red dashed curve) and SDS-PAGE (Figure 4B). Because the molecular weight of the polymer is less than the molecular weight of the protein, we anticipate that most or all of the unreacted polymer was removed through SEC purification. However, because polymer alone does not stain on the protein gel, it is possible that some unreacted polymer remains following SEC purification.

The purified protein-polymer conjugates contained a mixture of conjugates at protein:polymer ratios of 1:1 and 1:2 (Figure 4B). On SEC, we did not observe any products of the conjugation reaction that would suggest more than one protein per conjugate, based on analysis of retention time of the protein-polymer conjugation reactions. However, it is possible that any conjugates with two proteins joined by one or more polymers may elute at a longer retention time than would be predicted for a globular protein of the same molecular weight, so it remains possible that some protein-polymer conjugates containing two proteins exist in our reaction mixture. The lack of molecules in the conjugation reaction mixture eluting at less than 20 min retention time does conclusively indicate a lack of higher order aggregates.

![Figure 4. Purification of hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates.](image)

(A) SEC was used to analyze and purify hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates from unreacted hTF and from unreacted PVDMA-mTEG. Larger molecules have a shorter retention time. Pure hTF protein (red solid line) exhibits a single narrow peak for absorbance at 220 nm. The protein-polymer conjugation reaction (black dashed line) has overlapping peaks that include an unreacted hTF peak and a new larger molecule with shorter retention time consistent with protein-polymer conjugates, as well as a low molecular weight peak from polymer byproducts. There are no peaks in the conjugation reaction that elute < 20 min, indicating the absence of higher order protein-polymer aggregates. Following collection of the protein-polymer conjugate peak and reinjection onto SEC, a narrow peak is observed as purified hTF-PVDMA-mTEG (red dashed line). (B) SDS-PAGE
analysis of hTF (lane 1), protein-polymer conjugation reaction before purification (lane 2), and SEC purified hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugate (lane 3) demonstrates successful purification of conjugates using SEC. In the purified product (lane 3), unreacted hTF is absent. Polymers are at lower molecular weight than hTF and should therefore also be removed by SEC purification. Molecular weights of purified conjugates are consistent with protein:polymer ratios of 1:1 and 1:2. Samples are not reduced.

Fluorescent, Hydrophobic Small Molecule can be Coupled to PVDMA-mTEG Prior to Polymer Conjugation to Protein. To permit visualization of protein-polymer conjugates in the presence of cells using fluorescence imaging techniques, we fluorescently labeled PVDMA-mTEG with the amine-functionalized fluorophore fluorescein cadaverine (FC, labeled polymer denoted as PVDMA<sub>a</sub>-mTEG). Coupling a small molecule fluorophore directly to the polymer models a way in which drugs could be tethered to the polymer for future drug delivery applications. FC was reacted with PVDMA-mTEG in DMSO in a molar ratio of FC to VDMA monomer such that 1-2 molecules of FC were coupled to each polymer chain. Many small molecule drugs are hydrophobic, and the ability to couple drugs to polymer in organic solvent prior to an aqueous reaction conjugating polymer to protein is an advantage of our approach. We then coupled the fluorescently labeled PVDMA<sub>a</sub>-mTEG to hTF and to the protein ovotransferrin (OTF). OTF is the chicken homolog of human transferrin. It has the same overall structure and size as human hTF, but is sufficiently distinct in sequence that it does not bind to human TFR<sup>62</sup>, making OTF conjugates a suitable negative control for TFR binding and internalization experiments. FC labeled protein-polymer conjugates were purified from unreacted molecules by SEC as described above, yielding a single pure peak when analyzed by SEC (Figure 5A). The peak exhibits absorbance at 220 nm (Figure 5A, top) and at 494 nm (Figure 5A, bottom). Absorbance at 494 nm is characteristic of the fluorophore, and is absent in the sample of pure protein, indicating successful conjugation of FC to polymer, and subsequent conjugation of PVDMA<sub>a</sub>-mTEG to protein. Analysis of the purified FC labeled protein-polymer conjugates using UV-visible spectroscopy resulted in absorbance peaks at 280 nm and 494 nm (Figure 5B). In pure hTF protein, there is only an absorbance peak at 280 nm. In PVDMA-mTEG without FC conjugation, we see no absorbance peaks in the UV-visible range, as expected (Figure S1B). The presence of the 494 nm absorbance peak in the FC-coupled PVDMA-mTEG and in the purified protein-polymer conjugates confirms that FC was successfully conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG and that PVDMA<sub>a</sub>-mTEG subsequently was able to be conjugated to hTF and OTF.
Figure 5. Fluorescent, hydrophobic small molecule can be coupled to polymer and protein-polymer conjugates. Small molecule fluorophore fluoresceine cadaverine (FC) was conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG, and the resulting PVDMA-FC-mTEG was conjugated to hTF or OTF. (A) SEC was used to purify and analyze hTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG and OTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG conjugates from unreacted component molecules. A single peak for hTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG and for OTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG with retention time shorter than for the corresponding protein alone, and with absorbance at 220 nm (top) and for 494 nm (bottom), demonstrates small molecule fluorophore incorporation into the purified protein-polymer conjugates. Protein alone does not absorb at 494 nm. The FC molecule absorbs at 494 nm. (B) UV-Vis absorption spectra for hTF protein, PVDMA-FC-mTEG, purified hTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG, and purified OTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG. The characteristic absorption peaks for protein (*) and FC (**) are indicated at 280 nm and 494 nm, respectively. Concentrations of samples differ, resulting in different heights of absorbance peaks.
Internalization of Protein-polymer Conjugates into Cells is Receptor-specific. We next determined that protein-polymer conjugates are specifically internalized through receptor-mediated endocytosis. MCF-7 breast cancer cells have been shown to overexpress the transferrin receptor on their surface and have been previously used to study internalization of molecules targeted to TFR.\textsuperscript{36,37} Flow cytometry with an antibody that recognizes human TFR confirmed high levels of surface TFR expression on the MCF-7 cell line (Figure S2A). A titration binding assay was performed with fluorescently labeled hTF and MCF-7 cells to determine an appropriate concentration of protein or protein-polymer conjugate for cell internalization experiments. We determined a dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{D}) of 10 ± 5 nM (Figure S2B), which is consistent with previously reported values.\textsuperscript{42} A biological interpretation of the K\textsubscript{D} is that half of the receptors are occupied by ligand when the ligand concentration is equal to the K\textsubscript{D}. In subsequent conjugate internalization experiments, we incubated MCF-7 cells with 10 nM of conjugates to provide ample ligand to visualize receptor-specific internalization, without overwhelming the receptor internalization machinery.

All internalization experiments were conducted by incubating protein-polymer conjugate samples or control samples with MCF-7 cells for 1 h at 37 °C in culture media without serum. These conditions are on the time scale and at the relevant temperature for receptor-mediated endocytosis to occur in MCF-7 cells.\textsuperscript{65} Prior to imaging, all cells were stained with phalloidin (shown by red fluorescence), which binds to actin filaments and demarcates cell boundaries, and DAPI (shown by blue fluorescence), which stains cell nuclei. All protein, protein-polymer, and polymer samples were fluorescently labeled with either Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488, samples with protein only) or FC (all polymer-containing samples) and are shown as green fluorescence.

Row 1 of Figure 6 shows confocal microscopy images for MCF-7 cells stained with DAPI and phalloidin to identify nuclei and actin filaments, but with no protein, polymer, or conjugates added; these images show the level of background cellular autofluorescence in the channel that was used to visualize targeting molecules. Row 2 of Figure 6 shows confocal microscopy images for MCF-7 cells incubated with 10 nM hTF-488. The green channel and merged images show punctate regions of green fluorescence distributed throughout the cell body (cell boundaries shown in red channel), indicating internalization of the protein. The presence of punctate structures is consistent with protein localized to endosomes after receptor-mediated endocytosis. When treated with increasing concentrations of hTF-488, MCF-7 cells show increased levels of internalization.
(Figure S3), also consistent with receptor-mediated endocytosis. To further demonstrate that ligand-receptor interactions are necessary for internalization, we conducted a competition experiment in which cells were treated with hTF-488 (10 nM) and a 1000-fold excess of unlabeled hTF (10 \( \mu \text{M} \)) (Figure 6, row 3). As expected, when labeled protein was in competition with an excess of unlabeled protein, green fluorescence signal within the cell body was reduced to the level of background autofluorescence (Figure 6, row 3). The results of these control experiments demonstrate that hTF is internalized into our MCF-7 cells via a mechanism consistent with receptor-mediated endocytosis.
Figure 6. hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG targeted protein-polymer conjugates are internalized into MCF-7 cells through receptor-specific interactions. Cells not treated with protein or protein-polymer conjugate exhibit a low background level of autofluorescence in the green channel (row 1). As a positive control, holo-transferrin protein directly labeled with fluorophore (hTF-488) is internalized into MCF-7 cells that express transferrin receptor, as seen by green punctate structures throughout the cell body (row 2). hTF-488 internalization can be blocked by competition with an excess of unlabeled hTF protein (row 3). Fluorescently labeled polymer conjugated to human holo-transferrin (hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG) is similarly internalized into the cell line (row 4). Competition between hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG and excess unlabeled hTF blocks internalization and reduces signal to the level of autofluorescence (row 5), indicating that binding and internalization of the protein-polymer conjugate is mediated by specific interactions between hTF its receptor, TFR. Cells were incubated with samples for 1 h at 37 °C to allow receptor-mediated internalization to occur. Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; and green indicates the protein or protein-polymer conjugate, with positive control protein labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 or polymer labeled with fluorescein cadaverine. Scale bar shown applies to all images.

Confocal microscopy images of MCF-7 cells treated with hTF conjugated to PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG (Figure 6, row 4) exhibited punctate regions of green fluorescence throughout the cell body, similar to results observed with hTF-488. These results demonstrate successful internalization of the conjugates. A competition experiment similar to that described above for hTF-488 was performed in which cells were treated with hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG conjugate in the presence of 1000-fold excess (10 µM) unlabeled hTF. The green channel and merged confocal microscopy images for this experiment (Figure 6, row 5) reveal the reduction of green signal to the level of autofluorescence, indicating that the internalization of hTF-targeted protein-polymer conjugates is dependent on specific binding of hTF to TFR. Internalization of hTF-488 and of hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG molecules was further demonstrated by collecting a series of images from neighboring confocal planes of clusters of cells, termed z-stacks, confirming that green fluorescence is present within cells, rather than on the cell surface (Supporting Information Video 1 and Video 2).

We explored whether non-specific polymer interactions substantially contributed to the binding and internalization signal we observed for hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG (Figure 7). We co-incubated hTF-PVDMA\textsubscript{α}-mTEG with an excess of unlabeled PVDMA-mTEG, and observed no noticeable reduction in signal, suggesting that non-specific interactions of the polymer with the cell surface are not necessary for binding and internalization (Figure 7, row 1). To further confirm that specific ligand-receptor interactions are required for internalization, we examined potential
binding and internalization of the negative control protein-polymer conjugate, OTF-PVDMA<sub>m</sub>-mTEG, which was not expected to bind any MCF-7 cell surface receptors. OTF is a chicken transferrin, and MCF-7 cells express human TFR. We did not observe any MCF-7 cell binding or internalization of OTF protein directly labeled with AF488 (Figure 7, row 2). Similarly, we also did not observe MCF-7 cell binding or internalization of the non-targeted OTF-PVDMA<sub>m</sub>-mTEG (Figure 7, row 3). Finally, fluorescently labeled polymer not conjugated to any protein (PVDMA<sub>m</sub>-mTEG) does not adhere to or internalize into MCF-7 cells (Figure 7, row 4). These results provide further confirmation that hTF-PVDMA<sub>m</sub>-mTEG conjugates are internalized via specific interactions of the hTF ligand with cell surface receptor TFR, rather than through non-specific interactions of polymer with the cells.

Figure 7. Polymer does not cause non-specific cell staining for protein-polymer conjugates. Including excess unlabeled polymer during the internalization period of hTF-PVDMA<sub>m</sub>-mTEG does not block receptor-specific
internalization of hTF-PVDMA-mTEG (row 1). MCF-7 cells neither bind nor internalize non-targeted chicken ovotransferrin protein labeled directly with fluorophore (OTF-488) (row 2) or fluorescently labeled OTF-polymer conjugates (OTF-PVDMA-mTEG) (row 3). Fluorescently labeled polymer not conjugated to protein (PVDMA-mTEG) similarly does not stain cells (row 4). Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; and green indicates the protein or protein-polymer conjugate, with OTF control protein labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 and polymer labeled with fluorescein cadaverine. Scale bar shown applies to all images.

When conjugating polymers to proteins, there is the risk that the polymer will destabilize the protein structure, or that the polymer will sterically block the interaction of a protein ligand with its receptor, rendering the protein-polymer conjugate irrelevant for the intended application. Importantly, the protein-polymer conjugate internalization experiments we have conducted demonstrate that hTF protein maintains its ability to bind and be internalized by TFR when conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG, suggesting that hTF maintains its structure and function when conjugated to PVDMA-mTEG.

CONCLUSION

We have developed a new, modular strategy for conjugating diverse proteins to hydrophilic polymers using the reactive, azlactone-functionalized polymer PVDMA with the goal of developing conjugates for applications in targeted drug delivery. In our approach, we first functionalized PVDMA with mTEG to render the polymer water-soluble. We demonstrated the conjugation of this reactive polymer with proteins in aqueous solution. When the targeting protein holo-transferrin was conjugated to a fluorescently-labeled analog of PVDMA-mTEG, protein-polymer conjugates were internalized into tumor cells expressing the transferrin receptor in a receptor-specific manner.

Internalization of hTF-PVDMA-mTEG conjugates into human cells expressing TFR has implications for targeted delivery to the central nervous system and to tumor cells with overexpressed receptors. Our approach to synthesizing protein-polymer complexes for drug delivery could be extended to encompass protein ligands that bind other receptors relevant for a variety of clinical needs to generate protein-polymer-drug conjugates for diverse targeted drug delivery applications. Although in this initial report proteins were conjugated to PVDMA through
primary amines contained naturally in the native protein sequences, both the targeting protein and
the polymer could be further modified for site-specific conjugation reactions.

While the experiments described here focused on mTEG-modified PVDMA, this post-
polymerization modification approach to the synthesis of multifunctional bioconjugates permits
rapid and straightforward access to a broad range of macromolecular structures without requiring
the synthesis of new polymers each time a new structure is to be investigated. For example, diverse
side chain chemistries and degrees of functionalization can readily be explored. In addition,
because the polymer modification reactions are conducted initially in organic solvents, non-water
soluble functionality, such as hydrophobic drugs, may be incorporated into the polymer prior to
conjugation to the proteins. The synthetic versatility of PVDMA and the ease with which it can be
conjugated to proteins offers opportunities for preparing a range of bioconjugates tailored to
specific biomedical applications.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials. Triethylene glycol monomethyl ether (mTEG), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene
(DBU), 2,2’-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN), 2-(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-
methylpropionic acid, ovotransferrin (OTF), 4-iodoanisole, and anhydrous dioxane were
purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The
monomer 2-vinyl-4,4-dimethylazlactone (VDMA) was synthesized as previously described. Fluorescein cadaverine (FC) was purchased from Biotium. Alexa Fluor 488 tetrafluorophenyl
ester, NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gels, MES buffer, and LDS buffer were purchased from
ThermoFisher Scientific. Inhibitor removal resin was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Holo-transferrin
(HTf, Cat.: 616397) was purchased from CalBiochem. PBS (10X) were purchased from Fisher
Scientific. THF was purified using alumina drying columns. All other solvents were purchased
from Pharmco-AAPER (Brookfield, CT). Deuterated DMSO (DMSO-d₆) and deuterated
chloroform (CDCl₃) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. Dulbecco’s
Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) was purchased from ATCC, and all other cell culture reagents
were obtained from Gibco. Phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Flour 594 was purchased from Thermo
Fisher, formaldehyde as a 3.7% solution in PBS was from Fisher Scientific, and Vectashield
mounting medium with DAPI was from Vector Labs.
General Considerations.  \(^1\)H-NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. Attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker ALPHA FTIR spectrometer and analyzed using OPUS software version 7.5. Gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed on an Agilent 1260 GPC instrument equipped with PLgel Mixed C and Mixed D columns and an RI detector, operating in THF at 40 °C with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Molecular weights and dispersities were measured against polystyrene calibration standards. SEC was performed using a Superdex 75 10/300 GL column (GE) and an Agilent 1200 series liquid chromatography system. Flow cytometry was performed on a Guava easyCyte flow cytometer (Millipore-Sigma). Laser scanning confocal microscopy images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope and analyzed using LAS AF software version 2.7.3.9723.

Synthesis of poly(2-vinyl-4,4’-dimethylazlactone) (PVDMA). VDMA was passed through a phenolic inhibitor removal resin followed by passage through a short plug of silica gel prior to polymerization. The initiator 2,2’-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was recrystallized twice from methanol prior to use. AIBN (5.9 mg, 0.036 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) and CTA (26 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1 equiv.) was weighed into a 25 mL schlenk-flask equipped with a stir bar. Anhydrous toluene (4.5 mL) was added to the flask and the mixture was stirred to dissolve the AIBN. VDMA (1.5 g, 10.8 mmol, 150 equiv.) was added to the flask, the flask was capped with a septum and placed in a dry ice and isopropanol bath at ~7 torr. Atmosphere was purged from the flask using three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and filled with nitrogen. The reaction solution was stirred at 70 °C for 12 h (~85% conversion). The slightly viscous reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and acetone (~3 mL) was added to the flask. The polymer was precipitated twice into hexanes to yield a pale yellow solid (1.26 g, 92% yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl): \(\delta = 1.37\) (br s, (-CH\(_3\))), 1.62-2.1 (br m, -CH\(_{\text{CH}}\)-), 2.69 (br s, -CH\(_{\text{CH}}\)-). FT-IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2980-2900 (C-H), 1820 (lactone C=O), 1672 (C=N). GPC: \(M_n = 13.1\) kg/mol; PDI = 1.35.

Synthesis of PVDMA-mTEG. PVDMA (100 mg, 0.72 mmol with respect to the molecular weight of the repeat unit VDMA) and mTEG (35 mg, 0.216 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) were combined in a 5 mL round-bottomed flask and dissolved in anhydrous THF (3 mL). DBU (16.1 \(\mu\)L, 0.108 mmol, 0.15
equiv.) was added to catalyze the reaction. 4-Iodoanisole (50.5 mg, 0.216 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added as an internal standard for determining degree of functionalization. The flask was capped with a rubber septum and purged with nitrogen for 15 minutes. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 10 h. Prior to purification, an aliquot (~0.2 mL) of the reaction mixture was removed for $^1$H NMR analysis to determine the degree of mTEG functionalization. The remaining polymer solution was purified by precipitation into diethyl ether (100 mL) followed by centrifugation (9,000xg at 4 °C, 2 min) to yield a yellow product. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 1.37-1.50 (br m, -(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.62-2.1 (br m, -CH$_2$CH-), 2.5 (br s, -CH$_2$CH-), 2.84 (br s, -CH$_2$CH-), 3.38 (br s, CH$_3$-O-), 3.45-3.65 (br m, -CH$_2$-O-), 4.22 (br s, -C(=O)O-CH$_2$). FT-IR (ATR, cm$^{-1}$): 2880-2900 (C-H), 1820 (lactone C=O), 1735 (ester C=O), 1672 (C=N), 1540 (amide II CN and NH).

PVDMA$_n$-mTEG. PVDMA-mTEG (50 mg, 0.26 mmol relative to the repeat unit) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (1 mL) in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Fluorescein cadaverine (FC) (0.95 mg, 1.3 µmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (9.5 µL) and added to the polymer solution. The reaction was mixed by gentle rotation for 2 h at room temperature. The labeled polymer was used for protein conjugation or hydrolysis without additional purification.

Hydrolyzed PVDMA$_n$-mTEG. Unreactive, hydrolyzed PVDMA$_n$-mTEG used for control experiments was synthesized by dissolving PVDMA$_n$-mTEG (100 mg) in DMSO (2 mL) in a 5 mL round bottom flask. Water (95.7 mg, 5.32 mmol, 10 eq relative to the azlactone repeat unit) and DBU (202 mg, 1.33 mmol, 2.5 eq relative to the azlactone repeat unit) was added and the solution was allowed to react at 40 °C for 3 h. Complete hydrolysis was confirmed using ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Samples were then dialyzed against PBS for 24 h (MWCO = 3.5 kDa) to remove any small molecule impurities, including unreacted fluorophore, prior to incubation with cells. FT-IR (ATR, cm$^{-1}$): 3500-2600 (O-H), 2880-2900 (C-H), 1725 (carboxylic acid C=O), 1650 (amide C=O), 1540 (amide II CN and NH).

PVDMA-mTEG Hydrolysis Study. PVDMA-mTEG (244 mg, 1.32 mmol relative to the repeat unit) was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO (4.9 mL). PBS (11 mL) was added to simulate the concentration of polymer used in a 1:50 molar ratio conjugation of hTF to polymer. At each time...
point (0.5, 1, 3, 5, 9, 12, 24, 36 hours), a 1 mL sample (15.3 mg of polymer) was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and freeze dried. The samples were dissolved in acetone and cast directly onto the ATR crystal for analysis by FT-IR spectroscopy.

**Synthesis of Protein-polymer Conjugates.** Proteins (i.e., hTF and OTF) were conjugated to polymer using the following general procedure. Protein stock solutions of 1 mg/ml were prepared in PBS with 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate (pH = 8.0), to increase the reactivity of the primary amines of the protein. Polymer samples (i.e., PVDMA-mTEG or PVDMA-ε-mTEG) (50 mg) were dissolved in DMSO (1 mL) in a microcentrifuge tube. A 1 ml aliquot of the desired protein (1 mg) was added to polymer solution to achieve a protein:polymer molar ratio of 1:50, where a mole of polymer was calculated using data from GPC analysis. The molecular weight of a monomer of VDMA is 139 g/mol. Therefore, a molar ratio of 1 mol protein: 50 mol polymer is equivalent to a molar ratio of 1 mol protein: 241 mol VDMA monomer. For studies examining the effect on conjugation of the molar ratio of protein:polymer molecules, ratios of 1:5, 1:10, 1:20, and 1:50 were compared. The samples were reacted at 4 °C with gentle rotation overnight. Samples were then dialyzed against PBS for 24 h (MWCO = 3.5 kDa) to remove any small molecule impurities, including unreacted fluorophore, if the sample was not being purified by SEC.

**Analysis of Protein-polymer Conjugates by SDS-PAGE.** Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used to analyze conjugation of protein to polymers. NuPAGE LDS buffer (4X) was added to each sample to a final concentration of 1X, without reducing agent. All proteins studied contain disulfide bonds, and therefore the absence of reducing agents can shift their apparent molecular weight from the predicted molecular weight. The samples were heated in a water bath for 10 min at 70 °C to denature the proteins. Samples were loaded onto a NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gel. The gel was run in NuPAGE MES running buffer (1X). Gels were then stained with Simply Blue Safe Stain.

**Protein-polymer Conjugate Purification.** Protein-polymer conjugation reactions were first concentrated and purified from low molecular weight species using a centrifugal filtration device with a MWCO of 10 kDa (EMD Millipore) and extensive washing with PBS. The protein-polymer conjugation reaction was then purified by SEC on a Superdex 75 10/300 column (GE Healthcare...
Life Sciences). Fractions of interest were pooled and concentrated with a centrifugal filtration device with a 10 kDa MWCO. All samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and imaged on a BioRad ChemiDoc MP imaging system using Image Lab 6.0 software (BioRad).

**Cells, Cell Culture, and Receptor Detection.** The MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line (ATCC #HTB-22, acquired in 2018) was used to test internalization of protein-polymer conjugates via receptor-mediated endocytosis of TFR. MCF-7 cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Cells were subcultured after reaching 80% confluency using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA. The presence of human TFR on the surface of MCF-7 cells was confirmed with an anti-human TFR antibody directly labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (antibody clone CY1G4, from BioLegend, Cat.: 334103). MCF-7 cells were harvested with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA. 1 x 10⁶ cells were incubated with antibody at a 1:20 dilution in PBS with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (PBSA) for 30 min at room temperature with gentle rotation. Cells were washed with PBSA to remove unbound antibody, resuspended in PBSA, and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Internalization Assays and Confocal Microscopy.** MCF-7 cells were seeded in a 4-well Millipore EZ chamber slide using 4x10⁴ cells/well and allowed to establish adherence and reach 50-80% confluency. The media was then replaced with serum-free DMEM containing the specified conjugate sample in a 500 µl total volume. hTF-488, hTF-PVDMA₆₆₅-mTEG, OTF-488, or OTF-PVDMA₆₆₅-mTEG were added to the wells to a final concentration equivalent to 10 nM of protein per well. For the internalization sample with hydrolyzed PVDMA₆₆₅ that was not conjugated to protein, an amount of polymer equivalent to the amount of polymer in 10 nM of protein-polymer conjugate was used, as determined by measurement of samples by UV-vis spectroscopy, using absorbance at 494 nm due to the presence of fluorophore. For competition experiments with unlabeled hTF, 10 µM unlabeled hTF was included. For the competition experiment with excess unlabeled polymer, 0.5 mg of hydrolyzed PVDMA-mTEG was included. Samples were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in a humidified environment with 5% CO₂. Media with samples were removed, and cells were washed with PBS. Cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 5-10 minutes at room temperature, and washed with PBS. Cells were permeabilized by incubation with 0.1% Triton-X 100 in PBS at room temperature for 5 min, and washed with PBS. Actin filaments were
stained with an Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate of phalloidin to help identify cell boundaries by adding 250 µl per well of phalloidin in PBS diluted following manufacturer’s protocol, and cells were washed with PBS. Wells were removed from the slide and Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI for staining cell nuclei was applied to the fixed samples. Samples were then covered with 1.5 mm glass coverslips and sealed with transparent nail polish. Samples were imaged using a 63X oil immersion objective. Images were collected using sequential scanning, and an overlay of the sequential images was used to analyze internalization, for single focal plane images and for z-stacks collected as a series of neighboring focal planes.
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Labeling hTF and OTF with Alexa Fluor 488. Holo-transferrin (hTF) or ovotransferrin (OTF) were labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488) by primary amine chemistry. A solution of protein (1-2 mg/ml) was made in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Sodium bicarbonate (1M stock solution) was added to the protein to a final concentration of 0.1 M to change the pH of the solution to 8.0. The fluorescent dye AF488 5-tetrafluorophenyl ester was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO to a final concentration of 11.3 nM. Dye was added to protein solution, using an amount of dye calculated following manufacturer’s protocol to achieve a desired molar excess of dye. The sample was incubated with gentle rotation at room temperature for 1 h. The protein labeled with AF488 was then purified from free dye and concentrated using an Amicon centrifugal filtration device with a molecular weight cutoff of 10 kDa by washing extensively with PBS until the flow through was colorless. Concentrations and degree of labeling were determined using UV-Vis spectroscopy, measuring dye absorption at 494 nm (ε = 71,000 cm·M⁻¹). Labeled protein was stored at 4 °C.

Titration Binding Assay of hTF-488 with MCF-7 Cells. Titration binding assays were performed to experimentally determine the binding affinity (dissociation constant, Kᵅ) of hTF with MCF-7 cells. MCF-7 cells were harvested with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA. Aliquots of 1x10⁵ cells were incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with a range of concentrations of fluorescently labeled hTF (hTF-488, 0.5-500 nM) in PBS with 0.1% BSA (PBSA) with gentle rotation. Following incubation to reach equilibrium binding, cells were washed in PBSA and resuspended in PBSA for analysis. Data was collected and analyzed using flow cytometry. Experimental triplicate data was collected to determine the binding affinity of hTF to its receptor. For each replicate, the data were fit to a sigmoidal binding curve using Kaleidagraph software (Synergy). The concentration of hTF-488
that resulted in the half-maximal value of each best-fit line was determined as the $K_D$. The mean of the three individually fit dissociation constants was determined and reported with the standard deviation.
Figure S1. PVDMA-mTEG analysis by size exclusion chromatography and UV-Vis spectroscopy. (A) PVDMA functionalized with 0.3 molar equivalents of mTEG was analyzed on a Superdex 75 30/100 SEC column run at 0.4 ml/min, and absorbance was detected at 220 nm and at 494 nm. For absorbance at 220 nm, the functionalized polymer sample contains a broad peak characteristic of polymers with a molecular weight distribution eluting between 20 and 30 minutes, and a second peak of low molecular weight byproducts eluting around 50 minutes. There is no absorbance at 494 nm. (B) PVDMA-mTEG analyzed using UV-Vis spectroscopy has no absorbance in the 240-700 nm range, as expected for the polymer.
Figure S2. MCF-7 cells express TFR and bind hTF. (A) MCF-7 cells, which are a human breast cancer cell line, express high levels of transferrin receptor (TFR) on their surface, as detected by an anti-human TFR antibody directly conjugated to fluorescein and analyzed by flow cytometry. (B) The binding of hTF to TFR was measured as the dissociation constant ($K_d$) using an equilibrium binding assay. MCF-7 cells were incubated with a range of concentrations of hTF directly labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (hTF-488). The assay was performed in experimental triplicate. Data from each replicate were fit to a sigmoidal curve, and the $K_d$ value was calculated for each replicate. The $K_d$ is reported as the mean +/- standard deviation. A representative binding curve is shown.
Figure S3. hTF-488 internalization into MCF-7 cells is concentration dependent. MCF-7 cells, which are a human breast cancer cell line, express high levels of transferrin receptor (TFR) on their surface. Fluorescently labeled holo-transferrin (hTF-488) is internalized into the cells after incubation for 1 h at 37 °C. Increasing the concentration of hTF-488 from 10 nM to 100 nM to 1000 nM (rows 1, 2, and 3) shows increasing internalization, as visualized by increasing green signal within the cell boundaries. Blue indicates DAPI stain for cell nuclei; red indicates phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594, which stains actin filaments and helps to identify cell boundaries; and green indicates the protein fluorophore conjugate labeled with Alexa Fluor 488. Scale bar shown applies to all images.
Description of supporting information videos. To confirm the internalization of hTF-488 and hTF-PVDMA_{m}-mTEG, a series of consecutive focal planes were collected with confocal microscopy, referred to as z-stack series, using a step size of 0.5 µM. The data are available as .avi video files in Supporting Information. In the videos, we observe that at the surfaces of the cells, we predominantly see phalloidin staining of actin filaments, indicated in red. For both the hTF-488 positive control molecule (SI_Video_1_hTF-488) and the hTF-PVDMA_{m}-mTEG protein-polymer conjugate (SI_Video_2_hTF-PVDMA-FC-mTEG), we see that the fluorophore, shown in green, is contained within the cell boundaries, rather than at the cell surface, confirming internalization of molecules. Blue indicates cell nuclei, stained with DAPI.