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Abstract

We report an Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array 0.88 mm (Band 7) continuum detection of the accretion disk around SR 12 c, an ~11 M_Jup planetary-mass companion (PMC) orbiting its host binary at 980 au. This is the first submillimeter detection of a circumplanetary disk around a wide PMC. The disk has a flux density of 127 ± 14 μJy and is not resolved by the ~0.71 beam, so the dust disk radius is likely less than 5 au and can be much smaller if the dust continuum is optically thick. If, however, the dust emission is optically thin, then the SR 12 c disk has a comparable dust mass to the circumplanetary disk around PDS 70 c but is about five times lower than that of the ~12 M_Jup free-floating OTS 44. This suggests that disks around bound and unbound planetary-mass objects can span a wide range of masses. The gas mass estimated with an accretion rate of 10^{-11} M_⊙ yr^{-1} implies a gas-to-dust ratio higher than 100. If cloud absorption is not significant, a nondetection of 12CO(3–2) implies a compact gas disk around SR 12 c. Future sensitive observations may detect more PMC disks at 0.88 mm flux densities of ≤100 μJy.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Radio continuum emission (1340); Radio interferometry (1346); Accretion (14); Extrasolar gaseous giant planets (509)

1. Introduction

Circumplanetary disks (CPDs) around giant exoplanets provide a window into the early solar system when planets and their regular satellites (e.g., Galilean moons) were still growing. The bulk properties and composition of CPDs serve as the initial conditions of planet and satellite formation. Detecting CPDs, however, is challenging even with the unprecedented sensitivity of the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). Andrews et al. (2021) scrutinized some of the best-resolved protoplanetary disks but did not find any robust candidates. At the moment, the disk around the giant planet PDS 70 c is the only CPD discovered by ALMA (Isella et al. 2019; Benisty et al. 2021).

Over the past few years, efforts have also been made to search for radio emission associated with the young long-period planetary-mass companions (PMCs) that were discovered in direct-imaging campaigns. PMCs typically have masses around the planet–brown dwarf boundary (~10–20 M_Jup) and reside on wide orbits of ≥100 au (e.g., 2M1207 b, Chauvin et al. 2004; DH Tau b, Itoh et al. 2005; 1RXS 1609 b, Lafrenière et al. 2008; CT Cha b, Schmid et al. 2008; FU Tau b, Luhman et al. 2009; GSC 6214−210 b, Ireland et al. 2011). While PMC disks may not truly resemble CPDs due to the lack of mass transfer from the circumstellar disks around the host stars (e.g., Miki 1982; D’Angelo et al. 2003), they can in principle offer a clear view into the satellite-forming environments and mechanisms, thanks to their ultrawide separations. Moreover, PMC disks appear to be quite common at young ages as Bowler et al. (2017) inferred a high disk frequency of ~50%. Common disk signatures at optical and infrared wavelengths include hydrogen lines, continuum excess, and polarization (e.g., Bowler et al. 2011, 2014; Zhou et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2017b; Santamaria-Miranda et al. 2018; Stolker et al. 2021; van Holstein et al. 2021; Martinez & Kraus 2022). When invoking the tidal truncation argument (e.g., Quillen & Trilling 1998; Ayliffe & Bate 2009) to estimate PMC disk sizes, which gives an expected disk size of ~1/3 Hill radius, this results in a large radius of ~5–60 au for 10–20 M_Jup PMCs at 100–1000 au from a 1 M_⊙ host star. Nonetheless, up until now, no PMC disks have been successfully imaged with ALMA (e.g., Bowler et al. 2015; MacGregor et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2017a; Pérez et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2020). So far, the most extensive ALMA searches of disks around wide PMCs have been the Band 6 (1.3 mm) survey by Wu et al. (2017a) and the Band 7 (0.88 mm) survey by Wu et al. (2020), with mean rms noise levels of 40 μJy beam^{-1} and 50 μJy beam^{-1}, respectively.

These “snapshot” observations showed that the dust residing in PMC disks could be compact and optically thick (e.g., Wu et al. 2017a; Rab et al. 2019). Alternatively, another interpretation of the upper limits is that PMC disks may be deficient in dust due to efficient radial drift such that their gas-to-dust ratios are unusually high (Zhu et al. 2018). Deep ALMA imaging is thus essential to detect PMC disks and examine their physical properties.

Here we report the discovery of 0.88 mm continuum emission at the position of SR 12 c. With an rms of 10 μJy beam^{-1}, ~5 times deeper than the previous 0.88 mm survey in Wu et al. (2020), this is the most sensitive ALMA imaging of a
PMC to date, and the first submillimeter detection of a circumplanetary disk around a widely separated PMC.

2. SR 12

Located in the ρ Ophiuchi star-forming region, SR 12 AB (2MASS J16271951–24441403; ROXs 21; YLW 13A) is a weak-line T Tauri binary (Simon et al. 1987; Gras-Velázquez & Ray 2005), with discrepant spectral-type and mass estimates in the literature. Bouvier & Appenzeller (1992) suggested that AB is a K4/M2.5 binary, while Gras-Velázquez & Ray (2005) fit two blackbody curves of 3428 K and 2500 K to the spectral energy distribution (SED), corresponding to M3 and M8 (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). The separation between AB is 0″.21 (Kuzuhara et al. 2011), or about 24 au given the Gaia DR2 distance of 112.5 +3.8 −5.3 pc (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018; Bailier-Jones et al. 2018).

The substellar companion SR 12 c (UGCS J162719.66–244148.8) at 8″.7 (980 au) from the binary was discovered by Kuzuhara et al. (2011), who also presented 10 yr astrometric measurements demonstrating a common proper motion. It is one of the widest known PMCs and is also the first PMC imaged around a binary star. Given the triangular H-band spectrum and a low visual extinction of AV = 1.2–1.7 (Kuzuhara et al. 2011; Bowler et al. 2014; Santamaría-Miranda et al. 2018), the age of SR 12 c is generally taken as 2 Myr, which is the median age of the ρ Oph low-extinction stars (Wilking et al. 2005). Kuzuhara et al. (2011) estimated the mass of SR 12 c to be 0.013 ± 0.007 MJ (1.4 ± 0.4 MJup) by comparing its age and luminosity to the models of Burrows et al. (1997) and Chabrier et al. (2000). Using the Gaia DR2 distance, the J-band bolometric correction in Filippazzo et al. (2015), and the models in Baraffe et al. (2015), we find a slightly lower bolometric luminosity of log (L/L⊙) = −2.95 ± 0.11 dex and a mass of 11 ± 3 MJup. TheSED fitting in Santamaría-Miranda et al. (2018) yields a spectral type of L0 ± 1, log g = 4 ± 0.5, and an effective temperature of 2600 ± 100 K, so the radius of SR 12 c using the Stefan–Boltzmann law is expected to be about 1.6 RJup.

Several lines of evidence indicate that SR 12 c is surrounded by an accretion disk. X-shooter spectroscopy in Santamaría-Miranda et al. (2018, 2019) reveals multiple hydrogen lines, in particular the prominent Hα emission, indicating that SR 12 c is accreting at a rate of 10−11.08 ± 0.40 M⊙ yr−1. Thermal dust emission detected with the Spitzer/Infrared Array Camera has been reported in Alves de Oliveira et al. (2010), Günther et al. (2014), and Martinez & Kraus (2022). These disk markers,

9 SR 12 AB and c have no Gaia EDR3 parallaxes and proper motions (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021).

10 Beckford et al. (2008) detected a ~10% H-band polarization from a source to the south of SR 12, which they named YLW 13A S. There is no astrometric information for this object, but it is possible that this polarized signal results from the aligned grains in the SR 12 c disk.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start–End Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Nant</th>
<th>Baseline (m)</th>
<th>Tant-source (s)</th>
<th>Calibrators (Phase, Bandpass, Flux)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

together with the ultrawide orbit, make SR 12 c a prime target for ALMA deep imaging.

3. Observations and Data Reduction

The ALMA Band 7 observations of SR 12 were carried out on UT 2021 July 8–11, with three 2 GHz windows centered at 333.791, 335.741, and 347.741 GHz, and one 0.938 GHz window centered at 345.787 GHz to image 12CO (3–2) at a resolution of 0.488 MHz. The phase center is the Gaia DR2 position of SR 12 c at α = 16°27′19″569 and δ = −24°44′49″22 (epoch J2015.5). The total on-source time is 10,705 s (2.97 hr). Table 1 lists the start/end time, number of antennae, baseline lengths, and calibrators. Calibrated visibilities were generated using the pipeline 2021.2.0.128 with CASA 6.2.1.7 (McMullin et al. 2007). Self-calibration was not performed as the continuum detection (Figure 1) did not have a sufficiently high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). Diffuse CO emission from the ambient molecular clouds is seen at 0.5–8 km s−1 in the LSRK velocity frame, consistent with the channel maps in de Geus et al. (1990), but there is no compact emission directly associated with any of the SR 12 components. The rms in the CO-free channels of 1 km s−1 width is ~0.7 mJy beam−1. We hence flagged channels that have diffuse CO emission and merged the rest of the window with the three 2 GHz windows. We adopted natural weighting for a better S/N and used the CASA task tclean to generate the final continuum map (Figure 1), which has an rms of 10 μJy beam−1 around the map center. The size and the position angle of the synthesized beam are 0″.147 × 0″.090 and 104°.5.

4. Results

4.1. The SR 12 c Dust Disk

Figure 1 shows the 0.88 mm continuum map of the SR 12 system. An unresolved object appears close to the phase center, with an ICRS coordinate of α = 16°27′19″5645 and δ = −24°44′49″37 derived from elliptical Gaussian fitting in the image plane. Visibility fitting with the CASA task uvmodelfit yields the same position. This is slightly different from the positions of SR 12 c in Gaia DR2 (16°27′19″569, −24°44′49″22; epoch J2015.5) and EDR3 (16°27′19″568, −24°44′49″24; epoch J2016), but as demonstrated in Figure 2, after accounting for the Gaia DR2 proper motion of the host binary (μα,μδ = −7.7 ± 1.1 mas yr−1, μδ = −25.3 ± 0.8 mas yr−1), the expected position of SR 12 c at the time of our observations matches the position of this 0.88 mm source.

We note that ALMA astrometry should be very accurate, the S/N-limited positional accuracy, given by the ALMA Technical Handbook, is beamFWHP/(S/N)/0.9, where...
beamFWHP is the full-width half-power resolution. For our observations, this positional rms is 10 mas.

It is possible that a background submillimeter galaxy could happen to lie near the position of SR 12 c. We calculate the probability of the point source being a distant galaxy by fitting a power law to the 850/870 μm differential number counts in Casey et al. (2014). Integrating from 0.1 mJy to infinity, we find an expectation value of four galaxies brighter than 0.1 mJy within the $\geq 20\%$ primary-beam response (left panel of Figure 1). The probability of one galaxy being within 0″1 from SR 12 c is 0.02%. Thus, this 0.88 mm source is most likely a dust disk around SR 12 c.

4.2. Dust Disk Radius

As the dust disk appears unresolved, its radius is likely to be smaller than 5 au. We assume a point source model in the CASA task `uvmodelfit` and obtain a flux density of $127 \pm 14 \mu$Jy, where the uncertainty includes a 10% flux calibration error. This is consistent with the peak flux density of 126 $\mu$Jy directly measured from the image, indicating that the disk is indeed not resolved. It is about 1.5 times brighter than the CPD around PDS 70 c (86 $\mu$Jy; Benisty et al. 2021) given their very similar distances (112.5 pc to SR 12 and 112.4 pc to PDS 70).

Because optically thick emission scales with surface area, we can apply this assumption to estimate the disk radius needed to account for the observed emission. Such an assumption may be expected for PMC disks as the simulations in Rab et al. (2019) show that PMC disks are optically thick at millimeter wavelengths. In brief, we substitute a radial profile of the disk temperature $T(r)$ into the Planck function $B_\nu$, and integrate $B_\nu(T(r)) r^d dr$, where $D$ is the distance to the source and $i$ is the disk inclination whose mean value is $\langle \cos i \rangle = 2/\pi$. As $F_\nu$ is mostly sensitive to $r_{\text{out}}$, we can find a disk extent compatible with the observed flux density. This outer radius, however, may be a lower limit because if dust emission is optically thin and scales with the dust mass, then an extended disk can have a similar brightness as long as there is enough dust.

We follow Isella et al. (2014, 2019) to calculate the temperature profile as $T(r)^4 = T_{* \text{, irr}}^4 + T_{\text{PMC, irr}}^4 + T_{\text{acc}}^4$, where $T_{* \text{, irr}}$ and $T_{\text{PMC, irr}}$ accounts for the irradiation from the star and the PMC, respectively, and $T_{\text{acc}}$ comes from viscous heating due to accretion. The functional form of each term can be found in the original articles. At 980 au, we can safely ignore the irradiation from the host binary. After substituting the bolometric luminosity of SR 12 c into $T_{\text{PMC, irr}}$ and the mass, radius, and accretion rate

---

\[ \text{Be Briggs weighting (robust = 0.5) in tclean either, where the beam size is } 0''108 \times 0''066. \]
into $T_{\text{acc}}$, we find a disk outer radius of 0.34 au ($\sim$720 $R_{\text{Jup}}$), much smaller than the minimum radius that can be resolved by the beam ($\sim$5 au). We note that in this analysis, the disk inner radius is taken to be the PMC radius because the disk temperature there is still below the dust sublimation temperature of $\sim$1500 K.\textsuperscript{12} Although the inferred radius depends on the assumed temperature profile, our ALMA observations suggest that the dust disk is probably very small.

4.3. Dust Mass

Applying the optically thin assumption to what is actually optically thick emission may significantly underestimate the true dust mass (e.g., Ballerî & Eisner 2019). But to compare SR 12 c with PDS 70 c, we first find the mean disk temperature and then adopt the opacity assumptions in Benisty et al. (2021) to calculate the dust mass. Integrating $T(r) = (T_{\text{PMC, irr}} + T_{\text{acc}})^{0.25}$ from 1.6 $R_{\text{Jup}}$ to 5 au (the upper limit of the SR 12 c disk radius), we find a mean disk temperature of $T_{\text{mean}} = 24$ K. This result is not very sensitive to the accretion rate because PMC irradiation dominates the disk temperature profile. Assuming the disk only contains 1 mm grains with an opacity of $\kappa_{1\text{ mm}} = 3.63$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Birnstiel et al. 2018), we substitute $T_{\text{mean}}$ and $\kappa_{1\text{ mm}}$ into $M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{F_{\nu}D^2}{\sigma_B(T_{\text{mean}})}$ (Hildebrand 1983) and find a dust mass of 0.012 $M_\oplus$ (0.95 $M_{\text{Moon}}$), two times more massive than the PDS 70 c disk, 0.006 $M_\oplus$ (0.47 $M_{\text{Moon}}$).\textsuperscript{13}

Zhu et al. (2018) found that CPDs can quickly lose their millimeter-sized dust in just hundreds of years due to very efficient radial drift. To be detectable at (sub)millimeter wavelengths, CPDs need to be constantly replenished, have a high surface density and/or substructures to slow down the radial drift, or contain enough micron-sized grains that can emit substantial millimeter emission. SR 12 c is not seen in $^{12}$CO (3–2) and unlikely to be replenished from the surroundings, so its disk may contain mostly micron-sized grains or it has substructures possibly carved by satellites. If the millimeter-sized dust grains are already depleted such that only 1 $\mu$m grains remain, we find a dust mass of 0.054 $M_\oplus$ (4.4 $M_{\text{Moon}}$) assuming an opacity of 0.79 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Birnstiel et al. 2018), again two times higher than the PDS 70 c CPD, 0.027 $M_\oplus$ (2.2 $M_{\text{Moon}}$).

Another planetary-mass object whose disk has been detected by ALMA is OTS 44 (2MASS J11100934–7632178), a free-floating SR 12 c-like $\sim$12 $R_{\text{Jup}}$ object accreting at 7.6 x 10$^{-11}$ $M_\oplus$ yr$^{-1}$ (Joergens et al. 2013). Its disk has a 1.3 mm flux density of 101 $\mu$Jy (Bayo et al. 2017), translating to 238 $\mu$Jy at 0.88 mm assuming a spectral index of 2.2 (Ribas et al. 2017). Adopting a Gaia DR2 distance of 192 pc to the Chamaeleon I star-forming region (Dzib et al. 2018) and assuming the same $T_{\text{mean}}$ and opacity as the SR 12 c disk, we find a dust mass of 0.064 $M_\oplus$ (5.2 $M_{\text{Moon}}$) with $\kappa_{1\text{ mm}}$ and 0.295 $M_\oplus$ (24 $M_{\text{Moon}}$) with $\kappa_{1\mu m}$.

The dust-mass estimates above are likely upper limits because the mean temperature will increase when the disk size decreases. A more comprehensive approach to infer the dust mass and trace the transition of the optical depth is to fit a surface density model to match the observed flux density, as demonstrated by Isella et al. (2014, 2019). We follow their prescription by assuming a surface density $\Sigma(r) \propto 1/r$ to calculate the expected continuum flux density with Equation (4) in Isella et al. (2014). The dust mass is derived from $\int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{out}}} 2\pi r \Sigma(r) dr$, where the disk inner radius $r_{\text{in}}$ equals the source radius because, as has been found previously, the disk temperature does not exceed 1500 K in the innermost region. Figure 3 shows the dust mass as a function of the disk outer radius for an opacity of $\kappa_{1\text{ mm}} = 3.63$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$. When the disks are larger than $\sim$1 au, their dust masses are roughly independent of the outer radii, indicating an optically thin dust emission. The SR 12 c disk and the PDS 70 c CPD may have comparable dust masses, but $\sim$5 times less than the OTS 44 disk—a substantial spread in circumplanetary dust content at young ages. On the other hand, when the disks are smaller than $\sim$1 au, they quickly become optically thick such that the curves turn vertical.

While at face value, these disks seem to be low in dust content, they may still have the potential to form massive satellites like the Galilean moons (total mass of 0.066 $M_\oplus$) during the final stage of gas accretion if they are indeed compact, dense, and thus have dust masses much higher than the optically thin values. Compared with OTS 44 and SR 12 c, the continuous replenishment of solids from the circumstellar disk may further facilitate massive satellite formation around PDS 70 c (e.g., Canup & Ward 2002).

\textsuperscript{12} The sublimation temperature depends on a variety of factors such as grain composition and gas density (e.g., Kobayashi et al. 2011). However, given the small area of the high-temperature disk regions, our results remain valid even if we exclude inner regions that would be above 1000 K.

\textsuperscript{13} We find a mean disk temperature of 29 K for the PDS 70 c disk when integrating $T(r)$ to 1 au, the disk outer radius constrained by Benisty et al. (2021). This is slightly higher than the temperature (26 K) used by Benisty et al. (2021) to calculate the dust mass. Therefore, our mass estimates are slightly lower than theirs (0.007 $M_\oplus$ for 1 mm grains and 0.031 $M_\oplus$ for $1 \mu$m grains).

\textsuperscript{14} There is no tighter constraint on the OTS 44 disk size to calculate the mean disk temperature (the beam size in Bayo et al. 2017 is $15''6 \times 15''6$).
4.4. Gas-to-dust Ratio and Small Gas Disk

The accretion rate of SR 12 c measured by Santamaria-Miranda et al. (2019), $10^{-11.08\pm0.40} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ $(\sim 9 \times 10^{-9} M_\text{Jup}$ yr$^{-1}$)$^{15}$ implies a substantial amount of gas within the disk: on the order of 0.03 $M_\text{Jup}$, assuming that accretion at this rate can last for another 3 Myr before the disk may dissipate (e.g., Haisch et al. 2001). With the dust masses derived in Figure 3, $0.007 M_\odot$ for 1 mm dust and 0.03 $M_\odot$ for 1 µm dust, and the 0.40 dex uncertainty in the accretion rate, we find gas-to-dust ratios in the ranges of 470–3000 and 110–700, respectively. The derived ratios appear to be higher than the interstellar medium value of 100 and may be much higher as disks around lower-mass objects may survive longer (e.g., Luhman & Mamajek 2012). However, as the disk probably contains more dust than computed for the optically thin assumption and the accretion rate may be highly variable, it remains unclear if the actual ratio is indeed inconsistent with the canonical value.

As $^{12}$CO emission is usually optically thick throughout the disk, the line flux strongly depends on the disk area but weakly correlates with the disk mass. For instance, Miotello et al. (2021) show that for a compact disk around a very-low-mass star, $^{12}$CO (3–2) only increases by a factor of $\sim$1.5–2 when the disk mass increases by a factor of 1000. A similar trend is present for the PMC disk models in Rab et al. (2019). Assuming the $^{12}$CO (3–2) emission from SR 12 c is not absorbed by foreground clouds, our nondetection favors a small gas disk. In the simulations of Rab et al. (2019), a gas disk with a radius of $\sim$10 au can have a peak line strength of $\sim$4–5 mJy beam$^{-1}$ per 1 km s$^{-1}$ channel when scaled to the distance to SR 12 c (see their Figure 5), which would be detectable at $\gtrsim5\sigma$ by our observations (the beam size in their simulations, 0"1 × 0"1, is comparable to our observations). Therefore, the gas disk of SR 12 c unlikely extends to 1/3 Hill radius ($\sim$50 au) but instead may be smaller than 10 au.

Compact gas disks may often be closely common from the stellar- to planetary-mass regimes. In dense regions such as the Orion Nebula Cluster, most disks are likely truncated by photoevaporation or dynamical encounters with other stars (e.g., Eisner et al. 2008; Boyden & Eisner 2020), while in low-density star-forming regions such as Lupus, other internal mechanisms may counteract the viscous spreading to create a high fraction of compact disks (Miotello et al. 2021). Although the majority of PMCs are located in less dense regions (e.g., Chamaeleon, Taurus, Lupus, Upper Scorpius, etc.), it remains to be investigated whether external or internal processes could influence the extent of PMC disks.

The lack of CO emission may also result from CO being frozen out onto grains. However, compact disks are warmer, so CO should more easily sublime off the grains. Indeed, the dust temperature calculation in Section 4.2 shows that most parts of the disk are warmer than 20 K, the temperature at which most CO desorbs into the gas phase (Bergin et al. 1995). Therefore, CO depletion may not play an important role in the SR 12 c disk.

4.5. Circumbinary Material around SR 12 AB

Dust continuum from the host binary is not detected down to an rms of 19 µJy beam$^{-1}$, which is consistent with results from Martinez & Kraus (2022) that the binary has no Spitzer infrared excess. Circumbinary material may have mostly dispersed due to the orbital motion between the stars, and we find a 3σ dust mass limit of $<0.007 M_\odot$ ($<0.56 M_\text{Moon}$) assuming identical $\kappa_1$ mm and $T_{\text{mean}} = 20$ K.

4.6. Scaling Relation

Our discovery indicates that more PMC disks may be accessible in comparatively deep ALMA observations. Indeed, a $\sim$0.1 mJy disk could resemble a 2σ–3σ noise blob in the previous 0.88 mm survey (Wu et al. 2020), so it may be fruitful to revisit accreting PMCs with sensitivities comparable to our observations. As disk properties may reflect the satellite-forming processes and timescales, it is also important to investigate if the disks around planetary-mass objects, either bound or isolated, follow the scaling relationships of circumbinary disks. Compared with Figure 4 in Wu et al. (2020), both the PDS 70 c and SR 12 c disks are in line with the relationship between the disk flux density and the host mass in the stellar regime. More disk detections, or tighter constraints, are needed to examine if young PMC disks are systematically fainter than what would be expected from the stellar relationship, as suggested in Wu et al. (2020).

5. Summary

Our new ALMA 0.88 mm imaging made the first submillimeter detection of an accretion disk around a wide-orbit PMC. The SR 12 c dust disk has a flux density of 127 µJy, appears unresolved by the $\sim$0"1 beam, and may have a small radius of $\sim$0.3 au if the continuum is optically thick. The gas disk may have a high gas-to-dust ratio of $\gtrsim$100 and be very compact as well. A comparison of the optically thick dust masses between PDS 70 c, SR 12 c, and OTS 44 implies a wide range of dust content among young planetary-mass objects.
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