
12-1-2003

Galaxies at $Z = 3$ Around Damped Ly- α Clouds

N. Bouché
University of Massachusetts Amherst

James D. Lowenthal
Smith College, jlowenth@smith.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.smith.edu/ast_facpubs



Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bouché, N. and Lowenthal, James D., "Galaxies at $Z = 3$ Around Damped Ly- α Clouds" (2003). Astronomy: Faculty Publications, Smith College, Northampton, MA.
https://scholarworks.smith.edu/ast_facpubs/43

This Conference Proceeding has been accepted for inclusion in Astronomy: Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Smith ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@smith.edu

GALAXIES AT $Z = 3$ AROUND DAMPED LY- α CLOUDS

N. Bouché^{1,2} & J. D. Lowenthal³

RESUMEN

El resumen será traducido al español por los editores. We are exploring the connection between damped Ly-alpha absorbers (DLAs) and Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) using deep $-m_{lim,I}(5\sigma)=26 m_{AB}$ - broad band imaging (UBVI) of four wide fields (0.25deg^2 each) obtained at the Kitt Peak 4-m telescope with MOSAIC. Each field contains a DLA at $z \sim 3$.

We want to address the nature of DLAs at high-redshifts: (1) Are they embedded in much larger systems of galaxies? (2) How does the spatial distribution of LBGs in 3D (space and redshift) correlate with the absorber? Contrary to most previous DLA studies, we are not looking for the absorber, and we do not rely on control fields because each of our fields is $40 \times 40 h^{-1}$ Mpc (co-moving). We present preliminary results in two of our fields. In one case, we see an indication of an overdensity of galaxies on a scale of 5 Mpc. We discuss the possible implications and sources of contamination of our results.

ABSTRACT

We are exploring the connection between damped Ly-alpha absorbers (DLAs) and Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) using deep $-m_{lim,I}(5\sigma)=26 m_{AB}$ - broad band imaging (UBVI) of four wide fields (0.25deg^2 each) obtained at the Kitt Peak 4-m telescope with MOSAIC. Each field contains a DLA at $z \sim 3$.

We want to address the nature of DLAs at high-redshifts: (1) Are they embedded in much larger systems of galaxies? (2) How does the spatial distribution of LBGs in 3D (space and redshift) correlate with the absorber? Contrary to most previous DLA studies, we are not looking for the absorber, and we do not rely on control fields because each of our fields is $40 \times 40 h^{-1}$ Mpc (co-moving). We present preliminary results in two of our fields. In one case, we see an indication of an overdensity of galaxies on a scale of 5 Mpc. We discuss the possible implications and sources of contamination of our results.

Key Words: **GALAXIES: EVOLUTION — GALAXIES: HIGH-REDSHIFT — QUASARS: ABSORPTION LINES — QUASARS: INDIVIDUAL (APM08279+5255, PC1233+4752)**

1. INTRODUCTION

By definition, damped Ly- α absorbers (DLAs) found in the spectra of quasars have neutral hydrogen (HI) column densities greater than $2 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Their nature is unknown and has been an ongoing debate for more than a decade. Two classes of hypothesis can explain DLA properties, (1) DLAs are large disks or proto-disks, or (2) DLAs arise from the superposition of small gas clouds in a large halo. The former was first proposed by Wolfe et al. (1986), the latter is more recent. Maller et al. (2000) showed that DLAs can arise from the combined effects of massive central galaxies and a number of smaller satellite galaxies in a virialized halo. In this scenario, a DLA would lie in an over dense region.

Lyman Break Galaxies (LBGs) are actively star forming objects, hence are bright $L_{UV} = 10 \times$

$L^*(today)$ (e.g. Steidel 2000) and they trace the underlying matter distribution as indicated by their strong clustering.

Based on this, the motivation of this work is to try to answer the following question: *Are DLAs in over- or under-dense regions?* In order to test this, we selected four QSO fields that have (i) a low galactic extinction, and (ii) $z_{DLA} \sim 3 < z_{QSO}$. In order to constrain the environment and the nature of the damped systems at $z \sim 3$, we imaged four fields with the MOSAIC camera at the Kitt Peak 4-m telescope in UBVI. The area covered ($0.25\text{deg}^2/\text{field}$ to $I_{AB}(5\sigma) < 26$) allow us to identify several hundred LBG candidates both close to the QSO/DLA line of sight (50 kpc) and up to $20 h^{-1}$ Mpc (co-moving) away. Thus, unlike previous studies, we do not rely on a randomly selected control field.

In this proceeding, we present preliminary results in the fields APM 08279+5255 and PC1233+4752 that contain a DLA at $z_{DLA} = 2.97$ and $z_{DLA} = 3.5$ respectively.

¹University of Massachusetts-Amherst, MA

²EARA Marie-Cure fellow at the Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Rd, Cambridge, UK

³Smith College, Northampton, MA

At $z \sim 3$, $1'$ corresponds to $\sim 1.3h_{100}^{-1}$ Mpc (co-moving) for $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2. PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFTS

We used the photometric redshift code from Bolzonella et al.(2000) to estimate the redshifts of our candidates. In order (1) to assess the accuracy and reliability of the technique, and (2) to refine our set of templates, we ran various tests on the HDF-N published data (see e.g. Fernández-Soto 2001). We find that (1) near-IR data are not necessary in our redshift range ($2.8 < z < 3.8$), and (2) our best set of templates consists of 4 Coleman Wu & Weedman (1980) templates (E, Sbc, Scd & Irr) extended in the UV using Bruzual & Charlot (1993) models, and 4 Starburst99 (50Myr, 100Myr with 2 metallicities) from Leitherer et al. (1999), (3) the rms of the normalized difference between photometric and spectroscopic redshifts $|z_{spec} - z_{phot}|/(1 + z_{spec})$ is 0.05 in our redshift range ($2.8 < z < 3.7$) and (4) the number of low redshift objects contaminating our high redshift sample is on the order of 10%. We plan to implement Bayesian's prior probabilities as shown in Benitez (2000) to significantly reduce the level of contamination in the future.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We selected high-redshift candidates to be U-band drop-outs with $21 < I < 24.5$. We then estimated the redshift according to section 2. Our results, the spatial and radial distributions of LBGs candidates around the DLA, are shown in Figure 1 for APM08279+5255 separated in two redshift bins around the DLA, $|z_{DLA} - z| < 0.2$, and $0.2 < |z_{DLA} - z| < 0.5$. The surface density indicates an overdensity. The upper limit is 0.5 to account for incompleteness in our redshift distribution for our selection function drops sharply at $z \sim 2.8$. In each case, the radial surface density is plotted. Note that we find the same signature even when we split the first bin in half. Around PC1233+4752, we do not find such a signature.

Our results indicate that at least some DLAs may lie in overdense regions. We note that Adelberger et al. (2002) found an under-density (marginally), and Gawiser et al. (2001) were inconclusive. Our results are potentially limited by our lack of accurate redshifts — $\Delta z = 0.1$ corresponds to $75 h^{-1}$ Mpc at $z = 3$ — and contamination of low redshift objects and red stars (estimated to be 10% or less). This would tend to reduce the overdensity signature. If our results were due to a clustering of stars, the signature would disappear when we increase our lower




Fig. 1. Left: Spatial distribution of LBG candidates. Right: Radial surface density as a function of radial distance from the QSO line-of-sight (shown with the cross). The lower panels are for the candidates with $|z_{DLA} - z| < 0.2$, and the upper panels are for $0.2 < |z_{DLA} - z| < 0.5$. The dashed line is the average of density of the last three radial bins, representing the underlying density. A 1σ signature of an overdensity is seen.

magnitude limit. We find the over-dense signature remains.

This Fall, we will obtain multi-object spectroscopy (with GMOS) of some of our candidates in order to test our photometric redshifts and to confirm our results. We are in the process of applying the same techniques to our two other fields (Bouché et al 2003).

REFERENCES

- Adelberger K. et al 2002 (private communication)
- Benitez N. 2000, ApJ, 536, 571
- Bolzonella et al. 2000, A&A, 363, 476
- Bouché et al. 2003, in preparation
- Bruzual A.G. & Charlot S. 1993, ApJ, 405, 538
- Coleman, Wu, & Weedman, 1980, ApJS, 43, 93
- Fernández-Soto et al. 2001, ApJS, 135, 41
- Gawiser et al. 2001, ApJ, 562, 628
- Leitherer et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 3
- Maller et al. 2000, in ASP vol. 200, Eds A. Mazure
- Pettini et al. 2000, ApJ, 532, 65
- Rao, S. M. & Turnshek, D. A. 2000, ApJS, 131, 1
- Steidel, C. C. 2000, SPIE, 4005, 22
- Wolfe et al. 1986, ApJS, 61, 249

N. Bouché: University of Massachusetts, Department of Astronomy, LGRT 619, Amherst, MA 01003
(bouche@astro.umass.edu)

J. D. Lowenthal: Smith College, Department of Astronomy, Northampton, MA (james@earth.ast.smith.edu)