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ABSTRACT

This theoretical study explored the phenomenon of imaginary companions as they present within the lives of adolescents with histories of attachment trauma. The phenomenon and its origins were explored through a review of developmental, psychoanalytic and trauma research. Theoretical perspectives of narrative therapy and Winnicottian object relations were then introduced as lenses through which to conceptualize assessment and formulation of the phenomenon, with careful consideration paid to the social context within which the phenomenon emerges. These theoretical perspectives were then applied to a discussion of assessment, formulation and treatment within a specific case example, written by Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980), about an Navajo adolescent male who presented for treatment with imaginary companions and a complex history of trauma.

The study findings indicated that imaginary companions can be viewed as a resilient adaptive response to attachment trauma and that therapy can assist adolescents in finding a place of holding through which to address unmet developmental needs as well as to create multiple storied identities. Both theoretical approaches focused treatment on the development of the adolescent’s whole and true self. Areas of further research and the relevance of this study to the field of social work were both explored throughout the body of the study.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

It is not uncommon for children of preschool age to have imaginary friends, imaginary companions and invisible friends. Multiple studies have shown that this type of fantasy production is relatively common in early childhood and preschool aged children (Gleason, 2004; Singer & Singer, 1990; Taylor, Shawber & Mannerin, 1999; Taylor & Carlson, 1997; Trionfi & Reese, 2009). Research by Dierker, Davis and Sanders (1995) suggests that approximately one third of children between the ages of 2 and 10 develop such companions. Furthermore, according to Taylor, Hulette, and Dishion (2010), such fantasy production has been associated with positive characteristics such as, “advanced theory of mind, narrative skills, and the ability to get along well with others” (p. 1632). According to Davies (2011), “the appeal of such play is that it supports the school-age child’s wish to be competent and powerful, even in the face of extreme odds” (p. 350). Early psychoanalysts surmised that thirteen to twenty percent of children go through a phase in which they play with an imaginary companion and most children who experience the accompaniment of an imaginary friend are between the ages of three and six years old (Sperling, 1954; Svedson, 1934; Hurlock & Bernstein, 1932). More recent studies have focused on empirical data and have found that, by the age of 7 years old, about 37% of children have had an invisible friend (Taylor, Carlson, Maring, Gerow & Carley, 2004). However, the imaginary companion phenomenon is not restricted to this age group. Harriman (1937) found that even some college students had maintained relationships with imaginary friends from
childhood. The present study is interested in adolescents who experience imaginary friends long after the typical developmental period. More specifically, this study is interested in how imaginary companions take shape in the lives of adolescents for whom experiences of trauma in early caregiving relationships have interrupted normal development.

For the purposes of this study, the terms imaginary companions, invisible friends and imaginary friends will be used interchangeably as they have often been used as such in research. The literature on imaginary companions that has been published can largely be classified into two basic areas: normal child developmental literature (Taylor, 1999) and psychopathology literature (Putnam, 1997; Sawa, Oae, Abiru, Ogawa, & Takahashi, 2004). Researchers have sought to understand the phenomenon through conducting empirical research, both qualitative (Seiffge-Krenke, 1997) and quantitative (Taylor, Hueltte & Dishion, 2010), as well as through psychoanalytic studies (Bach, 1971; Benson & Pryor, 1973; Sperling, 1954), focusing on the presentation of imaginary companions in typically developing children. Furthermore, much of the research has attempted to provide a framework for understanding imaginary companions, seeking to label them as positive or negative, adaptive or maladaptive, and pathological or healthy (Brooks & Knowles, 1982; Friedberg, 1995; Harter & Chao, 1992; Meyer & Tuber, 1989; Sanders, 1992; Seiffre-Krenke, 1997; Somers, 1984; Trujillo, Lewis, Yeager, & Gidlow, 1996). Elizabeth Kandall (1997) captured trends in literature when she wrote,

> A child’s relationship with an imaginary companion has been understood as a positive sign of intelligence, creativity, social ability, maturity, and also as a negative sign of social/academic incompetence, propensity to live in fantasy, isolation, loneliness and a prodromal sign of multiple personality (p.1)

A small body of research has sought to explore the phenomenon of imaginary companions within adolescents and adults (Allen, 2004, Harriman, 1937) and adolescents who have experienced trauma (Gupta & Desai, 2006; Adamo, 2004). Much of the psychoanalytic research regarding
imaginary companions is older (Bach, 1971; Benson & Pryor, 1973; Sperling, 1954) and relies heavily on case studies of patients with significant psychopathology. There remains a large research gap at the intersection of imaginary companions, attachment trauma and treatment as few researches have approached the phenomenon through a broad lens of formulation and treatment. Furthermore, discussions of culture, class, ability, sexuality, race, ethnicity, spirituality and gender as they pertain to the phenomenon have largely been left out of the research altogether maintaining a focus on intrapsychic phenomenon without proper consideration for the historical context, present reality and dynamics within the environment of the person presenting with the imaginary companions.

This study will conduct a theoretical inquiry into this phenomenon through the lenses of Winnicottian object relations and Narrative Therapy. It will engage in inquiry around etiology, resilience, ecology, pathology, as well as consider the implications for therapeutic treatment. I will then review a case study of an adolescent with a history of attachment trauma and imaginary companionship. Through this practice, I will flush out the theoretical concepts and ground them in a way that will fill gaps within the existing literature and contribute to the wealth of knowledge within the field of clinical social work.

Study Questions

Most of the scientific research dealing with ICs has been concerned with preschool aged children who develop imaginary companions as playmates in response to “situations of special stress and traumatic character” (Nagera, 1969, p. 192). The normative developmental literature has regarded imaginary companions as “fairly common” for typically developing children, sharing that imaginary companion’s are useful in “aiding the child in the developmental process in a transitory, compensatory manner” (McLewin & Muller, 2006, p. 532). The present study
will try to answer the following questions: How do imaginary companions play a role in the development of adolescents with histories of attachment trauma? Are imaginary companions in adolescence an indicator of pathology or resilience? What can we learn from science, research and theory with regards to how to treat adolescents who present with imaginary companions and a history of attachment trauma? How can Winnicott’s object relations theories and Narrative Therapy theories be used to conceptualize treatment of adolescents with histories of attachment trauma and imaginary companions? What do clinicians need to keep in mind while working with adolescents with histories of attachment trauma and imaginary companions? This study hopes to expand upon the current research and engage a conversation about treatment modalities that could be helpful for this population. Secondly, this study hopes to draw conclusions that can serve to inform practice interventions within the field of clinical social work. Ultimately, this study holds hope that its findings could improve treatment for these clients and support a shift away from a pathology-focused understanding of the phenomenon, enhancing the body of literature available with a well researched and practice based approach to treatment. Ultimately, an inquiry such as this is necessary for the field of social work which endeavors to consider clinical treatment of person in environment, expanding the knowledge base for assessment and treatment to support the work that social workers do in addressing individuals as well as the systems and environments they exist within.

This study will involve an extensive literature review, considering both empirical and psychoanalytic research that has been written about imaginary friends. The research will cover developmental research with broad strokes and focus more specifically on research that is particularly relevant to the phenomenon.
The following chapter on methodology will introduce key terms and concepts to ground the forthcoming study. The chapter will provide an overview of the structure of the study including a summary of the foundational perspectives that will be offered within the phenomena chapter as well theories that will be discussed in the two theory chapters. In addition, the chapter will introduce the reader to the study’s teaching goals, the methodological biases of the researcher, and the strengths and limitations of the present study.
CHAPTER II

METHODOLOGY

“And then I would hold up my hand and move every finger and each joint of my arm; and see the shadow answering, answering, answering. And I should nod to it and bow to it and curtsy. And I would dance to my shadow alone. And all this I would do again and again all day....”

–Lord Dunsany, Charwoman’s shadow, 1926

This methodology chapter will introduce the reader to key terms and concepts that ground the present study’s inquiry into the phenomenon of imaginary friends in adolescents with a history of attachment trauma as well as to the structure and format of the study. The reader will also be introduced to the study’s teaching goals, the methodological biases of the researcher, and the strengths and limitations of the present study.

Key Terms and Concepts

The first widely read articles on imaginary companions was wrote by Svedson (1934) who defined an imaginary companion as:

An invisible character, named and referred to in conversation with other persons or played with directly for a period of time, at least several months, having an air of reality for the child but no apparent objective basis. This excludes that type of imaginative play in which an object is personified, or in which the child himself assumes the role of some person in his environment.

(p. 988)

Svedson’s (1934) description has endured and been used as the primary description for imaginary companions in much of present day research. The present study is particularly concerned with the experience of such companions in adolescents with histories of attachment
trauma. The adolescent period of development, ages from 12 to 18 years old, is late for what is considered the “normal” time frame for a person to make use of an imaginary companion (Somers & Yawkey, 1984). Additionally, this study is concerned with a particular area of traumatic experience termed, attachment trauma, which shall be understood as traumatic experiences such as physical abuse, sexual abuse, verbal abuse and physical and emotional neglect that occur within the context of child-caregiver relationships (Allen, 2011). These acts of commission or omission are traumatic in that they result in harm, potential harm, or threat of harm to the child (Center for Disease Control, 2012). For the purposes of this study, attachment trauma should be understood as occurring in relationships between children and their parents or primary adult caretaking figures in the child’s life. Allen (2011) writes that, “attachment trauma is especially detrimental because it undermines the primary function of attachment, which is to provide protection” (p. 20). Furthermore, Allen (2011) writes, “attachment trauma is the most extreme example of the failure of good enough caregiving, because it not only constitutes a failure of protection but also places the child in danger” (p. 21). It is this researchers belief that imaginary companions are often closely linked to this particular kind of trauma and that imaginary companions are the result of resilient adaptations within a child’s internal working model for attachment. Early on in life, attachment figures are often a parent or parent substitute; however, attachment relationships are understood to play out with other attachment figures throughout the life cycle “(e.g. beyond a mother figure to a father, alternative caregivers, siblings, extended family, friends, partners, spouses, and even groups)” (Allen, 2011, p. 21). These important attachment relationships will also be considered, as they are relevant to the time period of adolescence explored through the present study. The field of trauma research is broad and there has been much written about attachment trauma specifically. The present study will be
limited to understanding attachment trauma as it relates to the neurobiological, psychological and social underpinnings of the imaginary companion phenomenon.

For the purposes of this study, the terms imaginary companion, fantasy friend and imaginary friend will be used interchangeably and researched as equal concepts. As stated previously, imaginary companions are to be understood as invisible name bearing persons who present in the psychic world of the constructor and communicate directly with the constructor in a mutual way over a period of time (Svedson, 1934). They exist in the realm of imagination, however are different from a separate identity or self. Thus, the phenomenon of a child who takes on an imaginary character or calls him/herself by another name during play would not meet criteria. The companion must be invisible to anyone expect the child who is creating it. Hence, physical objects such as stuffed animals or toys imbued with imaginary characteristics and persona would not fit the criteria for this study.

**Flow and Structure**

The following study will explore the phenomenon of imaginary companions through multiple lenses; conceptual, theoretical and experiential. Within the phenomenon chapter of this study, the reader will be introduced to literature and research from the fields of developmental psychology, psychoanalysis and trauma as they relate to the phenomenon of imaginary companions within the lives of children. The chapter will focus its efforts on understanding how imaginary companions manifest in the lives of adolescents, considering the impact of trauma on the developing brain. Finally, the phenomenon chapter will introduce the clinical case of Ben, written by Stephen Proskauer, Elizabeth T. Barsh and Lusita B. Johnson in 1980. Ben, a Navajo adolescent boy of 17, presented to treatment with an imaginary companion and a significant
history of attachment trauma. The case explores both his history and treatment experiences through modality of hypnotherapy (Proskauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

Later in the two theoretical chapters, the reader will be introduced to two theories relevant to the study of imaginary companions in the lives of adolescents who have experienced attachment trauma: narrative therapy theory and Winnicott’s object relations theories. The narrative therapy theory chapter will provide a history and context for narrative therapy and thereafter explore two narrative therapy practices that are particularly relevant for addressing the phenomenon in treatment: externalizing conversations and re-authoring conversations. The chapter on Winnicott’s object relations theories will review a history of Donald W. Winnicott and his theories, focusing specifically on three concepts offered by Winnicott: the concept of the good-enough mother, the concept of transitional objects and the concept of the capacity to be alone. Thereafter, the reader will be primed to consider how therapists from these two schools of theory and practice might think about and approach the phenomenon of imaginary friends in adolescents who have experienced attachment trauma.

Finally, the case of Ben will be explored in-depth within the discussion chapter, grounding the concepts and theories presented within this study, and engaging an integrative dialog around the ways assessment and theory translate into treatment. The theories and concepts presented in the early chapters of this study will be discussed and integrated through critical analysis of the case and phenomenon therein; in this way, the author and the reader will be equipped to consider the phenomenon in all of its complexity. The discussion chapter will also offer ideas and practical tools to consider for treatment that apply to the case of Ben as well as globally to the phenomenon as it presents in adolescence. Ultimately, through reviewing the
present study, the reader will be equipped for assessing and treating adolescents who present to therapy with imaginary companions and a history of attachment trauma.

As a researcher, I am biased in choosing these two theories. I admire the way that they capture resilience. I have chosen theories that conceptualize the source of intrapsychic problems broadly, encompassing relational and environmental influences as well as components of individual psychology. Within my own clinical work, I have encountered two adolescents with histories of attachment trauma and the companionship of imaginary friends. My short work with these young people was challenging, confusing and thought provoking. Through the work I realized that I could not be of much help if I did not have a clearer understanding of the phenomenon. I questioned both resilience and pathology when I met with these young people and wondered what I could offer as a therapist to shore up their strengths, provide a positive attachment experience, and help them to navigate the confusing aftermath of childhood experiences that were riddled with abuse and neglect at the hands of caregivers. I also wondered about the intersection of this phenomenon and culture and wanted to know more about how imaginary companions could be interpreted through cultural lenses other than those of western medicine and science. I wanted to take a step back form the conversations about pathology that took place at the agency where I was a social work intern and, in exchange, I wanted to delve into a thesis that could shift the perspective on this phenomenon to one of resilience.

While the present study will offer a focused look at theory, treatment and practice in an area that has been relatively unexplored, the study is not without its limitations. The case material was not written with this study in mind and hence, valuable insights about Ben’s history and presentation will be missing in the case summary. Furthermore, Ben had undergone treatment by the time the study was written, thus the treatment and the study itself were colored
by the biases of the researchers who wrote the study as well as by the theoretical orientation and treatment approach they used therein. Finally, the field would benefit from more empirical research, both qualitative and quantitative, regarding the experiences of children whose experiences are in line with this phenomenon. As a field, we still have much to learn about the impact of attachment trauma on children’s fantasy lives including children’s utility for and attachment to their imaginary companions in the aftermath of trauma. The present study will represent a move in a curious direction but will make only a dent in the knowledge tank; we still have much to learn from children and the imaginary friends they create.

Much of the psychoanalytic research that has discussed the phenomenon through the use of clinical case study in children older (A. Freud, 1936; Sperling, 1954; Bach, 1971), similarly so with adolescents (Benson, 1980; Klein, 1985). Furthermore, the psychoanalytic tradition’s exhaustive use of clinical case study to research the phenomenon of imaginary friends may have contributed to their frequent associations with psychopathology (Manosevitz, Prentice, & Wilson, 1973). Until a study by Sawa, et. al. in 2004, there had been no research with regards to the function of imaginary companions in the actual psychotherapeutic process. One strength of the present study is that it will consider the phenomenon through two modalities: clinical case study and discussion of theory and treatment. Furthermore, the study endeavors to apply a lens of resilience, which could balance out the research associating the phenomenon with psychopathology. Finally, the study’s theoretical orientation creates space to discuss and analyze different ideas, a practice that inherently sheds light on theoretical and treatment strengths and biases and brings the discussion of this phenomenon to a level of complexity that is unparalleled within the current research.
Through this study, I expect to find new ways of interpreting and understanding the phenomenon. I also expect to identify and provide recommendations to therapist who encounters this phenomenon in clinical contexts. Finally, I expect to complicate the pathological lens through which the phenomenon has commonly been understood and formulate a multidisciplinary understanding that captures the resilient nature of the phenomenon. The following chapter begins this journey by introducing psychoanalytic and psychological research that will frame the discussion of imaginary companions going forward.
CHAPTER II

PHENOMENON

“Never trust a friend who deserts you in a pinch.”

– Aesop: The Two Fellows and a Bear

The following chapter will explore psychoanalytic writing and psychological research in order to construct a scaffolding framework for understanding the phenomenon of imaginary companions in the lives of older children with histories of attachment trauma. Through examination and synthesis of research from the areas of developmental psychology, psychiatry and psychoanalytics, this chapter will explore different lenses through which theorists and researchers have understood the role of imaginary companions within the lives of children. Furthermore, the chapter will present and summarize some of the research that has been done at the intersection of imaginary companions, older children and trauma. There will be a section on how imaginary companions take shape in the lives of children as well as a section delving into the impact of trauma on the developing brain and developing self. The trauma section will introduce readers to the concept of traumatic dissociation and consider the resilience of the imagination as a tool for coping with distress. Research gaps will also be discussed. Finally, this chapter will introduce and summarize a case written by Stephen Proskauer, Elizabeth T. Barsh and Lucita B. Johnson about a Navajo adolescent male named Ben, who presented to treatment with an imaginary companion and a history of complex trauma.
Developmental Lens

Developmental research suggests that imaginary companions are normally temporary, transient phenomena, appearing first in early childhood (Inuzuka, Satoh & Wada, 1991; Pearson, Rouse, Doswell, Ainsworth, Dawson, Simms, Edwards & Falconbridge, 2001; Somers & Yawkey, 1984; Taylor, 1999), and disappearing by late childhood for most children (Inuzuka et al., 1991; Taylor, 1999). The prevalence of imaginary companions drops considerably between the ages of eight and twelve years (Pearson et al., 2001).

In the middle to late 1900s, theorists began to discuss imaginary companions as a normal part of cognitive development, and even as a sign of superior intelligence (Fein, 1975; Nagera, 1969). They surmised that the child who develops an imaginary companion is also showing the capacity for advanced cognitive structures such as the capacity for symbolic thought, abstract thinking, and elaboration (Fein, 1975). Piaget (1962) believed that imaginative play provided the child with an opportunity to symbolically play out experiences and assimilate reality (i.e., parental moral authority) and did not believe that this type of play was a defensive process of denying and avoiding reality. Instead, he believed that imaginary companions could contribute to a child's creative growth by encouraging him/her to develop cognitive elaboration and originality. Piaget also viewed imaginary companions as helpful to children as they learned to explore the environment, develop new skills, and cope with emotions.

Jersild, Jersild and Markey (1933) studied children ages 5-12 with imaginary companions and found that a greater number of girls were able to give definite descriptions of imagined playmates and that a higher IQ was correlated with this ability to make definite descriptions. Furthermore, Svendsen (1934), in a study of 40 cases of children with imaginary friends, found that they were encountered three times as often among girls as boys (Bender & Vogel, 1941).
Both Sperling (1954) and Nagera (1969) contend that children use companions to aid through a specific developmental stage, “the imaginary companion frequently plays a specific role in the development of the child and once that role is fulfilled, it tends to disappear and is finally covered by the usual infantile amnesia” (Nagera, 166).

While the developmental research serves to broaden the framework for understanding imaginary companions, it does so through samples of normally developing children and does not speak to the experiences of children who have experienced trauma or who present with imaginary companions later in development.

Psychoanalytic literature has taken a somewhat broader focus, although much of this literature was written over 40 years ago. For instance, Nagera (1969) wrote about the similarities and differences between the imaginary companions of younger (2 – 8 years old) and older (latency aged) children. He believed that for children 2 to 8 years old, imaginary companions served a particular function in terms of supporting development; this function was different than the role played by imaginary companions of older children. He saw the imaginary companions of young children as intermediate steps between controlling forces from the outside (i.e. their parents) and their own sense of internal control (i.e. “superego structure”). In contrast, he observed that older children use companions as a way of coping with impulses that seem to escape their control due to frustration, stress or conflicts. For both older and younger children, these imaginary companions serve the function of controlling the child’s behavior, yet in different ways given the child’s developmental capacity for self-control (superego function) (Nagera, 1969).

Some psychoanalytic research, such as Philip Harriman’s 1937 study, has engaged in inquiry about the presence of imaginary companions later than the typical developmental period.
Harriman studied college students who presented with imaginary friends, he found evidence for the assertion that more “older persons” experience this type of phantasy production than prior research has indicated. Harriman (1937) says, “chiefly impressive is the apparent fact that an imaginary friend is an illustration of wishful thinking compensatory for a real or fancied deprivation of completely satisfying flesh-and-blood companions” (p. 370). Additionally, he found that there was no apparent harm to the individual as a result of such fantasy production and that it was different that the vengeful and erotic phantasies that might be found in an individual with autistic thinking and a low capacity for imaginative play (Harriman, 1937).

Furthermore, Bender and Vogel (1941) wrote up their psychoanalytic observations of 14 children they saw within the Children’s Ward of Bellevue Psychiatric Hospital. In their study, they observed children with a range of behavioral and emotional problems, yet with a common history of attachment issues and trauma. They found that some children create imaginary companions in a constructive way, “making up for deficient reality” (Bender & Vogel, 1941, p. 59). They found that others experienced imaginary companions in their phantasy life as a way to internally mend brokenness within their family system. Furthermore, children created imaginary friends that were scapegoats for the child’s defects, personifications of the hated parts of their caregivers and vehicles for overcoming parental rejection. All in all, the researchers concluded that these imaginary companions were all constructive for the child and valuable in the development of their personality. For most of these children, the imaginary companions made up for deficits within the child’s world. Bender and Vogel (1941) found that two particular factors contributed most to these phantasies: “1) an unsatisfactory parent child relationship” and “2) unsatisfactory experiences from the world of reality due to unfavorable social or economic situations” (p. 64). They regarded the phenomenon as not only normal but resilient, a way for the
children to create a more integrated personality to deal with the conflicts in their lives. Adamo (2004) echoed some of these findings when he wrote, “socially withdrawn adolescents and adolescents with a higher level of distress are at high risk of creating fantasy friends” (p. 150). There is strong feeling in both of these cases that imaginary friends in adolescence are an internal response to an environmental deficiency. When the adolescent’s world is lacking in sufficient attachments, the imaginary friend can present as an auxiliary support to bolster feelings of social isolation and disconnection.

Bender and Vogel (1941) reference the 1929 work of Kirkpatrick who asserted that nearly all children have imaginary companions at some point in their lives and that sometimes, “the imaginary companion is an ideal self, sometimes a scapegrace, and at other times it is not the self at all but a distinct personality (p. 57). This raises the question: what form do these imaginary companions take?

**Qualities of Imaginary Friends**

For as long as researchers have been writing about imaginary companions, they have explored the shape taken by these invisible companions in the lives of children. What do these friends look like? How do they function? Does the child believe them to be real or are they aware that the imaginary friend is a figment of their imagination? This section will review common descriptions of imaginary companions, as well as discuss what research says about the roles they play in the lives of children and adolescents.

Perhaps the most often cited definition of imaginary companions was offered by Svendsen (1934) who described an imaginary companion as, “an invisible, name bearing person who presents for the constructor a psychic reality over an extended period of time and whom he can refer to in his every-day life communication” (p. 985). Hurlock and Burnstein (1932)
believed that, “these playmates are not merely vivid ideas, or imaginings, but actual visual and auditory projections. They can be seen and heard as vividly as if they were living children” (p. 381). Piaget (1951), a well regarded developmental psychologist and philosopher observed his own three year old daughter playing with her imaginary friend and wrote, “this strange creature, which engaged her attention for about two months was of help in all that she learned or devised, gave her moral encouragement in obeying orders and consoled her when she was unhappy, Then it disappeared” (p. 130).

In a 1933 study, Jersild, Jersild and Markey examined how imaginary companions took shape within the imaginations of children ages 5 to 12 years old. Of the 143 children that reported imaginary companions, 79 percent of the imaginary companions took the form of human beings, story characters or elves and fairies. The 21 percent remaining were represented by animals, dolls and special objects (Jersild, Jersild & Markey, 1933). Svedsen (1934) believed that imaginary companions were accompanied by strong visual imagery. Harvey (1918) believed that children always knew that their imaginary companion was a figment of their imagination, understanding imaginary companions were visual or auditory ideas that become as vivid and real as a visual or auditory percept (Nagera, 1969). Nagera (1969) observed that imaginary companions were often the same age as the child, or slightly younger, but that they were never an adult figure. Although they never took the shape of adults, Nagera believed that imaginary companions could possess adult characteristics such as, “strength, power, knowledge, authority, etc.” (Nagera, 1969, p. 171). Bender and Vogel (1941) observed that, “the child who has created an imaginary companion enters into active physical play with its creation. He is not content to play in phantasy, but carries out the same activities and plays the same games as with a real playmate (p. 64).
Sawa, Oae, Abiru, Ogawa and Takahashi (2004) differentiated between the way that children experience imaginary companions and the way that adolescents experience them saying, “adolescents experience them with a sense of reality and think of them as other persons, while also recognizing that they are not real” (p. 145). Sawa et. al. argue that, because adolescents can acknowledge that their imaginary companions are not real, this phenomenon should not be classified as pathological when presenting in adolescence. They found that in adolescents, imaginary companions provided advice, acceptance, encouragement, and an outlet for repressed aggression. In each of the three cases they explored, the imaginary companion functioned to fulfill an ability that each patient desired but could not act on alone. Adamo (2004) observed that the imaginary companion of his adolescent client, Salvo, served as a form of relationship fulfillment that his client could not find out in the world. Salvo was observed to be able to visualize a vivid image of his imaginary friends and use willpower to call to them when they were needed (Adamo, 2004). The research is limited and there remains a great deal to learn about the shape that imaginary friends take in the lives of adolescents.

It became clear through this literature review that the research on imaginary companions has been minimal and that there is still a great deal that we do not know about children and their imaginary companions. The research is especially minimal with regards to imaginary companions in the lives of adolescents with histories of trauma. McLewin and Muller (2006) suggest that future research should include case studies stating, “case studies are able to accumulate in-depth information regarding their clients that is generally not gathered in empirical studies” (p. 542). I experienced great difficulty finding case studies to work with for this study and when I did begin to find them, I discovered that much of the writing was decades old and written by authors who were primarily interested in the psychopathology of the patients
they wrote about. It is difficult to know exactly why the research has been so minimal; however, I believe this could be due, in part, to the reality that children and adolescents often conceal the reality of their imaginary companions and do not discuss them with their caregivers, family or friends. Jersild (1968) found that almost all children invent imaginary companions, yet they go mostly undetected by their parents. Psychoanalytic studies have found that imaginary companions can served a compensatory function when children are faced with a loss or a deficit in close relationships with caregivers; however, in no case was this the reason for referral (McLewin & Muller, 2006). In order for an imaginary companion to enter the conversation between the constructor and the adults in their lives, there must be trust, openness, and communication. For many children with histories of trauma, the capacity for trust has been damaged and their imaginary companions may result from the lack of close relationships with caregivers. Hence, researched may have moved away from this phenomenon because, due to its very private nature, it is difficult to study and may soon disappear with the onset of safe and trusting relationships.

**Pathological Lens**

Early theorists and clinicians who wrote about imaginary companions were divided as to whether they were developmentally normal or a sign of pathology. Psychoanalytic writers of the mid-1990s primarily wrote about imaginary companions through case studies, focusing on the psychopathology of disturbed children. More contemporary research has discussed imaginary companions as a form of dissociation for children with the most extreme form of dissociation resulting in a dissociative identity disorder (DID) (McLewin & Muller, 2006). DID has been described as the most extreme response to psychological trauma (Putnam, 1997) and manifests as two or more distinct personality or identity states, called alters, which recurrently take control.
over a person (Pica, 1999). A large body of research links DID to a history of child abuse (Putnam, Guroff, Silberman, Barban, & Post, 1986; Schultz, Braun, & Kluft, 1989). The same research indicates that most individuals are not diagnosed until they reach their 20s. Pica (1999) asserts that for individuals with DID, the dissociative defense serves an adaptive function, protecting the child from overwhelming psychological trauma; however, reliance on this defense creates problems within social, occupational and familial relationships.

Some psychoanalytic research regarding DID indicates that there is a “window of vulnerability”, in development between the ages of 3 and 8 years old (Putnam, 1995). Marmer (1991) attributed this vulnerability to the child’s tendency to use the defense of splitting at this time in addition to their underdeveloped understanding of the difference between self and object. Furthermore, Allison and Schwartz (1980) found that the age during which the child was traumatized is significant, finding that those who experienced trauma before the age of 6 showed greater disorganization and a greater number of alter personalities, while children who were 8 or older exhibited fewer personalities and greater ego strength. It is during this time that, developmentally, the child uses preoperational and concrete operational cognitive reasoning, meaning that they see the world in rigid, black and white terms. It is also during this time in development that children often begin to develop imaginary companions and are generally more hypnotizable than during other periods of development. Pica (1999) drew the conclusion that children use this natural “hypnotic capacity” to dissociate in response to psychosocial stressors. Furthermore, he believed that a child’s experience in infancy, before the “window of vulnerability,” was significant. He asserted that, experiences early on in development could predispose a child to increased vulnerability during the aforementioned window of time (Pica, 1999).
In concert with Pica’s ideas, Fink (1988) suggested that DID represents a disorganization of the child’s core self; the foundations of the self that a child creates during the first 6 months of infancy. In describing children with core self disorganization, Fink viewed that they “lack control over their bodies, experience internal fragmentation, have trouble integrating emotional experiences, and have a tendency to confuse the past with present” (Pica, 1999). Furthermore, with this theory in mind, a child will be more vulnerable to developing DID when they are both predisposed by experience of vulnerable attachments in infancy as well as victims of traumatic incidents within the vulnerable window of development; a time which would allow alter personalities to develop.

In the absence of a soothing caregiver, Pica (1999) believed that these children were much more likely to rely on dissociative defenses and find it difficult, if not impossible to tell the difference between phantasy and reality. Pica (1999) says, “without knowing the conditions under which these children live, teachers, babysitters, and neighbors are likely to see these kids as moody, hyperactive, shy and withdrawn when really they may be experiencing continuous dissociation, drifting in ad out of fantasy depending on the stress they experience in the environment” (p. 407).

Wickes (1966) was interested in the difference between normal and pathological use of imaginary companions and suggested that, in “normal cases” imaginary companions serve a transient function and are disposed of when the child no longer needs them. In this way, they serve a function in supporting the child to navigate something new, challenging, or lonely. In contrast, Wickes found that children who make pathological use of an imaginary companion use them as a way to escape reality. In this way, the pathological use of imaginary companions often produces a split between the child’s thinking self and the self of feeling and relationships. The
imaginary friend is a personification of the things that the child cannot own, accept or tolerate as coming from or happening to the self (Wickes, 1966).

When imaginary friends are encountered in the play of older school aged children and adolescents, psychoanalytic tradition has often interpreted these companions as a concerning indicator of psychopathology and an indicator of a child’s underdeveloped capacity to blend fragmented parts of themselves (Gupta & Desai, 2006; Friedberg, 1995) or a way to defensively split off a memory, feeling or part of the self (Taylor, 1999). In the early 1900’s, psychoanalytic writers primarily used case studies of disturbed children to draw conclusions about imaginary companions. Early writing conceptualized imaginary companions as defensive in nature, allowing a child to cope indirectly with something unpleasant or overwhelming (Freud, 1968; Nagera, 1969; Sperling, 1954). While it was acceptable for young children to have imaginary companions, it was considered indicative of a mental disorder as development progressed (Svendson, 1934).

As the aforementioned research suggests, imaginary companions could be interpreted as a form of cognitive coping, which is beneficial for older children in stressful or traumatic contexts (Compas, Hinden, & Gerhardt, 1995). It is also possible to imagine that these imaginary friends could be a form of entertainment that is not associated with dissociation, resilience or pathology. They could be fulfilling developmental changes in “reality-testing, fantasies, creativity and changes in socio-emotional development and in friendship conceptions” (Gupta & Desai, 2006). Overall, the presence of these fantasy friends in the lives of older children remain poorly understood (Seiffge-Krenke, 1997) and as a result, can represent an important but unexplored area for clinical social workers and other mental health service providers to consider.
Trauma and Dissociation

A final layer to add to this literature review is one of exploring the phenomenon of imaginary friends in older children through the lens of trauma. Trauma refers to the, “enduring and adverse impact of extremely stressful events” (Allen, 2011, p. 4). Allen (2011) writes, “the essence of trauma is feeling terrified and alone” (p. 4) and it is this experience of fear, terror and loneliness that can leave a damaging and lasting psychic effect. Along the spectrum of traumatic experiences, impersonal trauma is at one end and attachment trauma is at the other. Relatively impersonal trauma is exemplified by natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods and fires. These events pose a physical threat and danger to the self and others and can pose a significant risk to psychopathology. However, at the other end of the spectrum is interpersonal trauma, defined as, “deliberate threat or injury in the context of interpersonal interaction” (Allen, 2011, p. 13). This is the most problematic kind of trauma that an individual can experience. Allen (2011) writes, “interpersonal trauma is a major contributor to severity of trauma, and attachment trauma is the worst” (p. 5). Attachment trauma occurs within the contexts of child-caregiver relationships and represents trauma not only to the relationship between child and caregiver but also to the attachment system itself. Allen (2011) writes, “attachment trauma damages the safety-regulating system and undermines the traumatized person’s capacity to use relationships to establish a feeling of security” (p. 22). The present study is interested in attachment trauma and its biological, psychological and social impact on the developing child.

Individuals with attachment trauma are unable to access memories of a time before their trauma. These individuals are challenged with the prospect of revisiting stable cognitive structures given their inadequate exposure to the modeling necessary to develop these cognitive structures. Allen (2001) states that, “openness to intense emotions and a capacity to wrestle
actively and deliberately with complex meaning – reflective function – is required for growth” (p. 344). In expounding upon the impact of attachment trauma, Allen (2001) quotes LaMothe (1999) writing, “there is a horrifying void of an obliged, trustworthy, and faithful other and in this void there is consequently the absence of psychological organization and the very structures of subjectivity […] these experiences cannot be organized because the very symbols which human beings depend upon for psychological organization cannot represent the very absence of obligation, trust, and fidelity” (p. 344). Given the early onset of attachment trauma and, by its very nature, the absence of a safe attachment relationship, persons who have experienced attachment trauma are often rendered defenseless of cognitive structures and the capacity for emotional regulation that would be present in the minds and bodies of non-traumatized individuals (Allen, 2011).

Applying a biopsychosocial lens to assessment and treatment of trauma is crucial due to the marked neurobiological and evolutionary impacts of trauma. Allen (2011) suggests that we can hardly begin to treat trauma without understanding the impacts of both biology and evolution. This section will elaborate on the impact of trauma on the brain and will also speak to the impact of trauma on a person’s self-concept and relationship to the world and others. In speaking of the biological perspective Allen (2011) says, “the lens of evolution enables us to grasp, most fully that, no matter how pathological they may seem, our clients’ trauma symptoms often can be best understood as adaptive efforts” (p. 9). Furthermore, from a developmental psychopathology lens Allen (2011) explains, “attachment trauma not only generates extreme distress but also, more importantly, undermines the development of mental and interpersonal capacities needed to regulate that distress” (p.10). McLewin and Muller (2006) indicate that child maltreatment represents a significant risk factor for maladaptive development and function.
writing, “samples of abused children exhibit increased difficulties in negotiating state-salient developmental issues, including the development of secure attachment relationship, the development of autonomy and a sense of self, and the development of adaptive strategies for regulating behavior and affect (p. 540).

Thus, therapeutic work intended to address attachment trauma is complex and requires time, creative approaches, and attention to non-verbal treatment such as art, music and movement therapies, and attention to the manifestation of the trauma response within the body. The key to helpful therapy is that it must occur within a trusting and safe relationship between the therapist and the client. Such a relationship will enable the mind to think and feel about the trauma in different ways and gain access to emotional refueling vis-à-vis the trusting relationship. In this way, clients are able to transition from painful reliving to a process of remembering; a stance that involves a greater sense of personal agency and distance than was felt during the trauma itself. It is also crucial that the therapist understand the unique way that a client’s history of trauma has influenced his/her framework for relationships as well as the defensive coping mechanisms used to protect the self. For an individual that presents with an attachment trauma history and the presence of imaginary companions, trauma research suggests that it is important to consider this type of fantasy production in terms of the defensive coping mechanism of dissociation (Allen, 2001).

The literature on imaginary companions indicates that there is some form of dissociation involved in the creation of imaginary companions (McLewin & Muller, 2006). Dissociation refers to a variety of behaviors associated with lapses in psychobiological and cognitive processing (Ogawa, Sroufe, Weinfield, Carlson, & Egeland, 1997). The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM- IV-TR) defines dissociation as “a disruption in the usually integrated
functions of consciousness, memory, identity, or perception” (American Psychiatric Association, 2000 p. 519). Dissociation is considered to be a developmentally normal response to psychological stress, and is often helpful for children; however, it may represent pathology if it persists as a primary coping mechanism as development progresses (Ogawa, Sroufe, Weinfield, Carlson & Egeland, 1997; Shirar, 1996).

Dissociation is considered to be a developmentally normal response to psychological stress, and is often helpful for children; however, it may represent pathology if it persists as a primary coping mechanism as development progresses (Ogawa, Sroufe, Weinfield, Carlson & Egeland, 1997; Shirar, 1996).

Dissociation often persists as a primary coping mechanism for individuals who have experienced trauma and can undermine functioning in several ways such as impacting memory, compromising cognitive functioning, blocking coping mechanisms and in the most extreme form resulting in “alters” or dissociative identities and the DSM-IV diagnosis of Dissociative Identity Disorder (Allen, 2001). Studies have consistently documented the association between dissociation and a history of severe sexual abuse (Kisiel & Lyons, 2001; Giolas & Sanders, 1991), and noted trauma histories in almost all individuals with dissociative disorders (Briere & Runtz, 1988; Hornstein & Putnam, 1996). As dissociative disorders have been linked to the presence of imaginary companions, this research is particularly applicable to the present study and is one trauma lens through which the phenomena can be explored.

Research has conceptualized children's imaginary play, including the creation of imaginary companions, as a mild form of dissociation (McElroy, 1992; Shirar, 1996). Dissociative characteristics are more evident in children than they are in adults given children’s use of play and fantasy activities (McLewin & Muller, 2006). In creating an imaginary companion, a child may defensively split off a memory, feeling, or aspect of self (Taylor, 1999). McElroy (1992) suggests that if a child chronically relies on imaginary companions in this way, they may eventually take on full-fledged personalities; meeting criteria for a diagnosis of Dissociative Identity Disorder.
There is a wealth of research in the field that considers the impact of trauma on the child brain. Schore (2001) found that early trauma alters the development of the infant’s right brain, the hemisphere that is responsible for processing social and emotional information, bodily states, and attachment. Bashman (2008) suggests that, “as children protect themselves from the ravages of cumulative relational trauma, they then retreat both psychologically (through dissociation) and neurobiologically (by overly restricting their yearnings for attachment)” (p. 432). Schore (2001), a prolific infant researcher, believes that caregiver-induced trauma contributes to more frequent and more intense psychopathology in children. Early childhood trauma lays down a way of relating where the infant withdraws into their internal world and dissociates (Bashman, 2008).

On a neurological level, stress triggers the production of adrenaline and cortisol, two chemicals that the body naturally produces in order to cope with stress. The release of adrenaline is essential to survival in that it mobilizes energy during a stressful situation and alters blood flow to allow the body to effectively deal with stress. Cortisol also mobilizes energy in addition to suppressing immune response, helping the body to cope effectively with high levels of stress. When these hormonal systems are activated frequently, as is often the case for children who are exposed to circumstances of chronic abuse and neglect, serious developmental consequences are possible. Long term elevated cortisol levels have been linked to actual architectural changes within the hippocampus, the part of the brain that is essential for learning, memory, and stress regulation. Additionally, research has shown that excessive and chronic stress can alter gene expression, meaning that a chronically stressed mother will be more likely to give birth to children who are predisposed to fearfulness and reactivity when faced with stress (National Scientific Counsel on the Developing Child, 2009). Teicher (2005) writes that, “such abuse, it seems, induces a cascade of molecular and neurobiological effects that irreversibly alter neural
development” (p. 1). Teicher (2005) suggests that child abuse leaves lasting scars that are quite
difficult to treat later in life due to the physical alteration that take place within the brain.

Teicher’s (2005) concluding comments are powerful:

Society reaps what it sows in the way it nurtures its children. Stress sculpts the
brain to exhibit various antisocial, though adaptive, behaviors. Whether it comes
in the form of physical, emotional or sexual trauma or through exposure to
warfare, famine or pestilence, stress can set off a ripple of hormonal changes that
permanently wire a child’s brain to cope with a malevolent world. Through this
chain of events, violence and abuse pass from generation to generation as well as
from one society to the next. Our stark conclusion is that we see the need to do
much more to ensure that child abuse does not happen in the first place, because
once these key brain alterations occur, there may be no going back

(p. 8)

In conjunction with what research shows about the adverse effects of a stressful environment,
research has also found that a supportive and caring environment in early infancy can alter the
architecture of the brain in a positive way, changing some of the regulatory components of the
stress system. Hence, the relationship that children have with caregivers early in their lives is
critical in the development of the child’s ability to manage stress on a neurobiological level
(National Scientific Counsel on the Developing Child, 2009).

There has been some research to suggest that individuals who have experienced trauma
are more likely to retreat into fantasy in childhood to defensively cope with trauma, neglect and
isolation (Allen, 2001). Allen (2011) calls these individuals, “fantasy-prone” and writes that
they, “populate their worlds with imaginary companions, and they endow their dolls and stuffed
animals with feelings and personalities” (p. 129). Furthermore, Allen indicates that fantasy
production can be a sign that an individual has experienced trauma and noticing this behavior in
an individual can be important assessment information for therapists (Allen, 2011). Allen frames
this fantasy production as a form of dissociation, which the person detaches from that which was
traumatizing and turns inward towards fantasy production in a way that is pleasurable rather than
distressing. Allen (2011) writes that, “absorption in the inner world preclude engagement and coping with the outer world” adding that, “for clients with dissociate disturbance and PTSD, absorption in the inner world can be a slippery slope” (p. 178). This kind of internal immersion renders the person vulnerable to post–traumatic flashbacks and more severe dissociative states (Allen, 2011)

Researchers have made the connection between trauma and dissociation as well as dissociation and the presence of imaginary companions, however, the presence of imaginary friends in the lives of older children remains poorly researched (Taylor, Hulette & Dishion, 2010; Seiffge-Krenke, 1997) and even less research is available with regards to older children who have experienced trauma (Gupta & Desai, 2006). As a result, this is an important, yet unexplored area for clinical social workers and other mental health service providers to consider. More research needs to be done in this area in order to best serve and bolster the resiliency of older children that present for therapy with imaginary companions.

Research Gaps

Early psychoanalytic thinkers such a Hurlock and Burstein (1932), Sperling (1954), Vostrovsky (1895), Bender and Vogel (1941), and Harriman (1937) were interested in learning about when imaginary companions typically emerged for children, finding through their research that children of a variety of ages could experience imaginary companions. Somers and Yawkey (1984) found that the developmental course of this type of pretend play is not well understood, but concluded that imaginary companions are not solely a phenomenon of early childhood, as has often been supposed. However, other researchers disagree. For instance, Gupta and Desai (2006) state that the phenomenon of an imaginary companions is “usually regarded as normal in
children, but if encountered in adolescence, it indicates some underlying psychopathology” (p.149).

Some researchers comment on the strengths of a young person who experiences an imaginary friend and others comment on the pathological structures of the phenomenon. In this way, there are gaps in the research, disagreements among researchers, theorists and scientists who have studied this phenomenon over the past 100 years. Furthermore, the psychoanalytic tradition’s exhaustive use of clinical case study to research the phenomenon of imaginary friends may have contributed to their frequent associations with psychopathology (Manosevitz, Prentice, & Wilson, 1973). Until the study by Sawa, et. al. in 2004, there had been no research with regards to the function of imaginary companions in the actual psychotherapeutic process.

While research into the connection between imaginary companions and dissociation is illustrative of the dynamics of this phenomenon, there remain major gaps in our understanding. While research has linked trauma and dissociation as well as dissociation and imaginary companions, it had done little to link the three phenomenon together.

Discussing attached-related trauma, Bowlby (1980) described “segregated systems” of defenses in which children separate painful emotion from consciousness in order to cope. Such thinking is consistent with the understanding of dissociation as a survival mechanism in the face of overwhelming trauma. By way of this understanding, the self is developed by making meaning from experiences, and integrating these meanings and experiences over time. If the self cannot gain meaning and make sense of experience, integration cannot occur (Ogawa et al., 1997). Thus, if experiences, or aspects of experience, are dissociated often enough, fragmentation of the self may occur. Over time, these fragments can become more distinct and unavailable to consciousness (Peterson, 1991). Such theories of early development and trauma
are suggestive of the real threat that caregiver abuse and neglect can pose for the developing child (McLewin & Muller, 2006).

Blizard (1997, 2003) discusses the impossible dilemma a child experiences when faced with frightening or abusive behavior from a caregiver. Children must protect themselves from abusive caregivers while still maintaining a relationship with the caregiver whom they need for survival. The child may thus develop separate “good” and “bad” representations, or working models, of the self, and “nurturing” and “abusive” models of the caregiver. These multiple, incompatible models may become dissociated, and interfere with integrative functions of memory, consciousness, and identity (Liotti, 1999). Over time, these representations may become elaborated into multiple self-states, or alter personalities (Blizard, 2003; Liotti, 2004).

There is currently little crossover between research investigating childhood imaginary companions as part of normal development, and childhood imaginary companions in children with various forms of psychopathology (McLewin & Muller, 2006). However, Blizzard’s conceptualization of “good” and “bad” selves as well as “nurturing” and “abusive” models of the caregiver is an appropriate segue to discussing how theory may be useful in developing a greater understanding of this phenomena.

Amidst all of the literature in the field related to conceptualizing and understanding imaginary companions, I was not able to find any literature around how to treat children with imaginary companions outside of in-depth case studies (eg. Adamo, 2004; Gupta & Desai, 2006; Benson, 1980; Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980). From what is apparent, this is a huge research gap in the field. Although I continued to expand my search criteria, I found few studies that provided an in-depth application of theory to the phenomenon of imaginary friends. Furthermore, few articles have considered the treatment implications when a clinician utilizes
assessment and diagnosis to treat clients with imaginary companions through one modality or another. The present study will focus in this way, diversifying the information available on the phenomenon, creating new questions to consider, and broadening the discussion of the phenomenon.

The Case:

In 1980, Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson wrote a research paper entitled, “Imaginary Companions and Spiritual Allies of Three Navajo Adolescents” regarding their experiences in treatment with three Navajo adolescents at the Tuba City Hospital Mental Health Unit over a two year period from 1971-1973. The authors were interested in the unique connection between Navajo culture and the imaginary companion presentation, writing, “Navajo culture has woven into its very fabric a tendency to dissociative phenomenon, exemplified by the nature and influence of witchcraft beliefs as well as the prevalence of hysterical seizures” (p. 153). When speaking of the jarring adjustments forced on Navajo children at this time, Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write:

The child of a traditional Navajo family lives in an isolated encampment, often with maternal aunts, uncles, cousins and grandparents as well as his own immediate family. Extended family members play a correspondingly large part of his life, particularly his maternal uncles and grandparents. Group cooperation is essential to survival in a marginal sheep-herding economy. Though economically poor for the most part, Navajo families are culturally rich by virtue of their subtle language and complex religious healing ceremonies which require total community involvement and combine the functions of sacrament, medical treatment, and social gathering. At the age of five to seven, many Navajo children are abruptly removed from their families to attend a government boarding school, and environment that could hardly be more different from the family life they have known previously

(p. 154).

Navajo adolescents that were sent to white-man boarding school went through a number of challenging transitions in order to adjust to the school setting and then back again to their communities of origin. These transitions represented a complex web of psychosocial conflict.
The authors say that, “the resulting conflict of cultural influences added to their difficulties not only in coming to terms with personal spiritual power but also in resolving past emotional traumata, accepting sexual maturation, and forming a unified identity” (p. 154). Ben was a Navajo adolescent in the midst of transitioning back to his home and family for the summer after a year in boarding school when his parents brought him to the Tuba City Mental Health Unit. His case will be described and summarized here and used again in the discussion portion of this study to ground the theories and research discussed herein.

Ben was the oldest of three children born to his mother and father. Ben’s mother had been pregnant four other times, yet all the others had died in infancy. One of his infant siblings suffered from severe malnutrition, a contributing factor to the cause of death. The authors described Ben’s mother as a “domineering hypomanic” and his father as “schizophrenic” (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980, p. 162). Ben’s father served during World War II and suffered a serious breakdown. After her returned from war, he used peyote and alcohol excessively to manage psychological distress and, on several occasions, became psychotic, allegedly committing many assaults within the community including murder and rape. He made a took up silver working and Ben worked with his father when he came home for the summers. Ben’s father was feared within the community and shunned by angry relatives. Ben once witnessed an attempt by his father’s relatives to push Ben’s father out of a moving truck; Ben was two or three years old at the time. Ben’s father underwent multiple psychiatric hospitalizations, each occasion receiving the diagnosis of schizophrenia (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

Ben left for a white man’s boarding school at the age of seven, returning to his family every summer. By age 17, Ben was performing as a top student, was an active member of the
debate team, and had plan to attend college and move onto a career in computer programming. Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write that, “Ben gave the impression of being a handsome, articulate, highly intelligent, scholastically successful and psychologically well-organized adolescent” (p. 162). As an adolescent, Ben was aware of his family’s shameful reputation within the community and felt a strong sense of obligation to them. He was awarded with a college-credit tour of Europe, yet instead of going on the summer trip, he returned home to spend the summer with his family. During that time, Ben’s father reportedly became acutely psychotic, assaulting Bens’ mother and trying to strangle Ben at a Christian revival meeting. Ben’s father was hospitalized after this assault and at the same time, Ben left home for an orthopedic surgery to fix an injury in his shoulder. Ben and his father returned home from their respective time away at the same time and resumed their silver work. Two days after their return, Ben awoke in the night, screaming from a nightmare. The morning after the nightmare, Ben was awoke speechless. His parents took him to the Tuba City Mental Health Clinic where Ben met with a clinician and reportedly, “made a drawing of the black female figure which had threatened to kill him in his dream” (p. 163). The clinician hypnotized Ben and under hypnosis, Ben reportedly, “spoke in the whispered voice of a spirit companion dwelling inside of him” (p. 162). Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write:

Ben’s companion identified himself as the spirit of an Indian youth who had lived 300 years before. He had dwelt in Ben’s body since Ben had been a small child and it was he who had now stopped Ben from speaking. The spirit companion explained that the black figure in the dream was a second spirit, an enemy Spaniard girl, trying to kill Ben in order to prevent Ben’s companion spirit from reaching the end of his centuries-long quest. The companion spirit was friendly to Ben but rendered him mute because the evil spirit could strike him dead at once if Ben should speak (p. 163).

Ben’s companion gave an account of his history sharing that he had lived long ago and, during that time, had conflict with the evil Spaniard girl. Per the companion’s story, the Spaniard girl.
had tried to kill the companion at one point. Ben’s companion spirit escaped the attempt at murder, however, his close friend died instead. When Ben’s companion spirit later died at the hands of whites and Spaniards who had chased the Indians, he vowed to inhabit the bodies of living Indians in an effort to find his old friend again (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write, “the companion spirit described his relationship with Ben as teacher, guide, and close friend ever since he first came when Ben was two years old” (p. 164). Furthermore, “the evil spirit was with him, too. Ben tried to get rid of the evil one by going to church and helping people, but the evil one would not let him behave as well as he would have liked” (p. 164). The companion spirit described Ben as shy, quiet, truthful and honest. The companion also spoke to Ben’s fear of closeness when he indicated a belief through Ben that when people get too close, they die. The companion spirit blamed this dynamic on the evil spirit inhabiting Ben, saying that if she were gone, Ben would not fear getting close to people and he would be able to make friends. The companion declared that Ben, his family and his girlfriend must go to a certain spot in order to be free of both the good male companion spirit and the evil female spirit; saying that until then, Ben would remain unable to speak (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

Ben’s companion spirit spoke through him in the third person, praising Ben’s goodness and strength and blaming his faults on the evil spirit. Ben engaged in daily intensive hypnotherapy. During one session, Ben said:

I had a friend who taught me things, who I had fun with. He was always with me wherever I went. We shared our feelings and fears and everything together. When I felt low I used to go out by myself, used to talk to myself and it seemed like it would talk back to me. I don’t feel that way anymore. It feels like I’ve lost somebody, part of me or something like that – like a real close friend, someone you’ve had since you were a baby, like a brother

In describing his feelings after the companion spirit left him, Ben said, “in bed, I’d talk to myself and from somewhere deep inside it seemed he was answering all the questions I’d ask. Now, it feels like when you take the engine out of a car – can’t even remember a time when my friend wasn’t there” (p. 165). While the companion was described as within him, the female companion was described as acting on him, trying to rid him of his companion friend (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

Growing up, Ben felt close to his uncle and his uncle was the only person Ben told about his experiences of his imaginary companion before his companion was made known in the mental health facility. Ben’s uncle taught him about spirits and was a mentor for him with regards to other areas of life. Prosakauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) quote Ben as saying, “I always turned to him. I loved him and I was never scared of him.” It was the authors’ belief that Ben’s companion, in ways, represented his uncle and that the loss of his imaginary companion in therapy brought up unresolved grief about the loss of his uncle who died two years prior to Ben’s treatment in the clinic.

During his treatment at the clinic, there were times when Ben described a conflict within him. For instance, while describing the behavior of lying to his girlfriend he said, “I don’t mean to do it. It seems like something was fighting inside me, one telling me not do it, the other saying to go ahead. I had very little control over the fight” (p. 165-166). He also said that it “seems like a battle going on inside me, conscience on one side and on the other I’m all mixed up. It seems like its all part of myself” (p.166). He described the support given to him by his companions saying, “when I was ten, my father was in the hospital in California, I didn’t know where to go. I wanted to drink but my friend told me all the reasons not to, so I’ve never tasted liquor, never smoked, because my friend stopped me” (p. 166). He described the lessons he learned from his
friend saying, “being free is being able to love and to give everything you have to another person, instead of trying to satisfy yourself. To suffer for others is the best freedom there is” (p. 166).

The authors indicate that, at first, Ben believed his friend to be real, not imaginary. Furthermore, when he was rid of the companion, he actively grieved the loss. As treatment progressed, Ben was able to put words to fears of connecting with others and was increasingly able to engage in real and connected relationships. Finally, the authors indicate the Ben reconnected with his Navajo roots and decided to go to college to study to become a silversmith. He reported that he still felt the presence of his friend at times, often while doing a Navajo craft or doing an Indian dance. During these moments, he reportedly tried to recall how his companion would have instructed him in the past. Furthermore, he reflected on the utility of his imaginary companion during his time at the white man’s school describing his companion as a teacher in instructing Ben how to hold onto his pain and create something with it. Ben committed himself to creating and crafting long into his adult life (Prosakauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980).

With the case of Ben in mind, this study will now transition to an introduction of theory. Aspects of Narrative Theory and Winnicott’s theory of object relations will be used to review the case in this study’s discussion. A history of narrative theory will be presented first, then the following chapter will elaborate on the two narrative concepts of externalizing conversations and re-authoring conversations.
CHAPTER IV

NARRATIVE THEORY

“To make our own meanings out of our myriad stories is to achieve balance – at once a way to be part of and apart from our families, a way of holding and letting go”

– Elizabeth Stone (1988, p. 244)

This chapter will focus on the theoretical underpinnings of narrative therapy, providing a framework for how narrative therapy might be used to work with an adolescent presenting with a history of attachment trauma and an imaginary companion. The chapter will start by describing a brief history of narrative therapy, introducing the postmodern perspective that grounds narrative theory and practice. Next, the chapter will introduce two foundational practices used by narrative therapists: externalizing conversations and re-authoring conversations. By way of this introduction, the reader will be properly oriented to the narrative therapy lens, which will be used to discuss how a narrative therapist might approach and explore treatment within the case of Ben.

Narrative therapy is founded on the belief that our experiences of life and self are profoundly influenced by the stories we tell and the stories told about us (Madsen, 2007). Narrative therapy was developed in the early 1980’s by two clinicians, Michael White and David Epston, who were trained as social workers and family therapists and practiced at the Dulwich Center in Adelaide, Australia (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2013). White and Epston integrated ideas from philosophy and anthropology while developing their ideas, eventually weaving in concepts from feminist thought as well (Monk, 1997). Their vision was for a therapy that is collaborative and non-pathologizing; an approach to counseling and community work that is a
co-creative process between the client and the therapist; a therapy that believes people’s behavior cannot be understood apart from their unique, systemic, sociocultural contexts (Smith, 1997, p. 31).

Gregory Bateson, an anthropologist and psychologist, was an early inspiration to White and Epston. Bateson developed concepts related to the subjective nature of reality and the nature of learning (Monk, 1997). White and Epston were drawn to Bateson’s concept, “news of difference,” which suggested that, “in order to be able to detect and acquire new information, human beings must engage in a process of comparison, in which they distinguish between one set of events in time and another” (Monk, 1997, p. 7). Michael White built on this idea, discovering that by drawing clients’ attention to subtle changes related to the growth and reduction of their problems, he could support them to develop new insights into their abilities and, in turn, help them to develop clearer perspective with regard to addressing their concerns. Further fine-tuning occurred out of dialog between Michael White, David Epston and Cheryl White during which they discussed their understanding of the “story metaphor”; the way people use stories to make sense of their experiences. In relation to the story metaphor, White has suggested that the narratives we construct about our lives do not capture the full richness of our lived experience, however they do have an impact in shaping our lives. Thus, White conceptualized a narrative therapy through which the counselor is encouraged to listen carefully for events and occurrences outside of the dominant problem narrative, building these small asides into new story lines that can take root in the person’s life outside of the dominant problem narrative (Monk, 1997).

Narrative Therapy was also strongly influenced by the ideas of French historian and philosopher Michael Foucault who wrote about the subjugation process that occurs within
professional practice. In Foucault’s writing he, “discusses how society constructs ‘true’ standards of behavior, with which individuals feel obliged to comply” (Monk, 1997, p.8). Narrative therapists avoid generalizations about normal and abnormal standards of behavior due to the influence of Foucault’s observations about the abusive power of dominant discourses. Nicholas and Schwartz (2008) quote Foucault as saying:

Too often in human history, judgments made by people in power have been imposed on those who have no voice. Families were judged to be healthy or unhealthy depending on their fit with the ideal normative standards. With their bias hidden behind a cloak of science and religion, these conceptions became refined and internalized. One-size-fits-all standards have pathologized difference due to gender, cultural and ethnic background, sexual orientation and socioeconomic status (p. 294).

White developed these ideas and applied them to therapeutic practices believing that the establishment of standards within therapeutic professions positions the therapist in the role of, “classifying, judging and determining what is a desirable, appropriate or acceptable way of life” (Monk, 1997, p. 8). In contrast, narrative therapists assume that knowledge is socially constructed and that there are many diverse ways of understanding others and ourselves. Following postmodern thought, these ideas are culturally informed and situated in ever-changing local environments and relationships (Smith, 1997).

Narrative therapists believe that the process of creating new stories, outside of stories about problems that people often bring to therapy, can help clients to actively change and reshape their lives (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, p. 395). White and Epston define narrative therapy as a process by which therapists “work collaboratively with people in identifying those ways of speaking about their lives that contribute to a sense of personal agency, and that contribute to the experience of being an authority on one’s life” (White, 1995, p. 121). When people have lost power, as is the case when abuse has taken place, they have often done so by
way of learning to understand themselves from the perspective of others or from the perspectives of the problems in their lives. Roberts (1994) suggests that, “as people tap into the power of stories and restore to flow of meaning between past, present and future, they begin to access memory and imagination; they find their voice, break silenced stories, elaborate minimal stories, open rigid tales, and change vantage points from which to tell and understand stories” (p. xiii). This process can restore the sense of “personal agency” that White (1995) says can become lost beneath the veil of social construction as well as traditional individual and systemically oriented language for understanding people and problems. The process of restoring personal agency is central to the aim of narrative therapy.

**Broader Context:**

A cornerstone of narrative thinking and narrative therapy is the foundation it holds in “postmodern” thinking; meaning the contention that knowledge is socially or consensually constructed (Smith, 1997, p. 5). This postmodern thinking is grounded in the observation that our social world is like a fabric, tightly woven by threads of belief about what is acceptable and unacceptable, as well as with ideas about which ideas and people are relevant and worth following. Postmodern thinking observes that this fabric is shaped by those with power and is difficult to unravel, yet important to deconstruct through thoughtful inquiry and examination of social norms. Social construction is particularly relevant to the field of therapy in that traditional therapies have reinforced social construction, allowing therapist to use a cloak of science while considering diagnosis and theory, approaching their clients through the lens of pathology (Smith, 1997, p. 5-6). Paul Dell (1982) suggests that this practice reflects bias and differing values:

> Pathology exists in the domain of human intentions and values – not in the domain of science or in the domain of absolute reality. When we imply that a person is objectively and absolutely sick, we are confusing these two domains and losing track of the value of judgments we are making. Similarly, and more
importantly, when we try to “fix” the person who (we imply) is objectively and absolutely malfunctioning, we are again confusing our values with absolute reality. In doing so, we may fool both ourselves and the “malfunctioning” other with regard to what we are doing: We are trying to implement our values without admitting, and perhaps, without even knowing, that this is what we are doing.

(p. 12).

Through a narrative lens, the perspectives of therapists are immersed in the cultural influence and ideologies that are socially constructed around all of us, thus, their interpretations are as biased and subjective as those of their clients (Smith, 1997, p. 7). In Philip Cushman’s (1995) critique of traditional psychotherapy, he says, “when we study a particular illness, we are also studying the conditions that shape and defined that illness, and the sociopolitical impact of those who are responsible for healing it” (p.7). Where a traditionally oriented therapist might ask, “what kind of intervention would you use with [diagnostic category] clients?” and then fit interventions accordingly, a narrative therapist would not make such a scientific reductionist statement about the client’s illness or health. This assumption of power and expert stance can serve to privilege the therapist’s voice and influence the shape of therapy, even diminishing the client’s voice and creating a dependence on knowledge and support of the therapist and of the system they are a part of (Smith, 1997, p. 8-16). Smith (1997) describes the contrasting narrative stance in more detail:

Narrative ways of working can also involve helping clients separate from totalizing, pathological descriptions, or inviting them to explore potentially empowering alternative voices and stories previously de-emphasized in their lives. Thus narratively oriented therapists see their contribution as facilitating a safe, exploratory therapeutic environment where diverse, nonpathological, alternative perspectives and stories can be entertained, rather than as expertly providing clients with authoritative, complete, or definitive responses (p. 4).

The narrative therapist often takes the stance of “puzzling together.” This way of working is intended to encourage the client’s own understanding and meaning-making to emerge. This stance is also intended to assist clients in generating more useful and empowering life stories
than the problem narratives they often come into therapy with. In contrast to traditional and systemic therapies which Smith (1997) says, “generally assume that therapists’ objectivity provides the foundation for their being experts in defining clients’ problems and solutions” (p. 3), narrative therapy positions the client’s problem apart from his/her identity, decreasing self-blame, de-emphasizing pathology, and empowering the client to take responsibility for and cope successfully with these problems (Smith, 1997, p. 35).

Narrative approaches to working with children who have experienced abuse address power and patriarchy within the culture as well as within the life of the child (Adams-Westcott & Dobbins, 1997). Adams-Westcott and Dobbins (1997) explain how the narrative approach represents a shift in thinking from the family systems therapy perspective, “instead of locating the problem inside people or in relationships between people, we began to locate the problem in restraining beliefs, patterns of interaction, and cultural expectations and practices that create vulnerability to abuse” (p. 195-196). When people talk about abuse, they talk about it from the cultural perspectives available to them. The struggle of sexually abused children to make sense of their abuse is culturally embedded (Mossige, Jensen, Gulbrandsen, Reichelt and Tjersland, 2005). Blending a trauma theory perspective and a narrative perspective Mossige et al. (2005) say that:

Within both trauma and narrative perspectives, understanding the sociocultural context in which the abuse takes place is therefore imperative. In order to understand the difficulties children face, a narrative perspective needs to include the emotional significance of the events to be narrated. The trauma perspective encompasses the emotional aspects of an event but overlooks the specific cultural impact of the events and previous lack of narration. A theory that intends to understand children’s narration difficulties should encompass both of these perspectives

(p. 401).
Thus a narrative approach to trauma work considers the person within their environment, working with families and individuals to establish narratives about the context within which the abuse took place.

Mossige, et. al. (2005) studied the way that children narrate the abuse they have experienced, finding that children describe events that are important to them in detail, elaborating on the context and their personal experience of the story. In contrast, they found that children’s descriptions of abuse and other stressful events are often much thinner, shorter, and involve less plot development. The researchers understood this reality to be a function of the great contrast between the child’s expectations of the world and the reality of their abuse experience. In their study of how children’s abuse stories situate them as moral agents within their culture, Walton and Bruner (2002) point to the importance of the thoughts and knowledge of the protagonist within a story. Often during abuse, children are told to keep the story of the abuse hidden and are left only with the ideas and messages of those that have abused them. This reality narrows their ability to make personal moral evaluations of abusive events and to measure them against their expectations of the world. Mossige et. al (2005) say, “when the abusive event is something that ‘just happens,’ the children are left alone with the project of constructing meaning and with the evaluation of their own moral stance relative to the events. The odds of them reaching an explanation of how the abuse started and why it happened are very small” (p. 398).

Hence, the narrative framework for telling and retelling stories may be particularly helpful for approaching clinical work with individuals who have experienced trauma; a way for these individuals to thicken the narrative of the protagonist that was not developed during the experience of abuse. Adams-Westcott & Dobbins (1997) say that,
The way in which a young person interprets the experience of abuse can have a profound impact on her story about herself. Children and adolescents who have experienced sexual assault are at risk for developing negative stories about themselves that are dominated by the experience of abuse and its effects (p. 197)

Through discussing events with others, children are able to organize events in more coherent, temporally extended and integrated ways (Fivush, 1998; Nelson 1993). This kind of narration helps a child to interpret events (Burner, 1991; Fivush & Haden, 1997). From a trauma perspective, one would not necessarily expect a child to narrate their experiences, for events that remind children of traumatic experiences often evoke aversive emotional reactions associated with the trauma. Children may avoid narrating events that evoke these feelings (Koverola & Foy, 1993). Furthermore, a narrative approach does not assume that all children who experience sexual assault begin to interpret their lived experiences through an abuse-dominated lens (Durrant & Kowalski, 1990). However, when given the opportunity to thicken their personal narrative of the protagonist of their story, children may be able to gain a sense of agency that was stripped form them during the time of abuse.

Traditional psychiatric literature as well as popular literature about childhood sexual abuse has the potential to reinforce a negative story about the self. Adams-Westcott & Dobbins (1997) say that, “these models assume that children who experience abuse inevitably suffer long-term emotional and interpersonal difficulties, and prescribe a specific set of steps that are necessary to help the children recover” (p. 1999). If adults in a child’s life begin to understand the abuse in this way, looking for problems within the child who has been abused, they inadvertently invite these problems to develop. Overtime the children’s actions may be interpreted as psychopathology and, in turn, the young person may begin to pathologize herself (Adams-Westcott & Dobbins, 1997). Hence, the clinical approach to individuals who have
experienced trauma - the way that the “problem” is located within the therapy - has implications for treatment outcomes as well as implications for the stories the individual will adopt about themselves and the world around them. A narrative therapist would carefully listen closely to the problem narrative and be attentive to bringing in other stories that speak to life before the trauma occurred. They would position clients as experts in their own lives and assume that they have the skills and competencies to construct more positive stories about themselves (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2013, p. 396).

For instance, narrative therapists position clients as members of networks and communities and are curious about the role of other people in a person’s struggle with a problem (McLeod, 2006). White (2004) has suggested that the narrative therapy is built around “folk psychology - “a particular tradition of understanding life and identity that is deeply historical” - rather than being based in contemporary psychological science (p.19). In other words, the experiences of individuals are understood as complexly brought to the fore by their experiences within their families, communities, cultures, time in history, as well as other relevant contextual factors within the environment. Along these lines, Roberts (1994) encourages therapists to pay attention to family stories indicating that they, “offer possibilities both to interpret and to make meaning of individual lives and family dynamics, while at the same time observing influence of historical occurrences on their lives” (p. 1). The factors that would be considered as contributing to the ecology and etiology of problems through the lens of traditional individual and systemic therapies would be broadened and textured in narrative therapy; inviting the telling of familial and cultural stories rather than the telling of the environmental context wherein the problem takes place. A narrative therapist is interested in the story of the whole person rather than just the story of the trauma.
Externalizing Conversations

Externalizing conversations are a tool used in narrative therapy to support clients in resolving the problems they have come to therapy with. White (2007) explained that, “many people who seek therapy believe that the problems of their lives are a reflection of their own identity, or the identity of others, or a reflection of the identity of their relationships” (p. 9). White and Epston (1990) created the idea of externalizing conversations, believing that when people see their problems as a part of themselves, this impacts a person’s ability to solve his/her problems and can even inflate and intensify them. In viewing problems as reflections of certain “truths” about their nature or character White (2007) said that, “people come to believe that their problems are internal to their self or the selves of other – that they or others are in fact, the problem.” (p. 9). This belief, White said, buries them further into the very problems they are trying to resolve. Instead, narrative therapy seeks to emphasize that, “the problem is the problem, the person is not the problem” (Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997, p. 8).

Through the linguistic practice of externalization, narrative therapy works to separate persons from problems. Freeman, Epston and Lobovits (1997) indicate that, “separating the problem from the person in an externalizing conversation relieves the pressure of blame and defensiveness. Instead of being defined as inherently being a problem, a young person can now have a relationship with and externalized problem” (p. xv). This process serves to de-pathologize the person, expanding beyond the limits of interpretation based on objective observation of an individuals psyche to considering the possibilities that exist within the relationship between the person and problems. Roth and Epston (1996) explain this further:

In contrast to the common cultural and professional practice of identifying the person as the problem or the problem as within the person, this work depicts the problem as external to the person. It does so not in the conviction that the problem
is objectively separate, but as a linguistic counter-practice that makes more freeing constructions available (p.5).

Take, for example, the stories that Peter told about himself when he gained the ability to see. Peter, a twelve-year-old boy, had been nearly blind since birth, only able to see a murky picture of the world around him until he had a series of operations that gave his eyes normal vision for the first time. A year later, Peter’s parents brought him to therapy because their previously well-adjusted son was refusing to go to school, having realized what an ugly place the world can be. Before Peter gained his ability to see the world clearly, he could only see the one or two people that were close by. Now he was able to see the foreground he knew as well as the background of his classroom that he had never seen before. This background was full of people laughing, gossiping, fighting and grimacing; an environment quite different than the one that he pictured in his mind. Peter was disillusioned and overwhelmed by the new reality he encountered. He withdrew from his old friends, hating what he saw and wanting to go back to his more familiar world. By the time the family came in for counseling, they had tried everything they could think of and were feeling defeated (Monk, 1997).

Their new therapist asked them a few questions which served to externalize the problem such as, “how has ‘being able to see’ changed things?” and “has sight got in the way of your friendships?” and “is sight keeping you a prisoner in your home, because before it sounded like you were free to come and go pretty much as you wanted?” These questions opened the family up to their understanding of how Peter’s ability to see had impacted their family; how their expectations of what it might be like for Peter to gain normal vision were not realized by his ability to see. The family had looked forward to Peter gaining the ability to see with excitement, hope, and promise. These feeling had motivated their decision to have the operations. By
externalizing the problem of “sight,” Peter and his family could now begin to talk about it at a distance, to see how their expectations of “sight” and their experience of “sight” have impacted their experience of “sight” as they have come to know it. Given this externalization, they could begin to build a fuller picture of the plot developments that led to Peter’s operations and their current frustration. The family could talk about their son Peter and his strengths apart from his “sight.” For Peter’s family, this was an empowering vantage point from which to observe the problem (Monk, 1997).

The externalizing process begins within the first session a narrative therapist has with an adult, child or family as the therapist tries to get to know more about the child. Through inviting descriptions of the person that exclude the problem, the therapist invites the whole person into the therapy context, expressing interest in the person’s unique qualities and ideas rather than simply the problem he/she comes in with. By way of this inquiry, Freeman, Epston and Lobovits (1997) suggest that the therapist learns about strengths that might be hidden behind the presenting problem and indicate that, “such discoveries can become the foundation upon which an alternative story is built, one based upon the child’s and family’s competencies and sufficiently compelling to stand up against the problem-dominated story” (p. 35). The goal of externalizing conversations, in part, is to allow the client to speak in his own voice and to work on the problem himself (Monk, 1997, p. 42). For those that come into counseling with the expectation that they will have to come to face their inner deficiencies, pain and deficits, this process is a pleasant surprise. Monk (1997) says that the process of externalization can be relieving; clients are “immediately given the status of agents in their own and others’ lives and regarded as resourceful, intelligent persons engaged in common human struggles” (p. 45). The
alternative stories created by way of externalizing conversations are built-up and “thickened” through the narrative process of re-authoring conversations.

**Re-authoring Conversations**

In concert with their ideas about externalizing conversations, White and Epston (1990) originated the idea of having “re-authoring” conversations with clients. They noticed that as therapists and clients co-constructed externalized stories about problems, the client would often feel able to let go of “chronic, habitual, and disempowering ways of thinking and being” (Smith, 1997, p. 35). Through this process, they found that clients were able to consider new and empowering self-narratives that had been lying in the background of their dominant problem narrative, bringing these neglected and unnoticed stories to life. Goldenberg and Goldenberg (2012) explain that narrative therapists:

> Argue that to search for underlying traits or needs or personality attributes is to rely on artificially ‘thin’ descriptions (e.g., superficial, insubstantial descriptors of internal states such as normal/abnormal or functional/dysfunctional) when we should be looking for ‘thick’ (enriched, intentioned, multistoried) descriptions, shaped in part by personal, historical, political and cultural forces (p. 397).

These ideas of “thin” and “thick” descriptions are central to the narrative process of re-authoring conversations.

Thin descriptions, in narrative therapy, are understood as descriptions about the self that are imposed by others with definitional power (teachers, parents, doctors, clergy) and are integrated into a person’s conceptualization of himself or herself (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012). These descriptions are often superficial, disempowering, and come along with labels such as lazy, depressed, anxious, selfish, greedy, or crazy. These thin descriptions often translate into the person making thin conclusions about themselves, such as “I am lazy,” which are problem-
saturated and obscure the strengths and positive characteristics about the person (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012).

In contrast, thick descriptions are stories that are deep, rich, and diverse. They speak to the unique nature of a person’s history and identity (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012). They are comprehensive understandings drawn from preferred stories of the person or persons who have come for therapy. Freedman and Combs (1996) describe the narrative therapist’s effort to thicken descriptions in the following way:

Narrative therapists are interested in working with people to bring forth and thicken stories that do not support or sustain problems. As people begin to inhabit and live out alternative stories, the results are beyond solving problems. Within the new stories, people live out their new self images, new possibilities for relationships, and new futures.

(p. 16)

Through the re-authoring process of collaboration co-creation of thick descriptions and new narratives about a person’s life, narrative therapists aim to illuminate the many possibilities available within the stories people tell about themselves, each other and the world (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012).

Returning to the story of Peter, the thin description of Peter’s “problem” might have been, “Peter has had problems at school ever since his sight restoring operations.” This description brings the symptoms that have developed since Peter’s surgery into light and amplifies the problems that sight has created in the lives of Peter and his family. In contrast, a thick, re-authored description of this problem would invite Peter and his family to tell stories of life before the operations, their hopes for the operations, the experience of the operation itself, their individual experiences of life since the operation and the role of Peter’s sight as well as his lack of sight in their family story. Making space for subtle shifts in Peter’s relationship to the problem would open the door for new understandings and a bigger picture of the meaning the
family is making of the changes within their lives. This process, if pursued with curiosity and persistence by Peter’s therapist, would de-emphasize the “problem” while constructing a history of Peter’s preferred story, beginning the process of self-redefinition. Through this process, Peter can replace his stance as “helpless” and gain the stance of “expert” with regards to the story of his life. In turn, Peter’s therapist will be an important consultant, co-authoring this story and Peter’s parents will be an important audience to this story. With the support of these narrative techniques of re-authoring, Peter might leave therapy with more skills for approaching situations of fear in the future, given his new stance of “expert” in his own life. (Monk, 1997).

**Who Can Benefit from Narrative Therapy?**

Narrative therapy prides itself on working from a place of de-pathologizing the problems people bring to therapy and in working with them on externalizing their problem and re-authoring new stories about themselves. Hence, it was developed for the purpose of diverse individuals and communities. Critics of narrative therapy have wondered about how narrative therapy can work with individuals who are mandated to therapy, are significantly compromised in their language abilities, or who are not linguistically eloquent (Dulwich Center, 2013). The Dulwich Center, where narrative therapy originated, speaks to these questions and concerns about the practice on their website:

Narrative therapy/community work always involves conveying meaning and the telling of stories, but the ways in which this occurs differ enormously depending upon the people involved. Much of the work that is now referred to as ‘narrative approaches’ originated in, and continues to involve work with, very young children. Much of the work also had its origins in conversations with people who had great restrictions upon their lives and ways of expressing themselves (for example, those living within institutions). There is a great diversity of ways in which stories can be told and conveyed that do not require what is generally considered to be eloquence or literacy, or for that matter any formal education. People try to make themselves understood in a great variety of ways. It is the practitioner’s role to engage with the experience and meaning of the person who
is consulting them in whichever way or shape the expressions of this meaning occurs.

As with any therapy, there are limits within the scope of cross-cultural work as well as with clients who are mandated to therapy. Walsh (2012) says that “narrative therapy is guided by a few basic assumptions: that people have good intentions and neither want nor need problems; and that they can develop empowering stories when separated from their problems and constraining cultural beliefs” (p. 37). Ideally, this framework, which empowers people to take active charge of their lives, translates across cultures, communication styles, and personal or cultural systems of belief.

**Conclusions and Key Points**

This chapter has reviewed the history of narrative therapy, with special attention to the roots that narrative therapy has taken in postmodern theory and the framework it has for maintaining a critical eye towards society. This chapter has also expanded upon narrative therapy perspectives of diagnosis and pathology, reframing these traditional therapeutic tools and suggesting a therapeutic perspective with draws problems out of a place within the person’s identity (externalizing conversations) and encourages the person to create new, unique, and diverse stories about themselves (re-authoring). It has been exemplified through this chapter that narrative therapy is not simply a theoretical orientation, but rather a way of practice and being with people that focuses on the whole person and on bringing the client and therapist together to co-create the therapeutic space. It is with this framework that the case example in this study’s discussion will be deconstructed and approached through a narrative therapy lens.
CHAPTER V

WINNICOTT’S OBJECT RELATIONS THEORY

“*It is in playing and only in playing that the individual child or adult is able to be creative and to use the whole personality, and it is only in being creative that the individual discovers the self.*”

– Donald Woods Winnicott (Winnicott, 1971)

This chapter will introduce the reader to the perspective of object relations theory, specifically the work of Donald W. Winnicott. The chapter will provide background on Winnicott and object relations theory and present three concepts which were developed by Winnicott: the concept of the good-enough mother, the concept of transitional objects and the concept of the capacity to be alone. Through introducing these particular concepts, the reader will be primed to consider how Winnicott might think about the phenomenon of imaginary friends in older children who have experienced trauma.

**History**

Donald W. Winnicott (1896-1971) was a British pediatrician and a member of the British School of Object Relations, a school made up of psychoanalysts including Melanie Klein, W.R.D. Fairbarin, Harry Guntrip, John Bowlby, and others (Mitchell & Black, 1995). As a pediatrician, Winnicott worked extensively with children, showing special interest in those who had experienced trauma and other emotional difficulties. From there, he became interested in psychoanalysis, training under Melanie Klein until he began practicing analysis independently.

A key component of object relations theories, to which Winnicott contributed greatly, is a belief that individuals possess an internal world of relationships, developed through interactions
early in their lives, that may be more powerful than one’s relationships with actual people (Flanagan, 2008). When talking about individuals, object relations theorists talk both about the relationships people have in their lives as well as their internal representations of those relationships, calling both objects, internal and external. These theorists believed that people take in psychological material just as they physically process sustenance. This psychological material is then metabolized and ingested in unique ways for each person. The result is internal representations of object experiences, meaning, the way that people react to experiences encountered in external relationships in everyday life is influenced by the information they have internalized, early in their life, about relationships (Flanagan, 2008).

With the foundation of his education in object relations as well as his experiences evaluating many children with trauma histories, severe emotional difficulties and deprivation, Winnicott began to evaluate the relationship between infants and their environments (Goldstein, 2001). In keeping with the tradition of object relations of which he studied, Winnicott (1956) believed that, “drives and impulses could be gratified without the relationship being all that important, whereas needs have to be met by a person, thereby placing the relationship at the center of the experience” (Flanagan, 2008, p. 124). His work focused principally on the relationships between infants and their primary caregivers, very often their mothers. He viewed these relationships as the basis of emotional development and coined the terms holding environment and good enough mother to describe the way that he believed a mother must provide care for her infant (Goldstein, 2001).

Winnicott believe that in infancy, the caregiver is experienced as a ‘bundle of projections’ and not a real or separate being (Winnicott, 1969, p. 712). He emphasized that a nurturing environment and supportive environment is necessary for an infant’s optimal
emotional development but that this mother need not be perfect, just “good-enough” for the infant’s emotional capacities to grow in a healthful way. For Winnicott, the quality of the infant’s early caregiving environment was crucial for the infant’s development of “personhood.” Representing a large shift from earlier theories, Winnicott believed that psychopathology often stemmed from failures within the caregiving environment. He developed the term “false self psychopathology” a process which Mitchell and Black (1995) illustrate describing “the child shapes a false self that both deals with an external world that must be watched and negotiated and also shelters the seeds of more deeply genuine experience until a more suitable environment is found” (p. 133). Winnicott was most interested in clients with false self presentation and viewed them as having experienced terrible gaps in their experience of holding and mothering. He described that these clients would often go through the motions of acting like a person yet not feel to himself like a person, lacking personal meaning and identity. (Mitchell & Black, 1995).

In a radical shift from the work that had been done so far in history, and in a way that was too provocative for many thinkers of his time, Winnicott proposed definitions for styles of parenting which could promote or inhibit optimal emotional development and mental health (Goldstein, 2001). In addition, he did not envision development as a predictable sequence of stages that build on and replace the stage before, but rather a process of continual regeneration. He described a continuum of development between subjective omnipotence and objective reality with a third form, called “transitional experience”, lying between (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p. 127). Mitchell and Black (1995) describe subjective omnipotence as a form of being in relationship through which “the child feels she has created the desired object, such as the breast, and believes she has total control over it” (p. 127). On the other side of the continuum, Winnicott believed that in objective reality, the child looks for desired objects out in the world and is aware
of his/her lack of control over desired objects and his/her ability to find them. The transitional space is somewhere in between where the child is aware that he is not omnipotent yet is not fully able to negotiate his desires by way of other people (Mitchell & Black, 1995). This transitional experience will be explored further later in this chapter.

Overall for Winnicott, optimum development was made possible by the ability of a caregiver to meet the needs of the child including the need to be seen as valued and unique, whole with good and bad aspects, cared for, protected and loved (Flanagan, 2008).

**The concepts of the good enough mother and holding environment**

The concept of “The Good Enough Mother” was born out of Winnicott’s belief that, at the very beginning of life, infants thrive with a mother who can allow herself to intuit the child’s needs and desires and shape the world around the child so as to fulfill those desires; to “bring the world to her infant” (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p. 125-126). He believed that a healthy mother must lose herself completely in her baby in a process that he called “primary maternal occupation”. Through the biological process of pregnancy and childbirth, the mother becomes conditioned to intuit and attend to the baby’s every need, to lose herself in the needs and subjectivity of the infant. It is through this attunement experience that the baby is able to develop an internal world of subjectivity that allows her to experience human life as real and meaningful (Mitchell & Black, 1995).

According to Winnicott, a mother did not need to be perfect at this, just “good enough” for her infant to know that he/she is safe in the union of her love (Flanagan, 2008). The process required a good enough holding environment which, for Winnicott, did not mean only the literal holding a mother does of her child, “but the capacity of the mother to create the world in such a
way for the baby that she feels held, safe, and protected from dangers without and protected as well from the dangers of emotions within” (Flanagan, 2008, p.130-131).

In order to provide such a holding environment, Winnicott believed that parents needed to develop a functional attunement with their infants; to be aware of their infants needs and to exercise the capacity to meet those needs. In describing Winnicott’s ideas, Mitchell and Black (1995) say, “as the infant’s needs and wishes emerge from the unintegrated drift of consciousness, the good-enough mother intuits the child’s desire relatively quickly and shapes the world around the child so as to fulfill that desire” (p. 126). Within this holding environment, the infant needs his or her caregiver to be reliable and consistent. Despite inevitable failures, the caregiver must keep from excessively intruding upon or depriving the infant. This good enough mothering, Winnicott emphasized, results in healthy psychological development for the infant. In contrast, maternal failures are cause for ego defects and the creation of a false self that arises in an effort to please others (Goldstein, 2001). Winnicott believed that the development of a true self, the core of a person, can only thrive in the presence of maternal attachment that nurtures and allows the child’s individuality to grow (Flanagan, 2008).

According to Winnicott, emotional development is compromised when an infant’s caregiver is unable to provide a good-enough holding environment. Instead of feeling held within a protective space to play and grow, the child has to prematurely deal with the outside world in a process Winnicott called impingement (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p. 129). If this maternal failure is chronic, it can result in a split within the internal world of the infant through which they develop a disconnect between their true self and their false self. In this way, Mitchell and Black (1995) describe that the not-good-enough mother provides the child with, “a world he has to
immediately come to terms with, to adapt to, and the premature concern with the external world cramps and impedes the development and consolidation of the child’s own subjectivity” (p. 129)

In treatment, Winnicott viewed the therapist as an agent to heal this split, providing a holding environment for the client much like the good-enough mother, creating a space in which the therapist can deeply understand and attune to the client’s experience. Within this space, the client could be given the proper space in which to “be” and to experience a nurturing and safe holding environment where the true self could begin to emerge. In treatment, the therapist, like the good-enough mother, “tries to grasp the deeply personal dimensions of the patient’s experience, the patient’s spontaneously arising desires” (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p. 133). She provides an environment where her own needs and subjectivity are on hold and the arrested development that began in infancy can begin to grow again. The therapist works to provide the patient with a space just to “be” and connect and express their experience. Through treatment, the person is provided a context through which their true self can begin to reemerge. For Winnicott, treatment is possible because of the therapeutic relationship, which naturally facilitates a regression that awakens old developmental needs that were missing in childhood. Through this relationship, the client has a new experience of relating, and he or she actively molds the treatment to provide that which was missing. The result is a revitalization of the self (Mitchell & Black, 1995).

The concept of transitional objects

A crucial stage of development in infancy, according to Winnicott, is the transition from total dependence on the mother to increased independence and the ability to negotiate the intermediate stages there within. For Winnicott, this was a relational shift from total dependence as well as a cognitive shift from “subjective omnipotence” to greater independence and an
“objective reality,” allowing the infant to both attach in early attachment relationships as well as separate from them maturely (Mitchell & Black, p. 127). Winnicott believed that good-enough parenting enabled infants to internalize the soothing functions of their mother, “as long as the mother’s unconscious wishes do not interfere with the baby’s need to merge and then to differentiate, the process can go on” (Tolman & Lane, 2007, p. 41). Good enough mothering, “lays the groundwork for the integration of the mother object in each person’s inner world, a piece of that psychological apparatus that eventually allows one, through mental functioning to perform these care-giving activities for one’s self” (Tolman & Lane, p. 41). In this way, the infant can learn to self-soothe when the mother is no longer available (Tolman & Lane, 2007).

Winnicott believed that transitional objects, such as a blanket or stuffed animal that is associated with the mother, could stand in as an emotional bridge between infants and mothers during this transitional time of development (Goldstein, 2001). Because this separation-individuation process is such an anxiety provoking experience, Winnicott believed that a child takes on an object, representing the good-enough mother, in order to self-soothe while maintaining their growing independence. Winnicott’s theory asserted that the transitional object “constitutes a special extension of the child’s self, halfway between the mother that the child creates in subjective omnipotence and the mother that the child finds operation on her own behalf in the objective world” (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p. 128).

Winnicott talked about transitional objects as, “literal objects that a child carries with them in order to begin to cross the great gap from complete union with their caregiver toward a sense of self as a separate entity” (Flanagan, 2008, p. 131). He understood these objects as physical and described the qualities that all transitional objects are required to contain:

- the infant assumes rights over the object… the object is affectionately cuddled as well as excitedly loved and mutilated… it must survive instincual loving and also
hating... it must seem to the infant to give warmth... in health the transitional object does not go inside... it loses meaning, and this is because the transitional phenomena have become diffused (Winnicott, 1971, p. 5).

Winnicott believed that the object becomes especially useful for the infant at bedtimes or at times “when a depressive mood threatens” (p. 4), allowing the child to experience the illusion of their caregiver’s presence while the caregiver is not actually available. Foehrenbach, Celantano, and Lane (1997) say that, “normally, the infant substitutes security ‘blankets,’ and in doing so chooses an object that embodies the essence of the mother-her smell, her touch- but is in reality a symbol of her soothing and protective function” (p. 43). As the child matures, the type of object often evolves to more structurally represent the caregiver, such as a doll or stuffed animal.

Finally, the development of language, and the term “mommy” is argued to be a final transitional object between the separating infant and mother, allowing for the internalization of the mother-object in a way that can be carried permanently (Foehrenbach, Celantano, Kirby, & Lane, 1997).

The development of a transitional object improves the infant’s ability to be alone. Due to the representation of the caregiver, the transitional object holds qualities of the caregiver and can provide the comfort of the caregiver even when the infant is alone. The comfort they derive from this object bolsters their sense of their capacity to tolerate difficult and emotional situations effectively on their own. If, on the other hand, the infant has been the victim of too much aloneness, isolation or maladaptive parenting, their inner world can be filled with emptiness and fear (Flanagan, 2008).

Winnicott (1971) writes about a 7-year-old boy whom he saw in therapy that was preoccupied with string. The patient used string to tie object together, to threaten his own life and to threaten the life of his sister. His parents recognized this preoccupation, but could not understand its significance. Through treatment, Winnicott helped the family to realize that the child thought about the string most when he felt afraid that his mother would leave him. When
the patient was just over three years old, his younger sister was born; this was the first rift in his experience of closeness with his mother. Later on in that year, his mother was hospitalized for an operation and then again the next year she was hospitalized for two months for depression. Through testing, Winnicott found that that boy appeared preoccupied with string, formulating this preoccupation as having to do with fear of separation. Winnicott encouraged the mother to talk to her son about his feelings around separation when the time presented itself. When she did, the string preoccupation reportedly ceased. Winnicott (1971) writes of the mother,

she has had many other conversations with the boy about his feeling of separateness from her, and she made the very significant comment that she felt the most important separation to have been his loss of her when she was seriously depressed; it was not just her going away, she said, but her lack of contact with him because of her complete preoccupation with other matters

(p. 12).

Each time the mother needed to separate form the boy for a hospital stay or due to a depressive episode, the boy’s string play began again. Each time, the parents were able to have a conversation with the boy about his feelings of separation and the string playing ceased.

As the child grew older, he began to tend to multiple stuffed animals as if he were their mother. He did this more so when his own mother was away. Winnicott commented on concern that the child would not move beyond this transitional experience and that his preoccupation with string and his animals could turn into a perversion (Winnicott, 1971, p. 13). He later commented that the child’s separation issues never did resolve and the child could not leave home without running back. Later in life, he developed new addictions, especially to drugs, and could not leave home to receive an education. Winnicott linked this back to an inability to separate and individuate from his mother and a deeply seeded connection to the cycle of her depressive episodes. The child, and later the adolescent, used the string to cope with intolerable feelings of fear when in the absence of his mother; a self-soothing object that supported the child in feeling
tied to his mother. Winnicott found this case to be beyond treatment, finding that the treatment did not intervene early enough and the child was unable to develop object constancy and self-sooth in the absence of his mother (Winnicott, 1971).

Later in the same paper, Winnicott described his treatment with an adult woman who, through the course of therapy, recalled images she had as a young girl. The client had experienced a traumatic separation from her parents at age eleven and began developing these images thereafter. Winnicott said that these images could easily be labeled hallucinations, except for her age. The woman described seeing an angle by her bedside, an eagle chained to her wrist, and a white horse. Winnicott (1971) writes of the patient

She also had a white horse which was as real as possible and she ‘would ride it everywhere and hitch it to a tree and all that sort of thing’. She would like really to own a white horse now so as to be able to deal with the reality of this white horse experience and make it real in another way.

(p. 16)

Of the formulation of this case Winnicott (1971) wrote,

Here was the picture of a child and the child had transitional objects, and there were transitional phenomena that were evident, and all of these were symbolical of something and were real for the child; but gradually, or perhaps frequently for a little while, she had to doubt the reality of the thing that they were symbolizing. That is to say, if they were symbolical of her mother’s devotion and reliability they remained real in themselves but what they stood for was not real. The mother’s devotion and reliability were unreal.

(p. 17).

Winnicott and the client understood her animal images as representing the good parents that she had and lost while the chain on the eagle represented the woman’s fear of loosing that good and comforting objects of her parents as represented by the animals (Winnicott, 1971). This example of imagined transitional phenomenon is unique in the literature, a departure from Winnicott’s
description of transitional objects as only physical; in this case, the transitional phenomena is imagined.

With regards to development Winnicott elaborated on the experience of the child who cannot flow through the different forms of the developmental continuum. He described a person who lived primarily in subjective omnipotence as autistic and self-absorbed, lacking the ability to bridge their experience of the world with objective reality, such as the seven year old boy who could not make use of a comforting sense of his mother in her absence. On the other end of the continuum, a person who lived in objective reality was artificially adjusted and lacked passion and originality such as a child who cannot engage in imaginative or creative play. He believed that the transitional experience was, as Mitchell and Black (1995) describe, “a protected realm within which the creative self could operate and play; it was the area of experience where art and culture were generated” (p. 128). This realm, for Winnicott, was crucial in the development of a deeply rooted self that could connect creatively with the world.

The concept of aloneness

Winnicott (1958) believed that the capacity for an individual to be alone is one of the most “important signs of maturity in development” (p. 29). He distinguished this capacity to be alone from the act of spending time alone, believing that one can be alone and suffer alone or be alone and learn to enjoy the solitude. Winnicott (1958) traced the capacity to be alone to early childhood when, “the infant is able to do the equivalent of what in and adult world would be called relaxing” (p. 34). He found it paradoxical but true that in the presence of another person, the infant is then, “able to become unintegrated, to flounder, to be in a state where there is no orientation, to be able to exist for a time without being either a reactor to an external impingement or an active person with a direction or interest in their movement.” (Winnicott,
He believed that this foundational ability to be alone is the ground on which emotionally maturity and sophisticated aloneness are built.

Winnicott (1958) said that this internal capacity to be alone relies upon the existence of an internalized good object and that

the relationship of the individual to his or her internal objects, along with confidence in regard to internal relationships, provides of itself a sufficiency of living, so that temporarily he or she is able to rest contented even in the absence of external objects and stimuli

(p. 32).

Winnicott went on to say that this capacity is reliant on an experience of good-enough mothering and satisfaction of instinctual gratifications. If the individual experiences too much persecutory anxiety, this process is not possible. The good internal objects must be in the individual’s internal world and must be available for projection when they are needed. Hence, the capacity to be alone has its roots in the experience of being alone in the presence of a mother or a mother-substitute (Winnicott, 1958). Describing how this happens, Winnicott (1958) said,

being alone in the presence of someone can take place at a very early stage, when the ego immaturity is naturally balanced by ego support from the mother. In the course of time the individual introjects the ego-supportive mother and in this way becomes able to be alone without frequent reference to the mother or mothersymbol

(p. 32).

It is through this experience that a person learns to understand that when they are alone, they are never truly alone because they have internalized the feeling that someone else is there. The person’s internal environment is regulated by their experience of having been repeatedly accompanied in infancy, without demands placed on them, by a loving mother or mother-substitute. Through the experience of the good-enough mother providing for the infant’s instinctual needs, the good object of the mother is internalized and the person believes that they
need not be anxious when alone. When good internal objects are available for projection at a suitable moment; the person has what they need within them to meet their needs when they’re alone. “Paradoxically” Winnicott (1958) says, being alone is something that, “always implies that someone is there” (Winnicott, 1958, p. 34).

Winnicott also wrote about the experience of individuals who did not have good-enough mothering and did not develop the capacity to be alone. Flannagan (2008) summarized his thoughts saying, “if aloneness is experienced as too empty, separate, or bleak, it becomes unbearable” (Flanagan, 2008, p. 132). He talked about the importance of a child’s inner world being peopled by comforting figures, and the painfulness of when it is crowded by threatening, controlling figures who offer neither safety, nor peace (Flanagan, 2008).
CHAPTER VI

DISCUSSION

“...the companion can be neither completely integrated... nor completely abandoned, for to abandon him would be to lose an important part of the self, while to integrate him is too confictive and beyond the synthetic capacity at this time”

– Bach (1972, p. 170)

Recap of Major Points and Theories

This thesis began by discussing the phenomenon of imaginary friends as they present in the lives of adolescents with histories of attachment trauma. The phenomenon chapter included a review of psychoanalytic and psychological literature about the phenomenon. It also reviewed trauma research, providing scaffolding for understanding how attachment trauma may influence the presentation of the imaginary companions in adolescents. Finally, the chapter introduced and summarized the case of Ben, written by Stephen Proskauer, Elizabeth T. Barsh and Lucita B. Johnson. Ben’s case will be used in the present chapter to ground this author’s discussion.

After introducing the phenomenon, this author introduced two theoretical approaches that will be used as lenses through which to understand the phenomena, specifically as the phenomenon presents in the case of Ben. The first theory chapter provided a brief history of narrative therapy theory, and summarized the concepts of re-authoring and externalizing conversations; all of which are foundational components of narrative therapy. The second theory chapter introduced Donald W. Winnicott, a psychiatrist and object relations theorist and a brief history of the school of object relations and Winnicott’s role there. Three of Winnicott’s
concepts were introduced: the good-enough mother, transitional objects and the capacity to be alone.

This discussion chapter will bring the phenomenon and two theory chapters together through a discussion of treatment approaches to the phenomenon. Rather than compare and contrast, this thesis will offer multiple perspectives through which to view the phenomenon as well as offer ideas to consider for treatment. A significant limitation of this study is that this author was not Ben’s therapist and the case is not known intimately as it would be if this author were the identified psychotherapist. Furthermore, Ben was treated by hypnotherapy to which he appeared to respond to positively. The theoretical approaches offered by narrative therapy and Winnicott are quite different than the approach of hypnotherapy, thus, we can only postulate the response Ben might have had to an alternative treatment. Given that this thesis takes on a retroactive perspective on formulation and treatment, the content will represent ideas and suggestions for practitioners rather than spend too much time postulating about how Ben might have responded to alternative treatments. The goal of this discussion is to expand the conversation about formulation and treatment of the phenomenon by considering psychotherapeutic perspectives which speak to adolescent responses to attachment trauma, considering the adolescent’s environment and it’s role in shaping adaptive and maladaptive responses, and moving away from models that primarily emphasize individual pathology. It is not within the scope of this research to carry out these ideas with a current case example.

This discussion chapter will begin with an analysis of the phenomenon from narrative theory and then transition to an analysis of the phenomenon from Winnicott’s object relations perspective; with attention to the specific concepts highlighted in the theory chapters. For narrative therapy these are postmodern thoughts, externalizing conversations and re-authoring
conversations. For Winnicott, these are good-enough mothering, transitional objects and aloneness. Aspects of formulation and treatment will be considered within both theories as they apply specifically to the case of Ben and more globally to the phenomenon. Research and findings from the theory chapters will be interwoven and additional relevant research and ideas will be interspersed within the analysis. The discussion chapter will then synthesize the findings of the analysis, discussing how the application of the chosen concepts within narrative therapy and Winnicott offer a new way of understanding the phenomenon. Strengths and weaknesses of the present study will be discussed and areas for further research will be suggested. Finally, the discussion will consider the implications of these findings for social work practice, policy and research before offering concluding comments.

Analysis – Examines / Discusses / Connects the phenomenon to the theories

Ben did not speak at first when he presented at the mental health clinic where he was brought for treatment. The treatment providers tried reassurance and mild medication; however, these attempts did not result in spoken communication from Ben. His therapist, Stephen Proskauer decided to hypnotize Ben, at this time Ben’s companion spirit began to speak for him. Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) share, “the therapist (S.P.) accepted the companion spirit’s account without question” (p. 164). With the exception of speaking in the voice of his companion spirit while under hypnosis, Ben reportedly remained mute until he and his family did what the companion spirit asked of them. Ben’s initial presentation and response to these initial interventions is important information to work form when considering how a narrative therapist or one influenced by Winnicott might begin to work with Ben.
Narrative

The theory chapter on narrative therapy introduced the foundational beliefs that were collated by David White and Michael Epston when constructing the therapeutic approach of narrative therapy. Bringing these ideas back to the fore, the reader is reminded that, “the narrative counselor is not concerned with diagnosing ‘the problem’ or with offering ‘treatment.’ The objective is not to find a ‘solution’” (Drewery & Winslade, 1997 p. 41). In narrative therapy, “much of the skill of the therapist lies in attending closely to the ways we use language: to the positioning we call people into by the words we use and the ways we organize our sentences” (p. 33). This involves attention to who is speaking as well as the content of their speech. Narrative therapy is concerned with meaning-making and supporting the client to speak in his/her own voice. The therapist uses narrative questions to support the client in finding alternative stories about themselves outside of the story of the problem they came in with. In this way, the narrative therapist enables the client to work on the problem his/her self (Smith, 1997).

As the reader may remember, narrative therapists believe in the importance of postmodern thinking wherein the therapist explores the conditions that define and shape a problem, de-pathologizing the client and inviting them to explore ways of making meaning about themselves outside of the limited perspective of medicine, science and other narratives with locate problems within people (Smith, 1997). Within narrative therapy, the role of the therapist is to, “work collaboratively with people in identifying those ways of speaking about their lives that contribute to a sense of personal agency, and that contribute to the experience of being an authority on one’s life” (White, 1995, p. 121). The emphasis on personal agency is particularly relevant to individuals who have been dominated by others and by problem-saturated accounts of “self” that have been internalized. When abuse takes place, people often begin to understand
themselves by the perspectives of others or from the perspectives of problems in their lives (Walton & Bruner, 2002). With this refresher of the foundational beliefs of narrative therapy, let us turn to the case of Ben.

Ben’s parents brought him in for treatment in a state of crisis. He had recently been through a number of significant transitions (returning from school, moving back in with his family, cancelling a summer trip) and then his father experienced a “psychotic episode” and became physically assaultive towards Ben and his mother. On the day he came into the mental health clinic where he was treated, he had just woken up from a frightful nightmare and was not communicating through speech. A narrative therapist would encounter Ben’s silence as a form of communication in and of itself. Perhaps it is an indication of a feeling state such as fear, anger or sadness or perhaps it is a reaction to the traumatic incidents of the preceding day’s events. Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write, “in the initial interview, he made a drawing of the black female figure which had threatened to kill him in the dream. Ben labeled the sketch as a face, but it also resembled a nude female torso with prominent nipples” (p. 163). This drawing was another form of communication used by Ben, a vehicle with which to share his internal experience. Without words, Ben has already communicated a story to the therapist; it was a story of fear, darkness and a lost sense of safety. The reader is reminded to what Allen (2011) writes about trauma, “the essence of trauma is feeling terrified and alone” (p. 4) and “attachment trauma damages the safety-regulating system and undermines the traumatized person’s capacity to use relationships to establish feelings of security” (p. 22). In the night, Ben had experienced a nightmare when he was all alone, awaking at home to his mother, “hostile” and “controlling” as Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) describe her, and to his father who had attempted to strangle him a short time ago (p. 168).
Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write that, “Navajo culture has woven into its very fabric a tendency to dissociative phenomena, exemplified by the nature and influence of witchcraft beliefs as well as by the prevalence of hysterical seizures” (p. 153). Furthermore, the authors indicate that Native American cultures recognize and revere the spiritual powers of natural forces such as those in plant, animals and natural forces. Within the framework of Ben’s Navajo identity, the idea that he might have been visited by or possessed by a spirit could have important spiritual significance for Ben and those in his community. The story of Ben’s experience with a spirit companion would have a different interpretation in a Navajo context, which emphasize spirituality and natural forces, than it would in a medical community that focuses on symptoms, pathology, diagnosis and treatment. The reader is reminded that Narrative therapy is grounded in post modern thinking and the observation that our social world is like a fabric, tightly woven by threads of belief about what is acceptable and unacceptable, as well as which ideas and people are relevant and worth following. With that in mind, there were stark cultural differences between the Navajo cultural upbringing Ben experienced at home and his experiences at the white man’s boarding school. Ben was likely to have experienced tension when navigating the cultural contexts he moved between with regards to home and school as well as an unconscious conflict over integrating or rejecting these multiple identities depending on the context (Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson, 1980). Keeping in mind these cultural and environmental factors a narrative therapist would want to engage with Ben and his family curiously and puzzle with them about the many layers of Ben’s life experiences, helping Ben and his family to expand the stories they tell from multiple perspectives and encouraging Ben through questioning and narrative exploration to cultivate an empowered sense of his unique identity.
A narrative therapist would likely take note of the communication inherent in Ben’s silent presentation on intake and choose to approach Ben’s family to begin treatment, facilitating a family intervention rather than an intervention solely focused on Ben and his current presentation. Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say, “in narrative therapy, the therapist and the family may start with an externalizing conversation about the problematic situation before the therapist tries to get to know more about the child” (p. 35). In this way, the therapist and the family could deemphasize the problem they came in with as a problem belonging to Ben and orient the conversation in a broader story. The therapist might ask about the family’s history and context considering the stressors current impacting the family system, Ben’s history of distress and coping, and the family’s history of managing problems. Furthermore, the therapist may ask the family about what Ben is typically like, excluding the problem all together and inviting descriptions of Ben to get to know him a part from the problem. This approach would give respect to Ben as a whole person and invite alternative narrative to that of the problem that brought the family to the clinic. Furthermore, getting to know Ben apart from the problem he came in with allows the therapeutic system an opportunity to uncover the effect of the problem on the person (Freeman, Epston and Lovobitz, 1997).

The idea of this early family intervention would be to get a picture of whom Ben is when the problem is not overtaking him. Furthermore, it would actively engage Ben’s parents in his treatment, a practice that is common and often quite helpful for children dealing with serious problems. When discussing the problem that brings a family into therapy, Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say, “an externalizing conversation is invaluable here, as the problem can be referred to in a way that separates it from the young person’s identity but does not ignore it” (p. 36). Furthermore, when Ben did speak, he spoke through his companion spirit, perhaps with an
understanding of the dangers Ben would be exposed to if he spoke in his own voice. From a narrative perspective, by accepting the account of the companion spirit without question, Ben’s therapist accepted a way of speaking about Ben’s life that gave an explanation for his muteness, and paved a path toward the development of a new form of personal agency. In this way, Ben made meaning of his own experience and identified ideas for moving forward vis-à-vis the mandates of the companion spirit. In essence the companion’s spirit’s request for Ben and his family to go to Spider Rock was a request to slow the chaos down in Ben’s life and for his caregivers and girlfriend to focus on accompanying him through his present experience.

Early in treatment, the narrative therapist would want to attend to Ben’s communication while silent by asking permission of Ben and Ben’s parents to have a conversation about Ben in his midst, without talking about the problem that brought them to the clinic. In this way, Ben could be witness narratives about him, outside of the problem narrative and could, perhaps, feel some accompaniment and holding by his caregivers. Furthermore, this would also allow the therapist to discover events in the context such as significant loss, losses not attended to in the family system, major adjustments that might be in process. This inquiry might decentralize Ben as the one who has the problem. The therapist could ask permission of the family saying something such as,

“I am hearing that the muteness and nightmare are very worrisome to you, and we’ll certainly keep addressing both of these important experiences. Would it be alright if I took a little time here to find out more about who Ben was before the problem came to have such a profound influence over his way of being… what is Ben like when he is not under the influence of the problem that brought him here today?”

The therapist could also inquire about Ben’s traits and history by saying something such as,

“If we put the problem in a box and ignored it for a while, what would you tell me about Ben that’s important?”
In this way, the conversation could open up to an inquiry about Ben’s strengths, activities he enjoys and aspect of Ben that are appreciated by his family members. Furthermore, Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say, “specific knowledge of [the child’s] interests and abilities tells what a child might bring to put against the problem” (p. 37). It is the knowing about and giving voice to these resources and will be particularly helpful in the re-authoring process.

**Ben and Ben’s Environment:**

We know enough from the history presented in the case study to understand that Ben has been successful by dominant society’s standards. He has excelled in school, navigated transitions between home and school environments, managed to be independent of his family at a young age and, more recently, has found the company of an intimate relationship with a girlfriend. Ben has made accomplishments, learned skills and achieved at the “white man’s boarding school”. These successes have been recognized within the boarding school community and he has been rewarded by good grades and opportunities such as a trip to Europe. However, there is not an indication of the meaning made of these accomplishments by Ben’s family and Navajo community (Proskauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980). Perhaps success in the white man’s world has strained Ben’s connections with the Navajo world, moving him farther from his roots and cultural interests. That he did not go to Europe indicates that he was being pulled away from a very important place to him by these successes.

Narrative therapy stresses that just as there is a complex relationship between a family and a problem, there is also a complex relationship between the problem and the wider social forces at play in the person’s life (Rosenwald & Ochberg, 1992). For Ben’s family, boarding school meant that Ben was away from home for long stretches of time since the age of 7, that he learned from textbooks and cultivated a knowledge base that would make him successful in the
western world. Ben’s time at boarding school meant less time invested in learning Navajo crafts, skills, spiritual and cultural practices as well as time away from his cultural community of origin (Proskauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980). It is important to keep track of the social and cultural context in which the problem occurs lest we assume that the problem is solely based in the individual or within the family system (Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz, 1997). For Ben, this could mean, not only a consideration of his Navajo cultural background and his experience at a “white man’s boarding school,” but also a consideration of what is expected in both contexts as far as gender performance, responsibility, leadership, self-expression, emotional health, and other variants of the expectations placed on Ben. One might wonder what Ben has learned about emotional expression as well as the expectations of him as a Navajo adolescent male at home, school, in the community and within the context of grief and loss as Ben lived through a great deal of loss early in his life.

The narrative therapist working with Ben and his family would need to situate themselves in the cultural context as well, acknowledging that they are not an unbiased observer in the therapy or in the creation of Ben’s narrative within therapy. Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say, “as therapists we need to examine our own biases, agendas, and values and make them available for comment by our clients, colleagues, and ourselves. Our collaborative aim is to expose the dilemmas that silence and separate us” (p. 56). Furthermore, narrative therapists often take the stance of “puzzling together” or “co-authoring”; a way of working that encourage the client’s own understanding and meaning making to emerge (Smith, 1997, p. 4). To open dialogue such as this, the therapist might want to ask questions that evoke meaning-making for the family, for instance, “as Ben’s parents, what was it like for you when he woke up this morning feeling fearful and unable to speak?” or, “can you connect Ben’s current state to anything that has
happened recently in Ben’s life or the life of your family?” or “are there stories that you can tell me about your family that would tell me what’s important to you?” Such questions pull on the family’s values and the stories they tell about themselves in such a way that positions the therapist in an important place of “not-knowing”, of curiosity and openness, and of an interest in stories of the family outside of the problem which is causing them so much worry. Drewery and Winslade (1997) write, “the counselor is not expected to be an ‘expert’ but rather to be a curious, interested and very partial participant (rather than claiming an objective neutrality in regard to the forces that shape the problem) in the production of the meanings of the client’s life” (p.42). Engaging this deconstruction process, made possible by curiosity, thoughtful questioning and openness, naturally invites a focus on the people in the room rather than the problem they brought in with them. Drewery and Winslade (1997) say, “it mobilizes the client’s resources against the problem” (p. 45). The narrative device of externalization targets the specific process through encouraging clients to relate to themselves as agents in their own and other’s lives, encouraging them to regard themselves as resourcefully engaged in the relationships with others and in the common human struggle (Drewery & Winslade, 1997)

**Externalizing:**

As a refresher to the reader, Freeman, Epstein and Lobovitz (1997) describe externalizing conversations saying,

When a young person or other members of a family are trying to tell us their story and convey how burdensome a problem is, we might feel the urge to solve or normalize it. However, if we jump too quickly into searching for solutions or try to put a positive face on the situation prematurely, family members are likely to feel that we have not appreciated the depth or quality of their struggle. An externalizing conversation offers a way to listen closely and join with the family, without confirming limited or pathologizing descriptions. The effects of the problem are mapped in detail, along with the family’s preferred way of being and its success in constraining the problem. As we attend to and explore the nuances
of their experience of the problem in our dialogue, the effects of a problem-saturated story on family members and relationships becomes evident (p. 48).

Externalizing conversations are conducted with what narrative therapists call an “externalizing grammar” (Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997, p. 57). The idea is to set people’s identities a part from the problems they come in with and to invite a consideration of the effects of viewing problems from multiple perspectives. Metaphor is often useful here. For instance, a narrative therapist might say to Ben and his family, “if we were to give the problem that brought you here a name, what would we call it?” or “what might we call the issue that brought you here today?” Given the opportunity to name the problem, the family and therapist can then begin to talk about it apart from the person. Of course, we do not know what Ben and his family would have answered to such a question; however, we can imagine that inviting he and his family talk openly about the issues that brought them in would invite collaboration in understanding and later addressing the multiple layers which have contributed to the development of those issues (Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997).

Given that Ben was not speaking when he arrived at the mental health clinic, one way that a narrative therapist might seek to engage him in externalization at the outset is through expressive art. Expressive art therapy is especially helpful, as Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say, for “those who have experienced a constriction of verbal expression at certain times” and for “children who are not very verbal in therapy” (p. 146). In line to the hypnosis therapy that was used within the case study, expressive art could support Ben in opening up further images of his experience. We know that Ben did draw one picture at the outset of treatment; perhaps this was the best available way that Ben for communicating at the time. Freeman, Epston and Lobovitz (1997) say that, “the ‘expression’ of problems in art form is inherently akin to the
practice of externalization. The very process of drawing, sculpting, or dramatizing the relationship with a problem naturally evokes a visceral sense of the problem as located for reflection outside of the self” (p. 147). Furthermore, the act of drawing can be beneficial in itself as it gives children the opportunity to express their feelings in a physical way; in this way, artistic expression can offer an immediate release (Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997). Other forms of expressive art could also have been helpful to Ben including, the opportunity to draw the feelings he was experiencing, his family picture, or the parts of himself. Ben’s therapist would engage curiously with these drawings, asking Ben questions to expand upon the meaning he might make of what he drew rather than offering interpretation or opinion as might be the practice in other forms of therapy (Freeman, Epston, Lobovits, 1997). In this way, the therapist and Ben could take time to build trust and communication, eventually peeling away the layers of fear and anxiety that were protecting Ben when he came in to the mental health unit.

Re-authoring

Returning to the idea of “puzzling together” and “co-creating” narratives, the narrative concept of re-authoring is the process by which the therapist and the client work together to consider new and empowering self-narratives about the client. Narrative therapists believe that these alternative self-narratives live within the client and are obscured by the dominant problem narratives that circulate within people’s lives (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012). Within re-authoring, narrative therapists talk about “thin” and “thick” descriptions. Thin descriptions are those that are imposed by others with definitional power (teachers, parents, doctors, clergy) and are integrated by the person into their conception of himself or herself. A thin description is often a factual account which is blind to the deeper layers of the person it is describing. Within Ben’s life, a thin description may have been that his father is an aggressive schizophrenic, that Ben is a
top scholar, and that his parents are abusive. All of these descriptions were made about Ben and his family at one point or another. These thin descriptions translate into labels one makes of him or herself such as “I am from a crazy family” or “my life won’t amount to much.” They are problem-saturated and obscure the strengths that underlie Ben’s unique human character (Goldenberg & Goldenberg, 2012).

In contrast, thick descriptions speak to the unique nature of a person’s history and identity; they are rich, deep, and diverse. Furthermore, thick descriptions are laden with commentary and interpretation. For Ben, such a description might have been, “I come from a long line of Navajo craftsmen, particularly silversmiths. I have learned from my father, who has had his troubles, yet has been a successful silver smith in recent years. I have a wide variety of skills drawn from my experiences growing up in a Navajo community as well as the time I have spent learning in a boarding school. I have been able to navigate two complex social worlds between school and home.” Parallel with this concept is the conversation around whether an imaginary companion is an indicator of health or pathology, one might consider the title of “hallucination” for an imaginary companion to be a “thin” description while the title “imaginary companion” has thickness and weight to it: meaning that is missed if it were to be understood as a hallucination.

Regarding his imaginary companion, Ben might have crafted a thick narrative description of the development of his companion if given a chance, describing the role of the companion in his life and the relationship of Ben’s companion to Ben’s unique sense of himself. His therapist would likely be interested in the bigger picture of the role that this companion has had in Ben’s life, considering the qualities of the relationships that other’s have with Ben and taking note of
the loss Ben experiences once his companion is gone. Ben’s sense of loss, exemplified when he said,

“I had a friend who taught me things, who I had fun with. He was always with me wherever I went. We shared our feelings and fears and everything together. When I felt low I used to go out by myself, used to talk to myself and it seemed like it would talk back to me. I don’t feel that way anymore. It feels like I’ve lost somebody, part of me or something like that – like a real close friend, someone you’ve had since you were a baby, like a brother”

(Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997, p. 165).

It is also within this sense of loss that it is clear that Ben has lost something very valuable to him that has been a part of his life for a long time. Developing at the age of two and staying with him through the loss of his siblings, his uncle, boarding school, and stress at home, the companion had taken up protecting Ben in times of crisis. For Ben to give voice to the narrative of this friend could mean giving voice to parts of his autobiography that have been obscured by the dominant narratives of his life (Freeman, Epston & Lobovitz, 1997). Furthermore, an examination of the companion’s role and impact on Ben’s life through re-authoring conversations could open space for a discussion of what is missing in Ben’s life when the companion is not there; an important element of the work with Ben around loss. A narrative therapist might ask Ben,

“What does your companion see in you?”

“What knowledge might someone who has known you so long have about you that is still unknown to others, even your parents?”

“We say: ‘Beauty is in the eye of the beholder.’ What would this beholder most want us to know about you and where you are in your life right now?”

It might be helpful for Ben to have a therapist who could explore the companion through the lens of “one who saw early on that Ben needed help, and did not hold back from getting involved
with him,” a thick description which lends itself to meaning making as well as recognition of the importance of attachment as well as the presence of trauma and loss.

Within re-authoring, the narrative therapist too remains curious and engaged with the alternative stories that are possible and is committed to thickening the narrative of the client’s life. In this way, the therapist and client co-create a larger volume of stories; opening space for interpretation and meaning making that was not there when stories about the person were built on thin, fact-based narratives.

Caveats

As was mentioned earlier, one of the aspects that proves challenging in using a case such as Ben’s is that the narrative treatment is hypothetical. We do not know what Ben would have brought up during his initial visit when approached with narrative questions. However, we can imagine different directions that a narrative therapist might take, given certain responses from Ben and his family.

With that said, it is important to notice in Ben’s story that the problem he came in with was not that of the imaginary companion and the evil Spaniard spirit. Ben presented as mute and dysregulated after a traumatic incident, one of a long line of traumatic incidents within his relationships with his parents. The reader is reminded of the findings of Koverola and Foy (1993) in that children may avoid narrating the significant traumatic events that have occurred in their past given the way that they evoke aversive emotional reactions associated with the trauma. For Ben, this might have meant total silence, as the acuity of his experience was intense. Or Ben could have been silent due to the various conversations going on in his head between Ben, the companion spirit and the evil spirit. The narrative therapist would remain open to multiple possibilities and multiple interpretations of Ben’s presentation.
Through thoughtfully engaging Ben’s parents, as well as through offering mediums of expression that Ben could relate to, his therapist could show that he/she is curious, interested and very partial to Ben and is open to the stories the family has to tell. Additionally, given the recent trauma Ben had experienced, it may be important for the therapist to work separately with Ben and his parents, providing education to Ben’s parents about trauma and helping them to understand Ben’s reactions and needs. Gradually, the work could come together, however it may be best for this to be something for the family to work toward, when Ben shows through communication that he is ready and feels safe engaging in therapy with his family. Finally, the therapist would want to be careful in engaging Ben’s father. It is likely that the Ben’s relationship with his father would be a source of fear and confusion given that Ben’s father had recently been so violent and unpredictable, putting Ben and his family in great danger. The narrative therapist would be interested in hearing the stories about Ben which capture the whole person that he is as they work to create an externalization of the presenting problems.

**Winnicottian Perspective**

Winnicott’s theories date back much further than those of narrative therapy and were influenced by the traditions and ideas of early psychoanalytic thinkers as well as psychoanalysts practicing from the perspective of object relations (Mitchell & Black, 1995). While Winnicott did not discuss the world in the postmodern terms that are used by narrative therapists, he did take interest in the early caregiving environment and the impact of this environment on a person’s unique intra psychic and relational development. Winnicott was interested in the way a patient’s early caregiving relationships, and the environment wherein they were formed, manifest as internal “object” representations; an internal world of relationships that Winnicott believed to be more powerful than one’s relationships with actual people (Flannagan, 2008). In this way, an
individual’s family culture and childhood were central areas of inquiry and study for Winnicott and the practice of addressing unmet needs and traumas from this time of life was central to Winnicott’s treatment approach. Less central was an overt discussion of external cultural influence on the caregiving environment as would be explored through a narrative approach. Winnicott’s approach recognizes assessment and formulation as important treatment tools and encourages therapists to provide a safe and holding context with which to support their patients, while exploring new ways of relating in caregiving relationships. The following section will address how a clinician practicing from a Winnicottian perspective might approach the formulation and treatment of Ben within the context of psychotherapy.

**The Stance of the Therapist: Assessment and Treatment Considerations**

Within any relational therapy, assessments are made at both intrapersonal and intra psychic levels (Curtis & Hirsch, 2011). Winnicott (1969) discussed the nuances of assessment from his perspectives when he wrote, “in our work, it is necessary for us to be concerned with the development and the establishment of the capacity to use objects and to recognize a patient’s inability to use objects, where this is a fact” (p. 711). Winnicott’s three concepts of the good enough mother, transitional objects, and aloneness are especially helpful foundational principles for considering the phenomenon of imaginary companions in adolescents with histories of attachment trauma. The therapist could use each of these concepts to guide assessment and treatment, paying close attention to the relational space between his or herself and Ben. Careful attunement to the transference and countertransference dynamics between Ben and his therapist would provide a rich sources of information about Ben’s relational framework and needs (Mitchell & Black, 1995). Curtis and Hirsh (2011) emphasize that the therapist would want to pay attention to, “the interactional styles, styles of coping and defenses, the range of emotions,
cognitive abilities, feelings about oneself and others, and conflicts and inhibitions that may block the patient from achieving his or her goals” (p. 80). Furthermore, the therapist’s use of him or herself in treatment would be informed by his or her perception of Ben’s unmet developmental needs, communicated through the therapist’s observation of Ben’s interactional style in therapy as trust builds.

Winnicott believed that effective treatment is possible because of a therapeutic relationship that mirrors the role of the good-enough mother, facilitating regression, awakening old developmental needs, and supporting the client to use the therapeutic relationship to learn a new style of relating to provide for what was missing in childhood (Mitchell & Black, 1995). Frank (1999) describes this dynamic further when she writes,

> while old object relations emerge in the transference, there is potential in the analytic space for new object experiences. For the new object experience to take hold, the treatment must engage the tenacity of the old. These experiences emerge in response to analytic attitudes which evoke words, responses, and reactions in the patient that had previously not been spoken

(p. 434)

Hence treatment would involve paying attention to enactments, impasses, ruptures and feelings of closeness and distance, looking for opportunities to create new and holding object experiences, addressing repair after ruptures, and engaging in styles of relating which address unmet developmental needs and/or developmental traumas.

In Winnicott’s 1969 publication, The Use of an Object, he discussed the importance of allowing a client’s transference feelings to develop overtime, emphasizing a lesson he had learned through years of therapy about the importance of exercising restraint with psychoanalytic interpretations and allowing the client’s trust for the therapist to grow by staying with the client and listening well. Winnicott (1969) said, “if only we wait, the patient arrives at understanding creatively and with great joy” (p. 711). Of the patient and the process he said, “I think I interpret
mainly to let the patient know the limits of my understanding. The principal is that it is the patient and only the patient who has the answers” (p. 711). With these principals of the therapist’s stance in mind, the reader is invited to think through assessment and treatment, considering Ben’s presentation in the way that Winnicott might have, with special attention to Winnicott’s concepts of good-enough mothering, transitional objects and aloneness.

**The Good Enough Mother and The Holding Environment**

Within the discussion section of Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson’s (1980) case study of Ben, the authors write about Ben’s experience with parenting and the development of personal resilience, writing,

Reared by a hostile controlling mother, an alternatively violent and passive schizophrenic father, and a bureaucratic boarding school system designed by an alien culture, Ben managed to create within himself a single male object which provided all that he needed: a friend, teacher, conscious, protector, source of personal power, and substitute for risky adolescent rebellion and experimentation (p. 168).

Ben’s early life was challenging and chaotic. Ben’s parents struggled with managing the care of their children, marriage and personal issues. In addition, the family experienced the traumatic loss of four children who did not thrive beyond early infancy.

Reading the case of Ben, I found myself wondering what Ben’s parents were like on a day-to-day basis, if they were tuned into Ben’s distress, attended to his physical and emotional needs, and whether Ben had experiences of feeling known or seen by his caregivers. I wondered what they had internalized about their Navajo identity amidst a white-man’s world throughout their childhoods within the context of community, family and school. What kind of traumas were they grappling within while parenting and what kind of intergenerational transmission of trauma did Ben experience? Furthermore, there is reason to believe that some of Ben’s basic needs may not have been met; the authors record that at least one of Ben’s brothers died of malnutrition.
Ben’s family was subject to systemic issues of poverty, lack of access to culturally congruent education systems, discrimination from the perspective of dominant society, issues of oppression based on the marginalization and trauma of Native American culture with regards to spirituality, land ownership, traditions, autonomy and a host of other issues which created an environment of struggle and strife in their daily life. All of these experiences were at play in shaping the context of holding provided by Ben’s parents and his environment as well as the subsequent mapping of these experience onto Ben’s psyche. Ben took his early caregiving experiences and the context of his upbringing with him as he navigated each and every experience thereafter. While Winnicott did not explicitly engage in cultural formulation, a formation from the a social work perspective must account for the person within their environment, considering layers of marginalization, oppression and privilege from the perspective of the person within the sociopolitical context. Additionally, the clinician would also need to situate themselves in the sociopolitical context, considering the identities they bring to the treatment and the ways in which their shared and unshared identities may impact the treatment, broaching the topic as is appropriate to the treatment (DeVines, 2007). With what we know about Ben from what is written in the case, I will use Winnicott’s ideas to parse out some of the intrapsychic and interpersonal dynamics that are central to Ben’s presentation.

For Winnicott, a mother must suspend her own subjectivity when she has a newborn, immersing herself in catering to the infant’s needs and supporting the infant to develop their own subjectivity. In this way, infants learn to believe in their own subjective omnipotence, meaning that the infant believes that his own wishes create that which he desires. For instance, the infant believes that his wish for nourishment creates the breast of his mother. For subjective omnipotence to happen, the parent must be there when needed and give space when not needed
The parent feeds, soothes and attends to the infant on demand and, in this way, the optimal holding environment is developed. The protective space of this environment allows the baby’s spontaneity to exist and for them to express needs without hesitation. The holding environment is crucial for development of the infant’s capacity to self-soothe. Eventually, the mother is unable to maintain this suspended attention and the infant begins to learn that the mother has her own subjectivity; learning about both objectivity and subjectivity is a new developmental task and one that the infant is prepared for if they had a good-enough environment to begin with in infancy (Mitchell & Black, 1995).

A therapist oriented to the ideas of Winnicott would wonder about the caregiving environment in his early years vis-à-vis the information they receive from his adolescent presentation. The environment of Ben’s home was likely one of unpredictability and angst, given his father’s periodic angry and violent outbursts and his mother’s hostile and controlling demeanor. According to Winnicott, a child’s emotional development is compromised when an infant’s caregiver is unable to provide a good-enough holding environment. Instead of being held, the child experiences themselves as an impingement and are forced to focus on aspects of the external world at the cost of their internal world. If this failure on the part of the caregivers is chronic, this can result in a split within the internal world of the infant through which they develop a disconnect between their true self and false self; inhibition of the development of their own subjectivity. Winicott believed that the environment the mother provides indicates the infant’s ability to develop a sense realness (Mitchell & Black, 1995). Upon first meeting Ben, it is likely that a therapist using an object relations perspective would take note of the split within Ben’s psyche, represented by his experience of parts within himself: the companion spirit, the
evil Spaniard girl, and the part of Ben that was suspended and speechless when he came to the mental health unit.

From a trauma perspective, Ben’s psychic split is indicative of a response to chaos and trauma (i.e. witnessing his father attack his mother and then come after him) and provides information about Ben’s developmental capacity to tolerate overwhelming incidents within caregiving relationships. Drawing information from this intrapsychic response to a recent traumatic incident, it is reasonable to believe that Ben was subject to trauma early in development and was displaying what Allen (2011) describes as the “enduring and adverse impact of extremely stressful events” (p. 4). As the reader may recall, trauma research indicates that the experience of feeling terrified and alone can leave lasting and damaging psychic effects, rendering individuals defenseless of cognitive structures and the capacity for emotional regulation that would be present in the minds and bodies of non-traumatized individuals. Ben may have been lacking the cognitive structures needed to manage his own subjectivity and hold onto psychological organization because the very people that he needed to depend upon to foster that organization and holding were also the very people that could not provide an environment of predictability, trust and safety (Allen, 2011).

When a child does not experience caretaking, Winnicott believed that the their primitive ego works on learning to take care of the self and, out of this, the false self develops. Of this false self, Winnicott says, “when a False Self becomes organized in an individual who has a high intellectual potential there is a very strong tendency for the mind to become the location of the False Self, and in this case there develops a dissociation between intellectual activity and psychosomatic existence” and, “the world may observe academic success of a high degree, and may find it hard to believe in the very real distress of the individual concerned, who feels
‘phony’ the more he or she is successful” (Winnicott, 1969, p. 3). Ben experienced a mother who was preoccupied with a great deal of stress when Ben was young and Ben learned ways to both take care of himself and remain loveable by his mother. Through this focus on the expectations and desires of the external world, Ben learned to conform to the environment around him, developing a false self manifested by academic success, a clean cut image and his way of staying out of trouble. Ben is likely to have missed important developmental support from his caregivers in cultivating soothing objects for his internal world. Ben may have learned that merit and success could bring him a sense of recognition and a love-like feeling that was missing while he was at school, developing a false self in order to get those needs met. He may also have learned that loyalty and consistency were important values to assimilate in order to remain close to his needed caregivers; that returning to his family could bring him a sense of acceptance within his family and culture.

It appears that Ben recognized that the companion spirit and evil Spaniard girl within his psyche were in conflict and that he desired a sense of wholeness within himself; perhaps a connection to what Winnicott would call his true self. Winnicott would be curious about the representations of spirit and companion parts as well as the role that Ben’s false self and these parts play within his psyche. Perhaps, the parts are internalizations of the needed parts of his caregivers (love, affection, and guidance) as well the bad parts of his caregivers (shame, aggression and confusion). Perhaps the conflict between these parts mirrors the conflict that Ben witnessed between family members, maintained in Ben’s psyche as a way of preserving the holding environment that he knew. I imagine that the way that Ben felt in the midst of psychic conflict was much like the way he felt within his family as a young child; caught between the desire to be held and loved and the experience of feeling controlled and afraid. Finally, it’s
possible that these parts represented part of Ben’s identity that he felt an unconscious need to split off as a way to conform to the dominant culture around him. In this way, an experience of racial and ethnic shame could have led to the development of a companion spirit that is held internally rather than expressed externally, something that Ben could have learned to do from witnessing his parents’ relationship to their culture, race and ethnicity and from navigating his own experience in a white-man’s word that encouraged conformity and certain behavioral and academic standards. Was Ben encouraged to reject aspects of himself to fit with the status quo? Was Ben encouraged to reject the white-man’s world at school or when he returned home? Were his parents encouraged to reject parts of themselves? What was it like to be a young Navajo man in the 1980’s? How did his community embrace culture pride and celebration as well as cultural oppression and shame?

Ben may have gained an unconscious way of knowing that, if he could internalize a loving image of his caregivers, Ben could survive the chaos within the environment and take care of himself thereafter. In Winnicottian terms, the internalization of the imaginary companion, then, could be understood as a resilient response to a not good enough holding environment. His psychic struggle to destroy the evil Spaniard girl could have represented an unconscious desire to destroy the bad parts of his parents contained within himself: the controlling, the violence, the unpredictability. By asking Ben’s family to go to Spider Rock with Ben in order to destroy the evil Spaniard girl, Ben was unconsciously asking his family to attune to him, to show up when he asked them to do something, and commit themselves to a process of change and growth; a collective family decision to destroy the badness inherent within the family system and the culture surrounding them. Perhaps Ben had an unconscious wish to no longer carry the pain of these dynamics on his own, desiring to elicit a collective response to change them.
Grief and loss were also a part of Ben’s early experiences. Ben lost two younger brothers shortly after their birth, when Ben was two and five years old respectively. From Ben’s narrative, as told through the voice of his companion, it is apparent that Ben internalized a sense of badness and a fear of intimacy early in his life. Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) recount of Ben’s narrative through the voice of his companion, “If a friend becomes too close, that friend dies, because of the evil one; that’s how Ben’s two brother’s died. Ben felt that evil came from him. Ben’s brother became very close to him, then the evil one made his brother die” (p. 164). One can imagine a very young Ben, scared and craving attention and security, bonding to his new born brothers, loving them as best as he knew how and witnessing their short life and early death. How confusing and scary it must have been for Ben to make sense of this time, identifying at least one of his brothers’ deaths as his personal fault and the result of badness within him. How understandable then that Ben would want to destroy bad parts of himself, risking that good and loving parts, which had been internalized and represented by the spirit companion, leave along with the evil spirit. Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson (1980) write, “the male spirit companion declared that after he had departed and the evil one had perished, Ben would no longer believe that people die if they get too close to him and he would be able to make friends” (p. 164). It seems that Ben believed he contained the omnipotence and power to hurt and even destroy those around him. Ben may have seen himself as responsible for his mother’s anger and hostility, his father’s violence and unpredictability, and the loss of those close to him. It is evident through the narrative of Ben’s companion that a part of him believed that he was powerful enough to destroy anyone he loved.
Treatment Considering Attachment Dynamics

Within the case of Ben, a Winnicott-oriented therapist would be concerned with the development of Ben’s subjectivity and the ways in which he has adapted to the world of objects. In treatment, Winnicott viewed the therapist as the agent to heal the split resulting from parenting that was not good enough, using him or herself to attune to the client and provide a space of holding in the therapy that could allow the client to “be” and experiencing nurturing and safety in such a way that the true self could emerge. It is likely that this therapy would facilitate regression in Ben, a way to experience holding for unmet developmental needs. Within the case of Ben, it is apparent that his experience in hypnotherapy facilitated a regression to unmet needs for subjective omnipotence. In the subjective omnipotent place, the child creates the object for his own needs and then destroys it, taking it over (Mitchell & Black, 1995). Returning to the idea that Ben asked his family to join him in the destruction of his spirit companions, for Winnicott, the important task here would be for Ben to become aware of the caregiver that survives this kind of destruction, whether it be his parents through accompanying him in this journey or his therapist as Ben works through these changes in therapy. Through this process of holding and survival, Ben could create a sense of external reality and realize that he no longer needs to be ruthless. A careful holding environment in therapy could allow Ben to explore unmet needs, asking for attunement from his therapist and support in experiencing regression while feeling held, in order to achieve unmet developmental needs.

The reader may remember the early research conducted by psychoanalysts which surmised that when imaginary companions were encountered in the play of older school aged children and adolescents they were believed to be an indicator of a child’s underdeveloped capacity to blend fragmented parts of themselves (Gupta & Desai, 2006; Friedberg, 1995) or a
way to defensively split off memory, feeling or part of the self (Taylor, 1999). The reader is also reminded of the trauma research especially Allen’s (2011) writing about attachment trauma in that, “attachment trauma damages the safety-regulating system and undermines the traumatized person’s capacity to use relationships to establish feelings of security” (p. 22). Furthermore, the thoughts of LaMothe (1999) regarding the adaptation of the self to attachment trauma, “there is a horrifying void of an obliged, trustworthy, and faithful other an in this void there is consequently the absence of psychological organization and the very structures of subjectivity […] these experiences cannot be organized because the very symbols which human beings depend upon for psychological organization cannot represent the very absence of obligation, trust and fidelity” (p. 344). Allen (2011) encourages readers to consider fantasy production, such as that represented by an imaginary companion, as a mechanism of defensive coping called dissociation. This research is summarized again here because the elements which serve as foundational for Winnicott’s theories of good-enough mothering, are closely woven into these ideas about trauma, self-hood, fragmentation, coping and development and must be held as such for a therapist operating from an understanding of Winnicott and object relations.

**Transitional Object**

From a Winnicottian perspective, the comforting split off imaginary companion Ben experienced could be viewed as part of the self that was used as a transitional object, kept internally to manage transition and distance from home, family and culture throughout his life. Returning to Winnicott’s idea of subjective omnipotence, a transitional object is viewed by Winniocott to be an auxiliary in the developmental shift between total dependence on the mother (subjective omnipotence) to an “objective reality,” allowing the infant to both attach in early attachment relationships as well as separate from them maturely (Mitchell & Black, 1995, p.
Referring back to the writing of Lane and Tolman (2007), good enough mothering “lays the groundwork for integration of the mother object in each person’s inner world, a piece of that psychological apparatus that eventually allows one, through mental functioning to perform the care-giving activities for one’s self” (p. 41). Winnicott believed that a transitional object, such as a stuffed animal or blanket could stand in for the mother as a self-soothing object, representing parts of the mother and parts of the child during this transitional time of development (Goldstein, 2001). Ben’s spirit companion reportedly first came to him at the age of two, which the psychological literature indicates is developmentally normal. However, Ben’s companion seems to have come to support him in more than the typical developmental achievements. Ben’s companion stepped in during times of chaos, crisis and transition. Ben is quoted as saying,

I had a friend who taught me things, who I had fun with. He was always with me wherever I went. We shared our feelings and fears and everything together. When I felt low I used to go out by myself, used to talk to myself and it seemed like it would talk back to me. I don’t feel that way anymore. It feels like I’ve lost somebody, part of me or something like that – like a real close friend, someone you’ve had since you were a baby, like a brother


This companion was an internalization of the loving and comforting caregiver that he so needed to deal with the isolation of early childhood in the midst of a “hostile” and “controlling” mother who, in her own way, was working to manage emotional pain and her own history of multiple macro and micro traumas (Proskauer, Barsh & Johnson, 1980, p. 168).

Winnicott’s concept of transitional objects described them as concrete objects, describing them as especially helpful when depressive moods arise for children (Winnicott, 1971). Physically, transitional objects such as these can provide soothing touch, softness, and something to cuddle when the mother is not there. Psychologically, the object represents that which is not there, namely the mother. Winnicott believed that, if children get stuck in relative dependence,
they will have problems with separation and individuation later in life. In this vein, the reader is reminded of Winnicott’s description of a 7-year-old boy who identified with string in his mother’s absence. In spite of therapy to address this issue and intervention on the part of the boy’s parents, he was never able to manage separation from his mother and leave the home for a life of independence (Winnicott, 1971). The question arises here for this author, what makes Ben’s experience different than this seven year old boy who could never leave home? What enabled Ben to develop into a mature and responsible young person with goals and aspirations?

Winnicott might say that Ben created a comforting image of a soothing caregiver in spite of the absence of such a caregiver. Hence, when Ben left home, this soothing object remained inside of him, supporting him with transitions and losses much as it had in his early childhood. Ben created something for himself that could not leave him until he entered therapy at the mental health clinic. During the course of therapy, Ben lost the spirit companions, comparing this loss to the pain of losing a close friend or a brother; loss that Ben knows well about. The loss of his companion seemed to open up a deeper well of unresolved grief including the loss of his siblings and his uncle. It is important to consider whether the loss Ben of his imaginary friends was a goal of treatment or merely a byproduct. If it was a goal of treatment, perhaps Ben learned that it was shameful to have imaginary companions and experienced judgment from those he told his about his companion. If the loss was a byproduct of treatment, then perhaps Ben had engaged in a healing experience of regression and treatment for the losses and inadequacies of his early childhood. In any event, this companion is likely to have represented a transitional space for Ben, a support in times of loneliness and crisis, that was dependably with him time and time again when he was in need. This internalized object provided the comfort and soothing that he needed.
to come back to himself and confront the world feeling less alone (Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson, 1980).

**Aloneness**

In Winnicott’s (1958) writing about aloneness, he drew an important distinction between the act of spending time alone and the capacity to be alone. For Winnicott, the capacity to be alone required an experience in infancy wherein the infant experiences themselves as able to be alone in the presence of another person. Through this kind of aloneness experience, the infant can internalize the good object of the soothing caregiver that sat with them. The good-enough mother in this scenario needs to allow the infant the time and space to explore the world independently and then satisfy the infant’s instinctual gratifications by making themselves available to meet the infants needs as they arise.

As stated earlier, there is reason to believe that Ben’s parents were not “good enough” to meet his dependency needs in early childhood. They raised Ben amidst the loss of four other children in infancy and were likely unable to meet Ben’s needs due to preoccupation with their own emotional needs and stressors within the environment. Ben’s spirit companion was especially helpful to him during times when he felt most alone; with the support of his companion, Ben didn’t have to feel the depth of loneliness. In this way, Ben could self-soothe without the need to depend on an external object. However, later in life, Ben found it difficult to experience intimacy and connection in relationships. Thus, the adaptive coping skills of relying on his imaginary companion did not work for him later in life when he desired real and tangible human connection. In this way, Ben was able to utilize therapy to learn about his use of the imaginary companion and to express desire for more connection, finding this both through
therapy and later through the relationship with his girlfriend (Proskauer, Barsh and Johnson, 1980).

**Winnicott Treatment Caveats and Conclusions**

Winnicott’s concept of the good enough mother leaves room for human messiness on the part of the mother, sharing that a mother need not be perfect, only good-enough to develop an internal world of subjectivity that allows the infant to experience human life as real an meaningful (Mitchell & Black, 1995). However, when the environment is not good enough, the false self develops. Ben has been a consistent academic performer in school, doing well to satisfy the requirements and stay out of trouble within the school setting. He was also careful socially, intent to remain away from alcohol and drugs despite temptation. Perhaps these accommodations represent the false self Ben developed to deal with a chaotic upbringing, a lack of self-soothing interjects, and a need and desire to be seen and appreciated by others.

Because of the connection between imaginary companions and attachment trauma (vis-avis fragmentation) a therapist would need to be particularly delicate when addressing previously unmet attachment needs. The self is vulnerable to fragmentation and the role of the therapist is one of re-parenting and holding. To traumatize the individual during this process by failure or misattunement, repeated and unaddressed enactments, could be to do further damage. The therapist would need to be careful with how quickly the role of the imaginary companion diminishes, understanding the important role the companion has played in Ben’s life and allowing a safe and trusting attachment to form with the therapist so that slow growth, interpersonally and intrapsychically, could take place. Finally, perhaps the goal of therapy would not be to rid Ben of his companion experience but to support him in learning to build intimate and safe in-person relationships with others.
Synthesis and Implications for Social Work Practice

Since psychotherapists are the intended audience of this thesis, one goal of this thesis is to create awareness in clinicians who may treat adolescents who present with imaginary companions and a history of attachment trauma. This thesis has presented the theories of narrative therapy and Winnicott in order to offer clinicians lenses through which to view a complicated presentation. Most importantly, this thesis encourages practitioners to open their minds to the idea that the imaginary companions of traumatized adolescents can be viewed as a response of resilience and adaptation to an otherwise troubling and upsetting world. Perspectives on trauma help the reader to see how attachment trauma can lead to dissociative phenomenon as well as a fragmentation of the self; primary survival responses to this particular kind of trauma.

The dynamics of attachment trauma and personality development are complex and must be treated carefully and with an emphasis on how the person had adapted to the world as they have known it. In this way, psychotherapists are encouraged to engage in a curious assessment, using the aforementioned perspectives as guides to understanding how imaginary companions may have come to creation in the lives of their clients. The willingness of the therapist to understand the companion in a positive light, as the child experiences them, is necessary for developing an understanding of the resilience that helps bring people through the most terrible kinds of trauma.

An additional goal of this research is to thoughtfully contribute to the limited body of research that has been done on the phenomena within psychoanalytic literature. As the phenomenon chapter explained, there has been little research conducted in the field, most of it is dated, and a great deal of the research indicates that the phenomenon is pathological in nature. This thesis has offered an alternate perspective, shedding light on the resilient function of imaginary companions, while also holding in the balance concerns for treatment and treatment.
outcomes. In all, this thesis endeavors to enhance the research that in the field and challenge early findings by offering ideas and perspectives stemming from more nuanced theories, understanding and approaches.

Winicott talks about failures within the holding environment without recognizing the failures within the system which provide a context for families and holding environment. Readers are also encouraged to consider the systemic issues relevant to their adolescent clients with trauma histories and imaginary companions. Oppression, systemic racism and discrimination were the backdrop his Ben’s family’s day-to-day reality. A therapist, social worker or otherwise, would be encouraged to address unmet developmental needs within the family system as well as unmet needs of personal agency, self-determination, equality, power, etc. Furthermore, Ben’s experiences should be considered a call to action and important indicators of the need for justice and equality for the Navajo people. As social workers, we have an ethical responsibility to live out the values of our profession, values of service, social justice, dignity and worth of the person, the importance of human relationships, integrity and competence. These values must not be lost amongst the important clinical work that social workers engage in with their clients; the sociopolitical context that we all live in is personally relevant and should be explored both in therapy and through social action (National Association of Social Workers, 1999).

The findings within the literature review of this thesis indicate that, while there has been some research done on this important phenomenon, there is still much research to be done. The concerning cycles of abuse that Ben experienced are the reality for many children across the country and throughout the world. As long as poverty and inequality exist, access to many of the sociopolitical factors that were salient in Ben’s case will enable the system of power and abuse
that exist within families and the wider society. Social work and the associated arms of policy and research are encouraged to continue to address the macro systems within our society and to continue to trouble and fight for the human rights of our clients.

**Conclusions**

Throughout the present study, this author has thoughtfully drawn connections between the phenomenon and theories of psychology and treatment, crafting an integrative approach to the phenomenon that takes into account multiple perspectives from which to understand the phenomenon. However, this study is not without its limitations. This author’s application of theory was to an older case that was not written with this study in mind. This study would have been enhanced by the opportunity to work with this author’s personal case material and a client that this writer knows intimately. Furthermore, looking at more than one case could have enhanced the study, through perhaps, conducting interviews with clients experiencing the phenomenon, their parents, their teachers and their therapists. Further research is recommended in the area of imaginary companions of older children who have experienced attachment trauma and this writer encourages researches to further explore the realms of resilience present within the presentation of this phenomenon. May the thoughtful inquiry continue and the curious inquirers always lend an ear to the legacy of personal and collective pain and power in the creation of new and empowering narratives.
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