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ABSTRACT

Beginning in January 2012, we have monitored two boulder ridges on San Salvador: Singer Bar Point (SBP, length ~790 m) along the reef- and lagoon-protected northern coast and The Gulf (TG, length ~460 m) on the island’s high-energy southern coast. This long-term monitoring aims at documenting changes in ridge morphology and distribution, and the direction and amount of movement of individual boulders to gain insights into the intensity and effects of storms on these coastal areas.

In the initial stage of our investigations, the largest boulders from each site were photographed, GPS-located, measured, and characterized by composition and morphology. Boulders at SBP are generally smaller (15 total; ~150-4000 kg; with most <1500 kg) than those at TG (12 original; ~700-6500 kg; most >1000 kg). Our monitoring surveys from January 2013, 2016, and 2017, after Hurricanes Sandy (October 2012), Joaquin (October 2015), and Matthew (October 2016), respectively, indicated only modest modifications at SBP, and major changes to TG, where we were unable to relocate 2 boulders post-Sandy, and 5 of the 10 remaining original boulders after Joaquin. Two TG boulders, weighing ~1 and 3 tons, were transported inland to the NNW by 20 and 26 meters, respectively during Hurricane Joaquin, and there was significant movement inland of the entire boulder ridge.

INTRODUCTION TO STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Coastal ridges made primarily of limestone boulders (clasts >25 cm in diameter) are common but little studied features along rocky shorelines on many islands of the Bahama Archipelago. Origin and transport of large individual boulders in the Bahamas, especially the scattered “megaboulders” on North Eleuthera, however, has been hotly debated during the past two decades (Hearty 1997; Panuska et al. 2002; Kelletat et al. 2004; Mylroie 2008, 2018; Kindler et al. 2010; Hearty and Tormey 2017; Rovere et
al. 2017, 2018). Even though the debate over transport mechanisms for these large boulders is ongoing, the results of recent studies from the northern Atlantic of western Ireland unequivocally proved that storm waves can move limestone megaboulders on cliffs located 10 meters or higher above high-water level (Cox et al. 2012, 2018). Similarly, observations from San Salvador Island in the aftermath of 1999 Hurricane Floyd (Curran et al. 2001; Walker et al. 2001) and 2004 Hurricane Frances (Niemi et al. 2008; Niemi 2017) documented formation and transport of rock boulders by high energy storm waves.

Our long-term monitoring of the impact of storms on the rocky coastline of San Salvador since January 2012 has focused on two prominent boulder ridges, one along the reef- and lagoon-protected northern coast west of and around Singer Bar Point (SBP), and the other on the high-energy southern coast of the island west of The Gulf (TG; Figure 1). Singer Bar point is located about 1 km (0.6 miles) west of the Gerace Research Centre (GRC) campus. The total length of this boulder ridge is ~790 m, and this study focused on an area ~450 m long, located to the east of the boat ramp, which is about 1.5 km (0.9 miles) west along the Queen’s Highway from GRC (Figure 1B). Similarly, even though the boulder ridge at TG is ~460 m, we focused primarily on the ~200 m section located on the coastal cliff between The Cut and The Gulf embayments (Figure 1B).

Both boulder ridges were deposited by storm waves, and comparison of these two coastlines of very different energy-level characteristics provides insight into the relationship between wave intensity and boulder formation, morphology, and transport. The main objectives of this study are to gather information about the distribution and morphology of these boulder ridges, and on the movement of individual boulders to better understand the influence of storms on geomorphology of the coastal landscape. The results of such long-term monitoring efforts should be informative to decision making regarding future coastal development on this and similar coastal areas on other Bahamian islands. Cumulative data also will provide information for continuing documentation of boulder transport by storm waves, and development of criteria for analyzing coastal boulder deposits in general (e.g., Cox et al. 2018).

Figure 1. Study area. A) The Bahama Archipelago, with Florida and Cuba for context, and San Salvador Island. B) Map of San Salvador with the two study sites labeled: Singer Bar Point (SBP) along the relatively low-energy north coast, and The Gulf (TG) on the high-energy south coast. Insets show the study sites outlined in white, with red lines and labels marking the location of profiles shown in Figure 2 (source: Google Earth).
Initial fieldwork for this study was conducted on San Salvador in January 2012 after Hurricane Irene passed as a Category 2 storm about 80 km (50 miles) to the SW of the island in August 2011 (National Hurricane Center). This initial work included GPS data-point recording and measurements of coast-normal transects (4 for SBP and 3 for TG) over the boulder ridges (Figure 1B). The largest boulders from each site (15 SBP and 12 TG boulders) were photographed and located with GPS coordinates for future reference. Boulders were measured (length, width, thickness), characterized by composition (subtidal calcarenite, coral rubblestone, eolianite, paleosol), shape (tabular or irregular), and degree of roundness. Approximate boulder weight was determined using average limestone density of 2.4 g/cm$^3$ and by subtracting 20% from calculated values to account for irregular boulder size and porosity distribution.

Additional field observations were made in early 2013 to assess the impact of October 2012 Hurricane Sandy, in January 2016 to document modifications by Hurricane Joaquin that passed directly over San Salvador in October 2015, and in January 2017 after October 2016 Hurricane Matthew. While Hurricane Matthew passed too far west of San Salvador to have any major impact on the island, this research documented significant modifications by Hurricane Sandy and especially by Joaquin, which are detailed here. Documentation of boulder movement also allowed calculation of minimum flow velocity required to initiate their transport using equations from Nandasena et al. (2011), which are dependent on boulder size, density of boulders and water, coefficients of lift, drag, gravity, and slope angle.

In January 2016, we also initiated use of drone technology for high-resolution imaging of the study areas (Perlmutter et al. 2016). Subsequent drone imaging was conducted in January 2017 and 2020, and in June 2019 we also implemented RFID (radio frequency identification) technology to tag boulders at each study site to aid in their relocation after major storm events. This proved necessary because we learned from experience that when boulders move, even very large ones, they can be difficult to relocate.

RFID technology uses small (23 and 32 mm long and <4 mm in diameter) PIT (passive integrated transponder) tags. These passive tags have unique identifying numbers that are recorded by an RFID reader at a short distance, depending on tag size and antenna design. The tags are inductively charged by the reader, and because they do not have a battery, they can theoretically remain operational indefinitely. Their small size and relatively low cost allows tagging of a large number of clasts, which in addition to boulders can also include smaller cobbles and pebbles. Moreover, tagging of particles is minimally invasive. Small holes (only about 5 mm in diameter and up to 40 mm deep) are drilled into boulder surfaces using a hand-held, battery-operated hammer drill with a carbide drill bit. Holes are lined with silicone adhesive and then patched with water-resistant epoxy putty whose color closely matches that of the rock surface.

These advances in our long-term monitoring of storm-deposited boulder ridges significantly increased our database and are expected to add significantly to our efforts to communicate information about vulnerability to hurricanes with stakeholders on San Salvador and elsewhere in The Bahamas. Such efforts are becoming increasingly important as the consequences of changing climate and rising sea levels are amplified by powerful storms, exemplified by the devastating impact of Hurricane Dorian on Grand Bahama and Abaco Islands in September 2019.

RESULTS

Initial Survey (January 2012)

Our initial work in January 2012 described the Singer Bar Point (SBP) boulder ridge on the low energy north coast as wide (up to 14 m) and with a low crest (~1.5 m above mean sea level), whereas The Gulf (TG) ridge on the high energy south coast was generally narrower, with a sharp crest located on a cliff-bench 3-5 m above mean sea level (Figure 2; Table 1). Profiles through the boulder ridges were constructed from the measured transects (Figures 1B and 2), the largest
boulders along the transects were documented (Table 2), and 15 additional large boulders from SBP and 12 from TG were photographed and located with GPS coordinates (Table 3).

The largest boulders at SBP are generally smaller (~150-4000 kg; with most <1500 kg) and more rounded than those at TG (~700-4500 kg; with all but one >1000 kg; Tables 1-3).
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Figure 2. Profiles through the boulder ridges measured in January 2012 at SBP (A) and TG (B). Location of four SBP profiles was measured in relation to SBP boat landing: Profile 1 at 147 m, Profile 2 at 436 m, Profile 3 at 568 m, and Profile 4 at 630 m east of the boat landing. Location of three TG profiles was measured in relation to a benchmark at TG: Profile A at 181 m, Profile B at 98 m (midpoint between A and C), and Profile C at 15 m west of the benchmark (see Figure 1B). Note that SBP profiles start at sea level and then the rocky beach and boulder ridge emerge, followed by maritime forest. SBP boulder ridge is up to 14 m wide and has a low crest (~1.5 m above mean sea level). TG profiles start at sea level, followed by steep cliffs, a barren rocky area and boulder ridge, and end in dense shrub vegetation. TG boulder ridge has a sharp crest, and is on a cliff bench 3-5 m above mean sea level. C) Pleistocene carbonate succession exposed in TG cliffs (from Carew and Mylroie 1985). Note the top of coral reef exposed at modern-day sea level, and the three identified source rocks for boulders deposited by storms on top of TG cliffs.
Table 1. Comparison summary between characteristic features of Singer Bar Point (SBP) vs The Gulf (TG) sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>TG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders</td>
<td>Generally smaller and well rounded</td>
<td>Large, irregular, angular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder ridge</td>
<td>Crest broad, slopes gentle</td>
<td>Crest sharp, well defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore shelf</td>
<td>Wide, protected</td>
<td>Narrow, open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave energy</td>
<td>Gentle, but constant fair-weather waves action</td>
<td>Powerful, even in fair-weather conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Data on boulders along transects at SBP (A) and TG (B) shown in Figure 2.

Table 3. Data on 15 largest boulders at SBP (A) and 12 largest boulders at TG (B) documented in January 2012.

All boulders were eroded from the seaward rocky coast, transported and deposited by high-energy storm waves. Clasts of smaller size and better rounding are more common at SBP than TG, indicating multiple events of movement and milling in the surf and/or along the rocky shore prior to deposition along this low-profile coast, as compared to the high cliff-profile TG coast (Figure 2C). The presence of larger boulders and fossil coral rubblestone boulders at TG indicates that much stronger storm waves were
required to form and move them in this setting (Dwyer et al. 2013).

Impact of Hurricanes Sandy (October 2012) and Joaquin (October 2015)

Similar to Hurricane Irene (August 2011), Hurricane Sandy passed about 80 km (50 miles) to the west of San Salvador Island as a Category 1 to 2 storm on October 25, 2012 (Figure 3A). According to personal communication with Dr. Tom Rothfus (Director of the Gerace Research Centre at that time), minimal island infrastructure damage resulted, and there were no major coastal effects. October 26 was relatively quiet on San Salvador, but on the following day, a strong wave surge began to affect the coast. The largest waves pounded the west and south coasts for 2 days and only diminished on October 29. Considerable coastal erosion resulted, particularly along Fernandez Bay (west coast) and on the south coast between The Gulf and The Cut (our TG study area).

In early February 2013, we resurveyed the largest SBP and TG boulders, which were originally located, measured, and photographed in January 2012 (Table 3). All 15 SBP boulders were easily relocated, indicating that Hurricane Sandy generated only minor coastal effects here. Although a few boulders experienced some movement (e.g., boulder 7 moved inland about 2.5 m; Figure 4), the background of smaller boulders and clasts changed significantly around many of the boulders (Figures 4 and 5). Boulders located to the east of Singer Bar Point did not exhibit position change and showed less background changes than those to the west of the point, confirming local observations that the wave surge effects from Hurricane Sandy were greatest along San Salvador’s west and south coasts.

---

Figure 3. Hurricane pathways. A) Sandy (October 2012) passed about 80 km (50 miles) west of San Salvador and weakened as it moved north from Category 2 (dark yellow circle) to Category 1 (light yellow) and tropical storm (teal circle). B) Joaquin started as a tropical depression (light blue symbols) in the Atlantic to the NE of The Bahamas in late September 2015. It strengthened to Category 4 (dark orange circle) as it moved SW towards The Bahamas, before it made a sharp turn back to the NE and its eye passed directly over San Salvador as a strong Category 3 (light orange circle) hurricane in early October 2015 (source: National Hurricane Center).
Figure 4. Examples of modifications to boulders at SBP through time. Left column: Boulder 7 (~350 kg); Right column: Boulder 8 (~600 kg). A & B) before Hurricane Sandy (January 2012); C & D) after Hurricane Sandy (February 2013); E & F) after Hurricane Joaquin (January 2016). Hammer for scale and to mark the location of boulders. Boulder 7 was moved about 2.5 m inland (to the south) by Hurricane Sandy (4C), and was transported an additional 3 m to the west and rotated ~180° by Hurricane Joaquin, which also deposited large clasts on top of it and made some new dents in its surface (4E). No major change in the location of boulder 8 was noted since January 2012, but after Sandy and Joaquin this boulder was partially buried in a new arrangement of clasts (4D & F).
This was further supported by our survey of The Gulf area where the effects of Hurricane Sandy were profound (Figures 6 and 7). Two of 12 original boulders (numbers 1 and 3) could not be relocated in the 2013 survey, and there were scour zones at their former locations (Table 4). Other examples of boulder modifications include 90° rotation of boulder 2, and breakage of its upper quarter (the broken segments could not be located). Boulder 4 was moved inland about 3 m, and boulder 5 moved about 9.5 m to the west (Figure 6C). The largest boulder (#12, ~4.5 tons) did not move, but it exhibited the scar of a fresh break on its forward edge. The boulder leaning up against its right side in 2012 was gone, and new clasts surrounded the boulder in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy (Figure 7D). None of the boulder movement and breakage would have been obvious without knowledge from the pre-storm survey.
Figure 6. Examples of modifications to boulders at TG through time. Left column: Boulder 5 (~1 ton); Right column: Boulder 10 (~3 tons). A & B) before Hurricane Sandy (January 2012); C & D) after Hurricane Sandy (February 2013); E & F) after Hurricane Joaquin (January 2016). Hurricane Sandy waves moved boulder 5 about 9.5 m to the west (6C), but boulder 10 remained in its original place despite some changes in the surrounding vegetation and deposition of a new large clast (arrow) in front of it (6D). Hurricane Joaquin waves moved boulders 5 and 10 about 20 and 26 m inland to the NNW, respectively (6E and F; person in front is standing on transported boulders and people in distance (arrow in 6F) are at their former location).
Figure 7. Additional examples of modifications to boulders at TG through time. Left column: Boulders 6 and 7 (~2 tons each); Right column: Boulder 12 (~4.5 tons). A & B) before Hurricane Sandy (January 2012); C & D) after Hurricane Sandy (February 2013); E & F) after Hurricane Joaquin (January 2016). Hurricane Sandy did not move these large boulders, but there are noticeable changes in the surrounding sediment, and boulder 12 also lost one corner (arrow in 7D). Hurricane Joaquin waves moved boulder 6 about 4 m W over boulder 7, which maintained about the same location, while smaller surrounding clasts were stripped away (7E). Boulder 12 has not moved since the start of our monitoring, but in Joaquin it lost another edge (arrow) and new smaller boulders were deposited and now lean on it (7F).
Table 4. Status of TG boulders 2012-2016 and calculation of minimum velocity needed to initiate boulder transport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TG Boulder</th>
<th>Size (m)</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Flow velocity (m/s) - sliding</th>
<th>Flow velocity (m/s) - rolling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.85 x 0.75 x 0.65</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>coral rubblestone</td>
<td>missing (Sandy)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.15 x 1.1 x 0.15</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>eolianite with caliche</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 x 1.55 x 0.25</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>eolianite with caliche</td>
<td>missing (Sandy)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.55 x 1.25 x 0.55</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>coral rubblestone</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.15 x 1.3 x 0.2</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>coral rubblestone</td>
<td>moved 20 m NNW</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.05 x 1.6 x 0.3</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>coral rubblestone</td>
<td>moved 4 m W</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6 x 2.1 x 0.2</td>
<td>2097</td>
<td>eolianite with thick paleosol</td>
<td>slight movement/rotation</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.9 x 1.7 x 0.25</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>eolianite with thick paleosol</td>
<td>moved 8 m (?) NNW</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4 x 1.2 x 0.4</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>soil breccia</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1 x 1.45 x 0.55</td>
<td>3216</td>
<td>coral rubblestone</td>
<td>moved 26 m NNW</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.15 x 1.6 x 0.25</td>
<td>1651</td>
<td>eolianite with caliche</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* calculated using 2.4 g/cm³ for limestone density and by subtracting 20% to account for porosity and irregular size
† October 2012 Hurricane Sandy; all other boulders moved during October 2015 Hurricane Joaquin
△ calculated using hydrodynamic equations by Nandasena et al. (2011); dependent on boulder size, density of boulders and water, coefficients of lift, drag, gravity, and slope angle (here kept at zero)

Hurricane Joaquin made landfall on islands of the central Bahamas in October 2015, with significant coastal effects (Berg, 2016). The storm was Category 4 at its strongest, but Category 3 with sustained winds of 120-130 mph when its eye passed directly over San Salvador on October 1-3, 2015, impacting the island from multiple directions over several days (Figure 3B). This storm caused major infrastructure damage to about 80% of the buildings, roads, utilities, and the airport on San Salvador (Savarese et al. 2016, 2017).

Observations at or study sites in January 2016 revealed only modest modifications at SBP (Glumac et al. 2016; Jahan et al. 2016). We documented the movement of only one of 15 boulders: boulder 7, ~350 kg in weight, was rotated ~180°, transported about 3 m to the west, had another large clast on top of it, and new dents on its surface (Figure 4E). We also observed changes to the clasts and sediment in the vicinity of the remaining boulders: some were no longer buried in sand, and many had new clasts, as large as 40 cm in diameter, surrounding them (Figures 4 and 5).

In contrast, we documented major changes to TG, where we were unable to relocate 5 of the remaining 10 original boulders (Table 4). At TG, storm waves overtopped the coastal cliffs, causing erosion at the leading edge and extensive landward movement of boulders (Glumac and Curran 2018). New boulders, as large as 3 m in diameter and weighing ~4.5 tons, were generated, and blocks from prior storms, estimated to weigh 1-3 tons, moved up to 26 m inland (Figures 6 and 7; Table 4). Our calculations indicate that minimum wave-generated flow velocities needed to initiate transport of these boulders ranged between 2.6 and 5.7 m/s (Table 4; equations from Nandasena et al. 2011). The formerly sharp-crested, narrow boulder ridge was modified into a larger and much broader boulder field, ~6.3 ha in area, stripped of vegetation (Figure 8). The principal coastal road was damaged and inundated by rock debris and sand (Figure 8B). The southern edge of the boulder ridge moved landward by 4-5 m exposing an underlying Pleistocene/Holocene boundary terra rossa paleosol (Figure 8D), which stands out in aerial images and marks the extent of storm erosion (Figure 8E). Storm erosion also exhumed an older portion of the boulder ridge, characterized by a variety of clasts partially lithified within an iron-oxide rich matrix.
The modifications to the TG area by Hurricane Joaquin. Note: our main study area is between The Cut and The Gulf embayments.

A & B) Google Earth images before (December 2014) and after (October 2015) Hurricane Joaquin. Note major vegetation damage (brown areas) generated by Hurricane Joaquin, the coastal road inundated by storm debris, including large boulders, and a new fan deposited by overwash east of The Gulf (8B). C & D) Photographs of the boulder ridge before (January 2012) and after (January 2016) Hurricane Joaquin. The formerly sharp-crested, narrow TG boulder ridge (8C) was modified into a larger, broad boulder field (8D), stripped of vegetation, and partially covering the road (8B). The southern edge of the boulder ridge moved landward by 4-5 m exposing an underlying Pleistocene/Holocene boundary terra rossa paleosol (dark brown areas in 8D), which stands out in aerial images (8E, January 2016) and marks the extent of storm erosion.
Our future research efforts will include study of the origin and lithification of this welded ridge.

**Implementation of Drone (2016-Present) and RFID (June 2019-Present) Technologies**

Post-hurricane Joaquin, in January 2016, we started to use drones for high-resolution imaging of our study area to document storm impact (Figure 9) and to form a baseline for future comparisons (Perlmutter et al. 2016; Glumac and Curran 2017). In January 2017, post-Hurricane Matthew (October 2016), which passed too far west from San Salvador to have any major impact, we added 12 new boulders (boulders 13-24) and additional drone imagery to our monitoring program at the TG site (Figure 9C and D).

Relocation of boulders after major storms by using only their photos, descriptions and former GPS locations proved to be rather difficult and also kept our boulder database relatively small (Figure 9). We addressed these problems by application of RFID technology in June 2019 when >50 boulders were tagged at each study site (Figure 10). Their locations were checked in January 2020, after the 2019 hurricane season, and will continue to be monitored into the future. Since there were no major hurricanes that impacted San Salvador in 2019 (Hurricane Dorian passed too far north to have any impact), we observed no movement of tagged boulders at TG, but our January 2020.

**Figure 9.** Drone images of SBP (A & B, from January 2016) and TG (C & D, from January 2017, with addition of new boulders). A & C) Entire sites with all boulders (pinned) superimposed on Google Earth background to show the difference in resolution between these two sets of images. GPS location of SBP boulders: from N24°07.055’ W74°28.640’ (Boulder 1) to N24°07.237’ W74°28.432’ (Boulder 15); TG boulders: from N23°56.813’ W74°30.759’ (Boulder 1 original location) to N23°56.824’ W74°30.805’ (Boulder 12); B & D) Close-ups highlighting high-resolution of drone images. Dark brown Pleistocene/Holocene boundary terra rossa paleosol and partially cleared coastal road can be seen in 9D. Scale: A) 200 m; B & D) 10 m; C) 60 m.
observations documented significant movement of cobbles and smaller boulders by winter storms (i.e. from cold fronts) at SBP.

This documentation was possible because RFID technology allowed us to tag and relocate such smaller clasts, thus substantially improving our monitoring efforts. The results of this continuing monitoring will be in the focus of our future presentations and publications.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1) Our long-term monitoring of storm-deposited boulder ridges along rocky shorelines of San Salvador Island, Bahamas, aims at documenting changes in ridge morphology and distribution, and the direction and amount of movement of individual boulders to gain insights into intensity and effects of storms impacting this small, low relief, tropical carbonate island.

2) Monitoring of storm impact at our two study sites in January 2013, 2016, and 2017, after Hurricanes Sandy (October 2012), Joaquin (October 2015), and Matthew (October 2016), respectively, indicated only modest modifications to Singer Bar Point along the reef- and lagoon-protected gently seaward-sloping northern coast, and major changes to The Gulf on a cliff bench, 3-5 m above mean sea level, along the high-energy southern coast, where we were unable to relocate 2 boulders post-Sandy, and 5 of the remaining 10 original boulders after Joaquin.

3) Even though documentation of boulder movement allows calculation of minimum flow velocity required to initiate their transport, the lack of adequate tagging made it challenging or impossible to relocate many individual boulders after major storms. This problem was addressed by application of RFID (radio frequency identification) tagging in June 2019 when >50 boulders and cobbles were tagged at each study location.
site using PIT (passive integrated transponder) tags, which are inductively charged by the reader and can remain operational for decades.

4) In conjunction with continuing high-resolution drone imaging, use of tagging that can uniquely identify an object within a large population allows significant increase in our database and improvement of these long-term monitoring efforts.
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